# Algebraic categorification and its applications, II

#### Volodymyr Mazorchuk

(Uppsala University)

Winter School "Geometry and physics" January 17.24, 2015, Srni, Czech Republic

 $S_n$  — the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ 

$$\mathbf{P}_n := \{ \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) : \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_k, \ \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n \}$$

 $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_n$  is called a partition of n, denoted  $\lambda \vdash n$ 

 $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$  — the Specht module associated to  $\lambda$ 

Theorem.  $\{S^{\lambda} : \lambda \vdash n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of simple  $S_n$ -modules.

- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(n)}$  is the trivial module
- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$  is the sign module
- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(n-1,1)}$  is the natural module



 $S_n$  — the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ 

$$\mathbf{P}_n := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) : \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_k, \ \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n\}$$

 $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_n$  is called a partition of n, denoted  $\lambda \vdash n$ 

 $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$  — the Specht module associated to  $\lambda$ 

Theorem.  $\{S^{\lambda} : \lambda \vdash n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of simple  $S_n$ -modules.

- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(n)}$  is the trivial module
- $\triangleright S^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$  is the sign module
- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(n-1,1)}$  is the natural module



 $S_n$  — the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ 

$$\textbf{P}_n := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) : \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_k, \ \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n\}$$

 $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_n$  is called a partition of n, denoted  $\lambda \vdash n$ 

 $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$  — the Specht module associated to  $\lambda$ 

Theorem.  $\{S^{\lambda} : \lambda \vdash n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of simple  $S_n$ -modules.

- $\triangleright S^{(n)}$  is the trivial module
- $\triangleright S^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$  is the sign module
- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(n-1,1)}$  is the natural module



 $S_n$  — the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ 

$$\mathbf{P}_n := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) : \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_k, \, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n\}$$

 $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_n$  is called a partition of n, denoted  $\lambda \vdash n$ 

 $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$  — the Specht module associated to  $\lambda$ 

Theorem.  $\{S^{\lambda} : \lambda \vdash n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of simple  $S_n$ -modules.

- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(n)}$  is the trivial module
- $\triangleright S^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$  is the sign module
- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(n-1,1)}$  is the natural module



 $S_n$  — the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ 

$$\mathbf{P}_n := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) : \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_k, \, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n\}$$

 $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_n$  is called a partition of n, denoted  $\lambda \vdash n$ 

 $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$  — the Specht module associated to  $\lambda$ 

Theorem.  $\{S^{\lambda} : \lambda \vdash n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of simple  $S_n$ -modules.

- $\triangleright S^{(n)}$  is the trivial module
- $\triangleright S^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$  is the sign module
- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(n-1,1)}$  is the natural module



 $S_n$  — the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ 

$$\mathbf{P}_n := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) : \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_k, \, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n\}$$

 $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_n$  is called a partition of n, denoted  $\lambda \vdash n$ 

 $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$  — the Specht module associated to  $\lambda$ 

Theorem.  $\{S^{\lambda} : \lambda \vdash n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of simple  $S_n$ -modules.

- $\triangleright S^{(n)}$  is the trivial module
- $\triangleright S^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$  is the sign module
- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(n-1,1)}$  is the natural module



 $S_n$  — the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ 

$$\mathbf{P}_n := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) : \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_k, \, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n\}$$

 $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_n$  is called a partition of n, denoted  $\lambda \vdash n$ 

 $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$  — the Specht module associated to  $\lambda$ 

Theorem.  $\{S^{\lambda} : \lambda \vdash n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of simple  $S_n$ -modules.

- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(n)}$  is the trivial module
- $\triangleright S^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$  is the sign module
- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(n-1,1)}$  is the natural module



 $S_n$  — the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ 

$$\mathbf{P}_n := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) : \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_k, \, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n\}$$

 $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_n$  is called a partition of n, denoted  $\lambda \vdash n$ 

 $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$  — the Specht module associated to  $\lambda$ 

Theorem.  $\{S^{\lambda} : \lambda \vdash n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of simple  $S_n$ -modules.

- $\triangleright$   $S^{(n)}$  is the trivial module
- $\triangleright S^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$  is the sign module
- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(n-1,1)}$  is the natural module



 $S_n$  — the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ 

$$\mathbf{P}_n := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) : \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_k, \ \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n\}$$

 $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_n$  is called a partition of n, denoted  $\lambda \vdash n$ 

 $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$  — the Specht module associated to  $\lambda$ 

Theorem.  $\{S^{\lambda} : \lambda \vdash n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of simple  $S_n$ -modules.

- $\triangleright$   $S^{(n)}$  is the trivial module
- $\triangleright$   $\mathcal{S}^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$  is the sign module
- $\triangleright \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(n-1,1)}$  is the natural module



 $S_n$  — the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ 

$$\mathbf{P}_n := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) : \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_k, \, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n\}$$

 $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_n$  is called a partition of n, denoted  $\lambda \vdash n$ 

 $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$  — the Specht module associated to  $\lambda$ 

Theorem.  $\{S^{\lambda} : \lambda \vdash n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of simple  $S_n$ -modules.

