

STRATIFIED ALGEBRAS ARISING IN LIE THEORY

Volodymyr Mazorchuk

(Uppsala University)

1. Quasi-hereditary algebras

Definition. (Cline, Parshall, Scott) Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field, k , and \leq be a partial order on the set Λ , indexing the isomorphism classes $\{L(\lambda)\}$ of simple A -modules. We say that (A, \leq) is a quasi-hereditary algebra if and only if for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exists an A -module, $\Delta(\lambda)$, called a *standard module*, such that

- $\Delta(\lambda)$ surjects onto $L(\lambda)$ and the composition factors, $L(\mu)$, of the kernel satisfy $\mu < \lambda$;
- the indecomposable projective cover $P(\lambda)$ of $L(\lambda)$ surjects onto $\Delta(\lambda)$ such that the kernel of this surjection is filtered by modules $\Delta(\mu)$ with $\lambda < \mu$.

2. Basic example: The category \mathcal{O}

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ — semi-simple finite-dimensional complex Lie algebra with a fixed triangular decomposition

Definition. (Bernstein, I.Gelfand, S.Gelfand) The category \mathcal{O} is the full subcategory in $\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}$, consisting of all finitely generated, \mathfrak{h} -diagonalizable and $U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ -locally finite modules.

Verma modules. $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $\mathbb{C}_\lambda = \mathbb{C}$ is an $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ -module via $(h + n)(c) = \lambda(h)c$, $n \in \mathfrak{n}$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$\mathcal{O} \ni M(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \bigotimes_{U(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)} \mathbb{C}_\lambda.$$

Simple highest weight modules. $M(\lambda)$ has the unique simple quotient $L(\lambda)$ and $\{L(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*\}$ is a complete set of simple modules in \mathcal{O} .

Theorem. (Bernstein, I.Gelfand, S.Gelfand) Category \mathcal{O} has enough projective modules and every projective module is filtered by Verma modules.

Let $P(\lambda)$ be the indecomposable projective cover of $L(\lambda)$.

Theorem. (BGG-reciprocity)

$$[P(\lambda) : M(\mu)] = (M(\mu) : L(\lambda)).$$

$\lambda \leq \mu$ if and only if $\mu - \lambda$ is a linear combination of simple roots with non-negative integral coefficients.

$(M(\lambda) : L(\mu)) \neq 0$ implies $\mu \leq \lambda$.

From the BGG-reciprocity it now follows that $[P(\lambda) : M(\mu)] \neq 0$ implies $\lambda \leq \mu$.

$Z(\mathfrak{g})$ – the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. For $\theta \in Z(\mathfrak{g})^*$ set

$$\mathcal{O}^\theta = \{M \in \mathcal{O} : (z - \theta(z))^k M = 0, z \in Z(\mathfrak{g}), \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

$\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\theta \in Z(\mathfrak{g})^*} \mathcal{O}^\theta$ and all \mathcal{O}^θ have finitely many simples.

Corollary. Every \mathcal{O}^θ is equivalent to the module category of a quasi-hereditary algebra. (Equivalently: Let λ be dominant and W be the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} . Then the algebra $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\bigoplus_{w \in W} P(w \cdot \lambda))$ is quasi hereditary.)

3. Parabolic generalizations of \mathcal{O}

$\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ — a parabolic subalgebra, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, \mathfrak{n} — the radical of \mathfrak{p} , $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{a}$ — reductive Levi factor, \mathfrak{a} — semi-simple, $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{a}$ — center of $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$.

Let Λ be a “reasonable” category of \mathfrak{a} -modules.

Definition. The category $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$ is the full subcategory in the category $\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}$, consisting of all finitely generated, $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{a}$ -diagonalizable and $U(\mathfrak{n})$ -locally finite modules, which decompose into a direct sum of modules from Λ , when viewed as \mathfrak{a} -modules.

Example. (Rocha-Caridi) $\Lambda = \text{Fin.Dim.}(\mathfrak{a})$ — the category of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{a} -modules (is “reasonable”).