- $\triangleright$   $S^{(n)}$  is the trivial module
- $\triangleright$   $\mathcal{S}^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$  is the sign module
- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(n-1,1)}$  is the natural module



 $S_n$  — the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ 

$$\mathbf{P}_n := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) : \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_k, \, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n\}$$

 $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_n$  is called a partition of n, denoted  $\lambda \vdash n$ 

 $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$  — the Specht module associated to  $\lambda$ 

Theorem.  $\{S^{\lambda} : \lambda \vdash n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of simple  $S_n$ -modules.

- $\triangleright$   $S^{(n)}$  is the trivial module
- $\triangleright$   $\mathcal{S}^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$  is the sign module
- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{(n-1,1)}$  is the natural module



$$\mathfrak{g}:=\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

○ — BGG category ○

 $\mathcal{O}_0$  — principal block of  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $S_n$  — Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ 

 $M(\mu)$  — Verma module with highest weight  $\mu$ 

 $L(\mu)$  — unique simple quotient of  $M(\mu)$ 

$$\mathfrak{g}:=\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

 $\mathcal{O}$  — BGG category  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}_0$  — principal block of  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $S_n$  — Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ 

 $M(\mu)$  — Verma module with highest weight  $\mu$ 

 $L(\mu)$  — unique simple quotient of  $M(\mu)$ 

$$\mathfrak{g}:=\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

 $\mathcal{O}$  — BGG category  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}_0$  — principal block of  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $S_n$  — Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ 

 $M(\mu)$  — Verma module with highest weight  $\mu$ 

 $L(\mu)$  — unique simple quotient of  $M(\mu)$ 

$$\mathfrak{g}:=\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

 $\mathcal{O}$  — BGG category  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}_0$  — principal block of  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $S_n$  — Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ 

 $M(\mu)$  — Verma module with highest weight  $\mu$ 

 $L(\mu)$  — unique simple quotient of  $M(\mu)$ 

$$\mathfrak{g}:=\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

 $\mathcal{O}$  — BGG category  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}_0$  — principal block of  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $S_n$  — Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ 

 $M(\mu)$  — Verma module with highest weight  $\mu$ 

 $L(\mu)$  — unique simple quotient of  $M(\mu)$ 

$$\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

 $\mathcal{O}$  — BGG category  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}_0$  — principal block of  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $S_n$  — Weyl group of  $\mathfrak g$ 

 $M(\mu)$  — Verma module with highest weight  $\mu$ 

 $L(\mu)$  — unique simple quotient of  $M(\mu)$ 

$$\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

 $\mathcal{O}$  — BGG category  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}_0$  — principal block of  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $S_n$  — Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ 

 $M(\mu)$  — Verma module with highest weight  $\mu$ 

 $L(\mu)$  — unique simple quotient of  $M(\mu)$ 

$$\mathfrak{g}:=\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

 $\mathcal{O}$  — BGG category  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}_0$  — principal block of  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $S_n$  — Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ 

 $M(\mu)$  — Verma module with highest weight  $\mu$ 

 $L(\mu)$  — unique simple quotient of  $M(\mu)$ 

$$\mathfrak{g}:=\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

 $\mathcal{O}$  — BGG category  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}_0$  — principal block of  $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $S_n$  — Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ 

 $M(\mu)$  — Verma module with highest weight  $\mu$ 

 $L(\mu)$  — unique simple quotient of  $M(\mu)$ 

Corollary.  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ .

Note.  $\{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the natural basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

 $\Delta(w) := M(w \cdot 0)$ 

Fact.  $\{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the standard basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ 

Reason:  $[\Delta(x):L(y)]\neq 0$  implies  $x\leq y$  and  $[\Delta(x):L(x)]=1$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{O}_0$  has finite global dimension.

P(w) — the indecomposable projective cover of L(w)

Corollary.  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ .

Note.  $\{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the natural basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

 $\Delta(w) := M(w \cdot 0)$ 

Fact.  $\{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the standard basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason:  $[\Delta(x):L(y)]\neq 0$  implies  $x\leq y$  and  $[\Delta(x):L(x)]=1$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{O}_0$  has finite global dimension.

P(w) — the indecomposable projective cover of L(w)

Corollary.  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ .

Note.  $\{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the natural basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

$$\Delta(w) := M(w \cdot 0)$$

Fact.  $\{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the standard basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason:  $[\Delta(x):L(y)] \neq 0$  implies  $x \leq y$  and  $[\Delta(x):L(x)] = 1$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{O}_0$  has finite global dimension.

P(w) — the indecomposable projective cover of L(w)

Corollary.  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ .

Note.  $\{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the natural basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

$$\Delta(w) := M(w \cdot 0)$$

Fact.  $\{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the standard basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ 

Reason:  $[\Delta(x):L(y)]\neq 0$  implies  $x\leq y$  and  $[\Delta(x):L(x)]=1$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{O}_0$  has finite global dimension.

P(w) — the indecomposable projective cover of L(w)

Corollary.  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ .

Note.  $\{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the natural basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

 $\Delta(w) := M(w \cdot 0)$ 

Fact.  $\{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the standard basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason:  $[\Delta(x):L(y)]\neq 0$  implies  $x\leq y$  and  $[\Delta(x):L(x)]=1$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{O}_0$  has finite global dimension.

P(w) — the indecomposable projective cover of L(w)

Corollary.  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ .

Note.  $\{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the natural basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

 $\Delta(w) := M(w \cdot 0)$ 

Fact.  $\{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the standard basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason:  $[\Delta(x):L(y)] \neq 0$  implies  $x \leq y$  and  $[\Delta(x):L(x)] = 1$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{O}_0$  has finite global dimension.

P(w) — the indecomposable projective cover of L(w)



Corollary.  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ .

Note.  $\{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the natural basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

 $\Delta(w) := M(w \cdot 0)$ 

Fact.  $\{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the standard basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason:  $[\Delta(x):L(y)] \neq 0$  implies  $x \leq y$  and  $[\Delta(x):L(x)] = 1$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{O}_0$  has finite global dimension.

P(w) — the indecomposable projective cover of L(w)

Corollary.  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ .

Note.  $\{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the natural basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

 $\Delta(w) := M(w \cdot 0)$ 

Fact.  $\{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the standard basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason:  $[\Delta(x):L(y)] \neq 0$  implies  $x \leq y$  and  $[\Delta(x):L(x)] = 1$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{O}_0$  has finite global dimension.

P(w) — the indecomposable projective cover of L(w)

Corollary.  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ .

Note.  $\{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the natural basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

 $\Delta(w) := M(w \cdot 0)$ 

Fact.  $\{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the standard basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason:  $[\Delta(x):L(y)] \neq 0$  implies  $x \leq y$  and  $[\Delta(x):L(x)] = 1$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{O}_0$  has finite global dimension.

P(w) — the indecomposable projective cover of L(w)

Corollary.  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ .

Note.  $\{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the natural basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

 $\Delta(w) := M(w \cdot 0)$ 

Fact.  $\{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is the standard basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason:  $[\Delta(x):L(y)] \neq 0$  implies  $x \leq y$  and  $[\Delta(x):L(x)] = 1$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{O}_0$  has finite global dimension.

P(w) — the indecomposable projective cover of L(w)

Theorem.[Collingwood-Irving, Ringel] For  $w \in S_n$  there is a unique indecomposable module T(w) such that

- ▶  $\Delta(w) \subset T(w)$  and the cokernel has a Verma flag;
- ightharpoonup T(w) is self-dual.

T(w) — tilting module

Fact.  $\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is a basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason: Extensions between Vermas are directed.

- $\{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}?$
- $\{ [\Delta(w)] : w \in S_n \}?$
- $\{[P(w)]: w \in S_n\}?$
- $\blacktriangleright \{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}?$

Theorem. [Collingwood-Irving, Ringel] For  $w \in S_n$  there is a unique indecomposable module T(w) such that

- $ightharpoonup \Delta(w) \subset T(w)$  and the cokernel has a Verma flag;
- ightharpoonup T(w) is self-dual.

$$T(w)$$
 — tilting module

Fact. 
$$\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$$
 is a basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason: Extensions between Vermas are directed.

```
► {[L(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[\Delta(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[P(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[T(w)] : w \in S_n}?
```

Theorem. [Collingwood-Irving, Ringel] For  $w \in S_n$  there is a unique indecomposable module T(w) such that

- ▶  $\Delta(w) \subset T(w)$  and the cokernel has a Verma flag;
- ightharpoonup T(w) is self-dual.

T(w) — tilting module

Fact.  $\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is a basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason: Extensions between Vermas are directed.

```
► {[L(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[\Delta(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[P(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[T(w)] : w \in S_n}?
```

Theorem. [Collingwood-Irving, Ringel] For  $w \in S_n$  there is a unique indecomposable module T(w) such that

- ▶  $\Delta(w) \subset T(w)$  and the cokernel has a Verma flag;
- ightharpoonup T(w) is self-dual.

T(w) — tilting module

Fact.  $\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is a basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason: Extensions between Vermas are directed.

```
► {[L(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[\Delta(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[P(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[T(w)] : w \in S_n}?
```

Theorem. [Collingwood-Irving, Ringel] For  $w \in S_n$  there is a unique indecomposable module T(w) such that

- ▶  $\Delta(w) \subset T(w)$  and the cokernel has a Verma flag;
- ightharpoonup T(w) is self-dual.