Theorem. The category $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \text{Fin.Dim.}(\mathfrak{a}))$ decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories, each of which is equivalent to the module category of a quasi-hereditary algebra.

Remark. Standard module in the category $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \text{Fin.Dim.}(\mathfrak{a}))$ are *generalized Verma modules* $M(\lambda, V) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} V$, where V is a simple finite-dimensional \mathfrak{a} -module, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{a}^*$, $\mathfrak{n}V = 0$, and $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{a}$ acts on V via λ .

Theorem. (Futorny-M.) Assume that

1. Λ is a semi-simple category;
2. Λ is stable under taking submodules and under tensoring with finite-dimensional \mathfrak{a} -modules;
3. every module in Λ is finitely generated and the action of $Z(\mathfrak{a})$ on it is diagonalizable;

Then the category $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$ decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories, each of which is equivalent to the module category of a quasi-hereditary algebra.

Remark. The conditions above describe what does “reasonable” mean in the case, when one looks for quasi-hereditary algebras. To produce examples one has to construct Λ .

Basic Example. $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and λ is the category of simple weight \mathfrak{a} -modules without highest and lowest weight such that their central character (the eigenvalue of the quadratic Casimir) is not the square of an integer.

Other examples: Certain categories of Harish-Chandra modules for $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and generic Gelfand-Zetlin modules for $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Also categories, generated (with respect to tensoring with finite-dimensional modules) by a simple module with a generic (with the trivial integral Weyl group) central character.

4. Examples of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$, which do not lead to quasi-hereditary algebras

Basic Example. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and V be a simple weight \mathfrak{a} -modules without highest and lowest weight, whose central character is the square of an integer. Then Λ consists of all subquotients of modules $F \otimes V$, where F is finite-dimensional. In this case Λ contains indecomposable modules, which are not simple. Actually, Λ decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories, each of which is equivalent to the category of modules over either \mathbb{C} or $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2)$.

General Example. Λ is the category of modules with integral support from the category \mathcal{O} (for \mathfrak{a}), complete in the sense of Enright. This category has a “strange” abelian structure, which is not inherited from $\mathfrak{a}\text{-mod}$. In particular, simple objects in the category are projective Verma modules, which are usually non-simple. This Λ decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories, each of which is equivalent to the module category over a commutative local algebra (in fact this is either the coinvariant algebra or the subalgebra of some invariants in it).

Theorem. (Futorny-König-M.) For both examples above the category $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$ decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories, each of which is equivalent to the module category over a finite-dimensional associative algebra. These algebras are not quasi-hereditary in general because they usually have infinite global dimension.

5. Properly stratified algebras and $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$

Definition. (Dlab) Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field, k , and \leq be a partial order on the set Λ , indexing the isomorphism classes $\{L(\lambda)\}$ of simple A -modules. We say that (A, \leq) is a quasi-hereditary algebra if and only if for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exists an A -module, $\Delta(\lambda)$, called a *standard module*, and an A -module, $\overline{\Delta}(\lambda)$, called a *proper standard module*, such that

- $\overline{\Delta}(\lambda)$ surjects onto $L(\lambda)$ and the composition factors, $L(\mu)$, of the kernel satisfy $\mu < \lambda$;
- the indecomposable projective cover $P(\lambda)$ of $L(\lambda)$ surjects onto $\Delta(\lambda)$ such that the kernel of this surjection is filtered by modules $\Delta(\mu)$ with $\lambda < \mu$;
- for every λ the module $\Delta(\lambda)$ is filtered by $\overline{\Delta}(\lambda)$.

Remarks.

1. A properly stratified algebra is quasi-hereditary if and only if it has finite global dimension if and only if one can take $\Delta(\lambda) = \overline{\Delta}(\lambda)$ for all λ .
2. Properly stratified algebras is a subclass in the class of stratified algebras, introduced earlier (than properly stratified algebras) by Cline, Parshall and Scott. Stratified algebras will appear later in this talk.