#### T(w) — tilting module

Fact.  $\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is a basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason: Extensions between Vermas are directed.

```
► {[L(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[\Delta(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[P(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[T(w)] : w \in S_n}?
```

Theorem. [Collingwood-Irving, Ringel] For  $w \in S_n$  there is a unique indecomposable module T(w) such that

- ▶  $\Delta(w) \subset T(w)$  and the cokernel has a Verma flag;
- ightharpoonup T(w) is self-dual.

$$T(w)$$
 — tilting module

Fact. 
$$\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$$
 is a basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason: Extensions between Vermas are directed.

```
► {[L(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[\Delta(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[P(w)] : w \in S_n}?

► {[T(w)] : w \in S_n}?
```

Theorem. [Collingwood-Irving, Ringel] For  $w \in S_n$  there is a unique indecomposable module T(w) such that

- ▶  $\Delta(w) \subset T(w)$  and the cokernel has a Verma flag;
- ightharpoonup T(w) is self-dual.

T(w) — tilting module

Fact.  $\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is a basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason: Extensions between Vermas are directed.

```
▶ {[L(w)] : w \in S_n}?

▶ {[\Delta(w)] : w \in S_n}?

▶ {[P(w)] : w \in S_n}?

▶ {[T(w)] : w \in S_n}?
```

Theorem. [Collingwood-Irving, Ringel] For  $w \in S_n$  there is a unique indecomposable module T(w) such that

- ▶  $\Delta(w) \subset T(w)$  and the cokernel has a Verma flag;
- ightharpoonup T(w) is self-dual.

T(w) — tilting module

Fact.  $\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is a basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason: Extensions between Vermas are directed.

```
▶ {[L(w)] : w \in S_n}?

▶ {[\Delta(w)] : w \in S_n}?

▶ {[P(w)] : w \in S_n}?

▶ {[T(w)] : w \in S_n}?
```

Theorem. [Collingwood-Irving, Ringel] For  $w \in S_n$  there is a unique indecomposable module T(w) such that

- ▶  $\Delta(w) \subset T(w)$  and the cokernel has a Verma flag;
- ightharpoonup T(w) is self-dual.

T(w) — tilting module

Fact.  $\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is a basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason: Extensions between Vermas are directed.

Question. Which bases in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  correspond to:

▶ { $[L(w)] : w \in S_n$ }? ▶ { $[\Delta(w)] : w \in S_n$ }? ▶ { $[P(w)] : w \in S_n$ }? ▶ { $[T(w)] : w \in S_n$ }?

Theorem. [Collingwood-Irving, Ringel] For  $w \in S_n$  there is a unique indecomposable module T(w) such that

- ▶  $\Delta(w) \subset T(w)$  and the cokernel has a Verma flag;
- ightharpoonup T(w) is self-dual.

T(w) — tilting module

Fact.  $\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is a basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason: Extensions between Vermas are directed.

- $\blacktriangleright \{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}?$
- $\blacktriangleright \{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}?$
- $\qquad \qquad \{ [P(w)] : w \in S_n \}?$
- ▶  $\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$ ?

Theorem. [Collingwood-Irving, Ringel] For  $w \in S_n$  there is a unique indecomposable module T(w) such that

- ▶  $\Delta(w) \subset T(w)$  and the cokernel has a Verma flag;
- ightharpoonup T(w) is self-dual.

T(w) — tilting module

Fact.  $\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is a basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason: Extensions between Vermas are directed.

- $\blacktriangleright \{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}?$
- $\blacktriangleright \{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}?$
- ▶  $\{[P(w)] : w \in S_n\}$ ?
- $[T(w)]: w \in S_n$ ?

Theorem. [Collingwood-Irving, Ringel] For  $w \in S_n$  there is a unique indecomposable module T(w) such that

- ▶  $\Delta(w) \subset T(w)$  and the cokernel has a Verma flag;
- ightharpoonup T(w) is self-dual.

T(w) — tilting module

Fact.  $\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is a basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason: Extensions between Vermas are directed.

- $\blacktriangleright \{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}?$
- $\blacktriangleright \{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}?$
- ▶  $\{[P(w)] : w \in S_n\}$ ?
- $\blacktriangleright \{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}?$

Theorem. [Collingwood-Irving, Ringel] For  $w \in S_n$  there is a unique indecomposable module T(w) such that

- ▶  $\Delta(w) \subset T(w)$  and the cokernel has a Verma flag;
- ightharpoonup T(w) is self-dual.

T(w) — tilting module

Fact.  $\{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}$  is a basis in  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ .

Reason: Extensions between Vermas are directed.

- $\blacktriangleright \{[L(w)]: w \in S_n\}?$
- $\blacktriangleright \{[\Delta(w)]: w \in S_n\}?$
- ▶  $\{[P(w)] : w \in S_n\}$ ?
- $\blacktriangleright \{[T(w)]: w \in S_n\}?$

Recall: A projective functor  $\theta: \mathcal{O}_0 \to \mathcal{O}_0$  is a direct summand of  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$  —, where V is finite dimensional

#### Theorem. [Bernstein-S. Gelfand]

- (a) There is a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable projective functor  $\theta_w$  such that  $\theta_w P(e) \cong P(w)$ .
- (b)  $\{\theta_w: w \in S_n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective endofunctors of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

Definition.  $\mathcal{P}$  — the category of projective functors.

Recall: A projective functor  $\theta: \mathcal{O}_0 \to \mathcal{O}_0$  is a direct summand of  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$  —, where V is finite dimensional

Theorem. [Bernstein-S. Gelfand]

- (a) There is a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable projective functor  $\theta_w$  such that  $\theta_w P(e) \cong P(w)$ .
- (b)  $\{\theta_w : w \in S_n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective endofunctors of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

Definition.  $\mathcal{P}$  — the category of projective functors.

Recall: A projective functor  $\theta: \mathcal{O}_0 \to \mathcal{O}_0$  is a direct summand of  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$  , where V is finite dimensional

#### Theorem. [Bernstein-S. Gelfand]

- (a) There is a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable projective functor  $\theta_w$  such that  $\theta_w P(e) \cong P(w)$ .
- (b)  $\{\theta_w : w \in S_n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective endofunctors of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

Definition.  $\mathcal{P}$  — the category of projective functors.

Recall: A projective functor  $\theta: \mathcal{O}_0 \to \mathcal{O}_0$  is a direct summand of  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$  —, where V is finite dimensional

#### Theorem. [Bernstein-S. Gelfand]

- (a) There is a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable projective functor  $\theta_w$  such that  $\theta_w P(e) \cong P(w)$ .
- (b)  $\{\theta_w : w \in S_n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective endofunctors of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

Definition. P — the category of projective functors.

Recall: A projective functor  $\theta: \mathcal{O}_0 \to \mathcal{O}_0$  is a direct summand of  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$ , where V is finite dimensional

#### Theorem. [Bernstein-S. Gelfand]

- (a) There is a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable projective functor  $\theta_w$  such that  $\theta_w P(e) \cong P(w)$ .
- (b)  $\{\theta_w : w \in S_n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective endofunctors of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

Definition.  $\mathcal{P}$  — the category of projective functors.

Recall: A projective functor  $\theta: \mathcal{O}_0 \to \mathcal{O}_0$  is a direct summand of  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$  —, where V is finite dimensional

#### Theorem. [Bernstein-S. Gelfand]

- (a) There is a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable projective functor  $\theta_w$  such that  $\theta_w P(e) \cong P(w)$ .
- (b)  $\{\theta_w : w \in S_n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective endofunctors of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

Definition.  $\mathcal{P}$  — the category of projective functors.

Recall: A projective functor  $\theta: \mathcal{O}_0 \to \mathcal{O}_0$  is a direct summand of  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$  —, where V is finite dimensional

#### Theorem. [Bernstein-S. Gelfand]

- (a) There is a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable projective functor  $\theta_w$  such that  $\theta_w P(e) \cong P(w)$ .
- (b)  $\{\theta_w : w \in S_n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective endofunctors of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

Definition.  $\mathcal{P}$  — the category of projective functors.

Recall: A projective functor  $\theta: \mathcal{O}_0 \to \mathcal{O}_0$  is a direct summand of  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$  —, where V is finite dimensional

#### Theorem. [Bernstein-S. Gelfand]

- (a) There is a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable projective functor  $\theta_w$  such that  $\theta_w P(e) \cong P(w)$ .
- (b)  $\{\theta_w : w \in S_n\}$  is a cross-section of isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective endofunctors of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

Definition.  $\mathcal{P}$  — the category of projective functors.

Observation. For s simple reflection and  $w \in S_n$  there are s.e.s.

$$\Delta(ws) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(w) \text{ if } ws > w$$

$$\Delta(w) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(ws)$$
 if  $ws < w$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{P}$  is generated by  $\theta_s$ , s simple reflection, as a tensor category.

Corollary.  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Question. Which basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Observation. For s simple reflection and  $w \in S_n$  there are s.e.s.

$$\Delta(ws) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(w)$$
 if  $ws > w$ ,

$$\Delta(w) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(ws)$$
 if  $ws < w$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{P}$  is generated by  $\theta_s$ , s simple reflection, as a tensor category.