Theorem. (Futorny-König-M.) For both examples above the category $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$ decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories, each of which is equivalent to the module category over a properly stratified algebra. The proper standard modules in the natural proper stratification are generalized Verma modules $M(\lambda, V)$ (i.e. modules, parabolically induced from simple objects V in Λ). The standard modules in the natural proper stratification are modules $M(\lambda, \tilde{V})$, parabolically induced from indecomposable projective objects \tilde{V} in Λ .

Example. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, principal block.

Simple modules: $1 = L(1) < 2 = L(2) < 3 = L(3)$.

Projective, standard and proper standard modules:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 1 \\
 \\
 2 \\
 \\
 1 \quad 1 \quad 3 \\
 P(1) = \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad , \quad P(2) = \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 3 \\
 1 \quad 1 \quad 3 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 3 \\
 \\
 2 \\
 \\
 1 \\
 \\
 3 \\
 2 \\
 \Delta(3) = P(3) = 1 \quad 3 \quad , \quad \Delta(2) = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \quad , \quad \Delta(1) = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} . \\
 2 \\
 1
 \end{array}$$

Theorem. (BGG-reciprocity for $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$)

$$[P(\lambda, V) : \Delta(\mu, W)] = (\overline{\Delta}(\mu, W) : L(\lambda, V)).$$

Reformulation 1.

$$[P(\lambda, V) : \overline{\Delta}(\mu, W)] = (\Delta(\mu, W) : L(\lambda, V)).$$

Reformulation 2.

$$[P(\lambda, V) : \Delta(\mu, W)][\Delta(\mu, W) : \overline{\Delta}(\mu, W)] = (\Delta(\mu, W) : L(\lambda, V)).$$

Reformulation 3.

$$[P(\lambda, V) : \overline{\Delta}(\mu, W)] = [\Delta(\mu, W) : \overline{\Delta}(\mu, W)](\overline{\Delta}(\mu, W) : L(\lambda, V)).$$

Remark. $[\Delta(\mu, W) : \overline{\Delta}(\mu, W)] = (\tilde{W} : W)$, where \tilde{W} is the projective cover of W in Λ .

6. Harish-Chandra bimodules and properly stratified algebras

Definition. (Bernstein-S.Gelfand-Joseph-Enright) Let \mathfrak{g} be a semi-simple Lie algebra. A \mathfrak{g} -bimodule, V , is called a *Harish-Chandra bimodule*, provided that V is finitely generated and, as a \mathfrak{g} -module under the canonical diagonal action (twisted on the right by the Chevalley involution), is a direct sum of finite-dimensional modules.

Denote by \mathcal{H}^1 the category of all Harish-Chandra bimodules, the right action of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ on which is diagonalizable.

Theorem. (König-M.) \mathcal{H}^1 decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories each of which is equivalent to the module category of a properly stratified algebra. Moreover, these algebras are the same as properly stratified algebras associated with $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$ in the case, when Λ is the category of Enright-complete modules in \mathcal{O} (for the algebra \mathfrak{a}) with integral support.

Remark. Properly stratified algebra also appear in the blocks of the “thick” category \mathcal{O} (the action of \mathfrak{h} is no longer diagonalizable but locally finite), studied by Soergel in connection with Harish-Chandra bimodules.

7. Beyond the properly stratified algebras

Theorem. (Futorny-König-M.) Assume that

1. Λ decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories, each of which is equivalent to the module category of a self-injective local algebra;
2. tensoring with finite-dimensional \mathfrak{a} -modules is an exact functor on Λ ;
3. every module in Λ is finitely generated and the action of $Z(\mathfrak{a})$ on it is locally finite;
4. for every central character Λ has only finitely many simple objects (up to isomorphism), corresponding to this central character.

Then the category $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$ decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories, each of which is equivalent to the module category of a properly stratified algebra.

Remark and Example. It is very easy to construct an example of a “reasonable” Λ , which does not satisfy conditions of the above theorem. Indeed, let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$, α, β are simple roots, $L(\lambda)$ is a simple highest weight module, such that λ is integral and $(\lambda, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\lambda, \beta) \notin \mathbb{N}$. Define Λ as the category of all modules V , which have presentation $V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$, where both V_i are direct summands in some $F_i \otimes L(\lambda)$, F_i finite dimensional. Then Λ decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories each of which is equivalent to the module category over an associative algebra, however these algebras are not local in general.