Corollary.  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Question. Which basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Observation. For s simple reflection and  $w \in S_n$  there are s.e.s.

$$\Delta(ws) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(w)$$
 if  $ws > w$ ,

$$\Delta(w) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(ws) \text{ if } ws < w.$$

Fact.  $\mathcal{P}$  is generated by  $\theta_s$ , s simple reflection, as a tensor category.

Corollary.  $\mathrm{Gr}_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Question. Which basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Observation. For s simple reflection and  $w \in S_n$  there are s.e.s.

$$\Delta(ws) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(w)$$
 if  $ws > w$ ,

$$\Delta(w) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(ws)$$
 if  $ws < w$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{P}$  is generated by  $\theta_s$ , s simple reflection, as a tensor category.

Corollary.  $Gr_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Question. Which basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?



Observation. For s simple reflection and  $w \in S_n$  there are s.e.s.

$$\Delta(ws) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(w) \text{ if } ws > w$$
,

$$\Delta(w) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(ws)$$
 if  $ws < w$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{P}$  is generated by  $\theta_s$ , s simple reflection, as a tensor category.

Corollary.  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Question. Which basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Observation. For s simple reflection and  $w \in S_n$  there are s.e.s.

$$\Delta(ws) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(w) \text{ if } ws > w$$
,

$$\Delta(w) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(ws)$$
 if  $ws < w$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{P}$  is generated by  $\theta_s$ , s simple reflection, as a tensor category.

Corollary.  $Gr_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Question. Which basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?



Observation. For s simple reflection and  $w \in S_n$  there are s.e.s.

$$\Delta(ws) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(w)$$
 if  $ws > w$ ,

$$\Delta(w) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(ws)$$
 if  $ws < w$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{P}$  is generated by  $\theta_s$ , s simple reflection, as a tensor category.

Corollary.  $Gr_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Question. Which basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?



Observation. For s simple reflection and  $w \in S_n$  there are s.e.s.

$$\Delta(ws) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(w)$$
 if  $ws > w$ ,

$$\Delta(w) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(ws)$$
 if  $ws < w$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{P}$  is generated by  $\theta_s$ , s simple reflection, as a tensor category.

Corollary. 
$$Gr_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$$

Question. Which basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Observation. For s simple reflection and  $w \in S_n$  there are s.e.s.

$$\Delta(ws) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(w)$$
 if  $ws > w$ ,

$$\Delta(w) \hookrightarrow \theta_s \Delta(w) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(ws)$$
 if  $ws < w$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{P}$  is generated by  $\theta_s$ , s simple reflection, as a tensor category.

Corollary. 
$$Gr_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$$

Question. Which basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Note. Projective functors are exact.

Consequence. Each  $[\theta_w]$  is an endomorphism of  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{O}_0)$ 

Identify:  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\Delta(w)] \mapsto w$ .

Identify:  $Gr_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P})$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\theta_s] \mapsto (e+s)$ .

Theorem The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is a categorification of the right regular  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ -module.

$$\mathcal{O}_0 \searrow_{\mathcal{P}} \stackrel{\operatorname{Gr}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z}[S_n] \searrow_{\mathbb{Z}[S_n]}$$

Note. Projective functors are exact.

Consequence. Each  $[\theta_w]$  is an endomorphism of  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{O}_0)$ 

Identify:  $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{O}_0)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\Delta(w)]\mapsto w$ .

Identify:  $Gr_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P})$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\theta_s] \mapsto (e+s)$ .

Theorem The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is a categorification of the right regular  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ -module.

$$\mathcal{O}_0 \searrow_{\mathcal{P}} \stackrel{\operatorname{Gr}}{\longmapsto} \mathbb{Z}[S_n] \underset{\mathbb{Z}[S_n]}{\searrow}$$

Note. Projective functors are exact.

Consequence. Each  $[\theta_w]$  is an endomorphism of  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ 

Identify:  $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{O}_0)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\Delta(w)]\mapsto w$ .

Identify:  $\mathrm{Gr}_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P})$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\theta_s]\mapsto (e+s)$ .

Theorem The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is a categorification of the right regular  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ -module.

$$\mathcal{O}_0 \searrow_{\mathcal{P}} \stackrel{\operatorname{Gr}}{\longmapsto} \mathbb{Z}[S_n]_{\mathbb{Z}[S_n]}$$

Note. Projective functors are exact.

Consequence. Each  $[\theta_w]$  is an endomorphism of  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ 

Identify:  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\Delta(w)] \mapsto w$ .

Identify:  $Gr_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P})$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\theta_s] \mapsto (e+s)$ .

Theorem The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is a categorification of the right regular  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ -module.

$$\mathcal{O}_0 \lesssim_{\mathcal{P}} \stackrel{\operatorname{Gr}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z}[S_n] \underset{\mathbb{Z}[S_n]}{\searrow}$$

Note. Projective functors are exact.

Consequence. Each  $[\theta_w]$  is an endomorphism of  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ 

Identify:  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\Delta(w)] \mapsto w$ .

Identify:  $Gr_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P})$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\theta_s] \mapsto (e+s)$ .

Theorem The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is a categorification of the right regular  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ -module.

$$\mathcal{O}_0 \searrow_{\mathcal{P}} \stackrel{\operatorname{Gr}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z}[S_n]_{\mathcal{Z}[S_n]}$$

Note. Projective functors are exact.

Consequence. Each  $[\theta_w]$  is an endomorphism of  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$ 

Identify:  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\Delta(w)] \mapsto w$ .

Identify:  $Gr_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P})$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\theta_s] \mapsto (e+s)$ .

Theorem The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is a categorification of the right regular  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ -module.

$$\mathcal{O}_0 \searrow_{\mathcal{P}} \stackrel{\operatorname{Gr}}{\longmapsto} \mathbb{Z}[S_n] \searrow_{\mathbb{Z}[S_n]}$$

Note. Projective functors are exact.

Consequence. Each  $[\theta_w]$  is an endomorphism of  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{O}_0)$ 

Identify:  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\Delta(w)] \mapsto w$ .

Identify:  $Gr_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P})$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\theta_s] \mapsto (e+s)$ .

Theorem The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is a categorification of the right regular  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ -module.

$$\mathcal{O}_0 \searrow_{\mathcal{P}} \stackrel{\operatorname{Gr}}{\longmapsto} \mathbb{Z}[S_n] \searrow_{\mathbb{Z}[S_n]}$$

Note. Projective functors are exact.

Consequence. Each  $[\theta_w]$  is an endomorphism of  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{O}_0)$ 

Identify:  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\Delta(w)] \mapsto w$ .

Identify:  $Gr_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P})$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\theta_s] \mapsto (e+s)$ .

Theorem The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is a categorification of the right regular  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ -module.

$$\mathcal{O}_0 \underset{\mathcal{P}}{ \smile} \stackrel{\operatorname{Gr}}{\longmapsto} \quad \mathbb{Z}[S_n] \underset{\mathbb{Z}[S_n]}{ \smile}$$

Note. Projective functors are exact.

Consequence. Each  $[\theta_w]$  is an endomorphism of  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{O}_0)$ 

Identify:  $Gr(\mathcal{O}_0)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\Delta(w)] \mapsto w$ .

Identify:  $Gr_{\oplus}(\mathcal{P})$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  via  $[\theta_s] \mapsto (e+s)$ .

Theorem The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is a categorification of the right regular  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ -module.

$$\mathcal{O}_0 \underset{\mathcal{P}}{ \smile} \stackrel{\operatorname{Gr}}{\longmapsto} \quad \mathbb{Z}[S_n] \underset{\mathbb{Z}[S_n]}{ \smile}$$

## Kazhdan-Lusztig basis

Note. The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  categorifies  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and not  $S_n$ .

Question. What is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Answer. This is the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis.

Remark. This is equivalent to Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture (=theorem).

Remark. Recent algebraic proof by Elias-Williamson.

Remark. To define Kazhdan-Lusztig basis one needs to deform  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  to the Hecke algebra.

Categorically this means to introduce a grading on  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

## Kazhdan-Lusztig basis

Note. The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  categorifies  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and not  $S_n$ .

Question. What is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Answer. This is the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis.

Remark. This is equivalent to Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture (=theorem).

Remark. Recent algebraic proof by Elias-Williamson.

Remark. To define Kazhdan-Lusztig basis one needs to deform  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  to the Hecke algebra.

Categorically this means to introduce a grading on  $\mathcal{O}_0$ 

Note. The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  categorifies  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and not  $S_n$ .

Question. What is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Answer. This is the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis.

Remark. This is equivalent to Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture (=theorem).

Remark. Recent algebraic proof by Elias-Williamson.

Remark. To define Kazhdan-Lusztig basis one needs to deform  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  to the Hecke algebra.

Categorically this means to introduce a grading on  $\mathcal{O}_0$ 

Note. The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  categorifies  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and not  $S_n$ .

Question. What is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Answer. This is the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis.

Remark. This is equivalent to Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture (=theorem).

Remark. Recent algebraic proof by Elias-Williamson.

Remark. To define Kazhdan-Lusztig basis one needs to deform  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  to the Hecke algebra.

Categorically this means to introduce a grading on  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

Note. The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  categorifies  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and not  $S_n$ .

Question. What is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Answer. This is the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis.

Remark. This is equivalent to Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture (=theorem).

Remark. Recent algebraic proof by Elias-Williamson.

Remark. To define Kazhdan-Lusztig basis one needs to deform  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  to the Hecke algebra.

Categorically this means to introduce a grading on  $\mathcal{O}_0$ 

Note. The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  categorifies  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and not  $S_n$ .

Question. What is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Answer. This is the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis.

Remark. This is equivalent to Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture (=theorem).