Remark. (Khomenko-M.) The above effect does not appear if one starts with a simple \mathfrak{a} -module, whose annihilator is a minimal primitive ideal (this can be for example a simple generic Gelfand-Zetlin module). This situation is **always** described by properly stratified algebras (of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$ associated with Enright-complete modules or, equivalently, with Harish-Chandra bimodules).

Theorem. (general BGG-reciprocity, Gomez-M.) Assume that Λ decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories, each of which is equivalent to the module category of a self-injective finite-dimensional algebra and tensoring with finite-dimensional \mathfrak{a} -modules is an exact functor on Λ . Further assume that $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$ decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories, each of which is equivalent to the module category of a finite-dimensional associative algebra. Then for all simple $V, W \in \Lambda$ and all $\lambda, \nu \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{a}}^*$ one has

$$[P(\lambda, V) : M(\mu, \tilde{W})] = (M(\mu, W) : L(\lambda, V)),$$

where \tilde{W} is the indecomposable projective cover of W .

Corollary. The Cartan matrix A of the corresponding block of the category $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$ has the form $A = B^t C B$, where B is the matrix of multiplicities of simples in generalized Verma modules (those induced from simples in Λ) and C is block-diagonal with blocks being the Cartan matrices of those blocks of Λ , which contribute to our block of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$. In particular, for properly stratified algebras the matrix C is diagonal.

7. Stratified algebras as blocks of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$

Definition. (Cline, Parshall, Scott) Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field, k , and \leq be a partial pre-order on the set Λ , indexing the isomorphism classes $\{L(\lambda)\}$ of simple A -modules. We say that (A, \leq) is (standardly) stratified if and only if for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exists an A -module, $\Delta(\lambda)$, called a *standard module*, such that

- $(\Delta(\lambda) : L(\mu)) \neq 0$ implies $\mu \leq \lambda$;
- the indecomposable projective cover $P(\lambda)$ of $L(\lambda)$ surjects onto $\Delta(\lambda)$ such that the kernel of this surjection is filtered by modules $\Delta(\mu)$ with $\lambda < \mu$.

Remark. \leq is only assumed to be a pre-order. Hence every finite dimensional algebra is stratified if one takes \leq to be the full relation (all elements are equal). This is an example of a trivial stratification. In general the “stratification” goes along the partial order induced by \leq on the set Λ / \sim , where \sim is the equivalence relation, generated by \leq . Then the “local” part of the stratification has the form eAe , where e is the direct sum of all idempotents, equivalent with respect to \sim .

Idea. For the category $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$ the equivalence relation \sim will represent the blocks of Λ (which are not local algebras in general and hence will involve more than 1 point), which contribute to our block of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$. The corresponding algebras for Λ will be the endomorphism algebras of the standard modules.

Theorem. (Futorny-Gomez-König-M.) Assume that

1. Λ decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories, each of which is equivalent to the module category of a self-injective algebra;
2. tensoring with finite-dimensional \mathfrak{a} -modules is an exact functor on Λ ;
3. every module in Λ is finitely generated and the action of $Z(\mathfrak{a})$ on it is locally finite;
4. for every central character Λ has only finitely many simple objects (up to isomorphism), corresponding to this central character.

Then the category $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda)$ decomposes into a direct sum of full subcategories, each of which is equivalent to the module category of a (standardly) stratified algebra.

Problem. Let V be a simple \mathfrak{a} -module and Λ be the category of all modules, which have presentation $V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$, where V_i are direct summands in $F_i \otimes V$, F_i finite-dimensional. Then Λ satisfies conditions 2), 3), and 4) above. Does Λ satisfy 1)? If the answer is “yes” (which I do believe), then what kind of algebras do appear in such Λ ? The answer is known for finite-dimensional modules and simple modules with minimal annihilators.