Remark. Recent algebraic proof by Elias-Williamson.

Remark. To define Kazhdan-Lusztig basis one needs to deform  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  to the Hecke algebra.

Categorically this means to introduce a grading on  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

Note. The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  categorifies  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and not  $S_n$ .

Question. What is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Answer. This is the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis.

Remark. This is equivalent to Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture (=theorem).

Remark. Recent algebraic proof by Elias-Williamson.

Remark. To define Kazhdan-Lusztig basis one needs to deform  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  to the Hecke algebra.

Categorically this means to introduce a grading on  $\mathcal{O}_0$ 

Note. The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  categorifies  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and not  $S_n$ .

Question. What is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Answer. This is the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis.

Remark. This is equivalent to Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture (=theorem).

Remark. Recent algebraic proof by Elias-Williamson.

Remark. To define Kazhdan-Lusztig basis one needs to deform  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  to the Hecke algebra.

Categorically this means to introduce a grading on  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

Note. The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  categorifies  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and not  $S_n$ .

Question. What is  $\{[\theta_w], w \in S_n\}$ ?

Answer. This is the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis.

Remark. This is equivalent to Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture (=theorem).

Remark. Recent algebraic proof by Elias-Williamson.

Remark. To define Kazhdan-Lusztig basis one needs to deform  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  to the Hecke algebra.

Categorically this means to introduce a grading on  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$$
 — polynomial algebra

grading: 
$$\deg(x_i) = 2$$

$$S_n$$
 acts on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  by permuting indices

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n]_i^{S_n}$$
 — invariant homogeneous polynomials of degree  $i$ 

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n} = \bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{S_n}$$

Definition. The coinvariant algebra is

$$\mathbf{C} := \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] / (\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n}).$$

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$$
 — polynomial algebra

grading: 
$$deg(x_i) = 2$$

$$S_n$$
 acts on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  by permuting indices

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{S_n}$$
 — invariant homogeneous polynomials of degree  $i$ 

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n} = \bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{s_n}$$

Definition. The coinvariant algebra is  $\mathbf{C} := \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] / (\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n})$ 

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$$
 — polynomial algebra

grading: 
$$deg(x_i) = 2$$

$$S_n$$
 acts on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  by permuting indices

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{S_n}$$
 — invariant homogeneous polynomials of degree  $i$ 

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n} = \bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{s_n}$$

Definition. The coinvariant algebra is

$$\mathbf{C} := \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] / (\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n})$$



 $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  — polynomial algebra

grading:  $deg(x_i) = 2$ 

 $S_n$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  by permuting indices

 $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{S_n}$  — invariant homogeneous polynomials of degree i

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n} = \bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{S_n}$$

Definition. The coinvariant algebra is  $\mathbf{C} := \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] / (\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n}).$ 

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$$
 — polynomial algebra

grading: 
$$deg(x_i) = 2$$

$$S_n$$
 acts on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  by permuting indices

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{S_n}$$
 — invariant homogeneous polynomials of degree  $i$ 

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n} = \bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{S_n}$$

Definition. The coinvariant algebra is  $\mathbf{C} := \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] / (\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n}).$ 



$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$$
 — polynomial algebra

grading: 
$$deg(x_i) = 2$$

$$S_n$$
 acts on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  by permuting indices

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{S_n}$$
 — invariant homogeneous polynomials of degree  $i$ 

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{s_n} = \bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{s_n}$$

Definition. The coinvariant algebra is  $\mathbf{C} := \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] / (\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n}).$ 

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$$
 — polynomial algebra

grading: 
$$deg(x_i) = 2$$

$$S_n$$
 acts on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  by permuting indices

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{S_n}$$
 — invariant homogeneous polynomials of degree  $i$ 

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{s_n} = \bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{s_n}$$

Definition. The coinvariant algebra is

$$\mathbf{C} := \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] / (\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n}).$$



$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$$
 — polynomial algebra

grading: 
$$deg(x_i) = 2$$

$$S_n$$
 acts on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  by permuting indices

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{S_n}$$
 — invariant homogeneous polynomials of degree  $i$ 

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n} = \bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{S_n}$$

Definition. The coinvariant algebra is

$$\mathbf{C} := \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] / (\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n}).$$



$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$$
 — polynomial algebra

grading: 
$$deg(x_i) = 2$$

$$S_n$$
 acts on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  by permuting indices

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{S_n}$$
 — invariant homogeneous polynomials of degree  $i$ 

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n} = \bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_i^{S_n}$$

Definition. The coinvariant algebra is

$$\mathbf{C} := \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] / (\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_+^{S_n}).$$



$$s_i = (i, i+1)$$
 — simple reflection in  $S_n$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n-1$ 

Fact.  $S_n$  is a Coxeter group with generators  $s_i$ 

$$\mathfrak{l}:S_n o \mathbb{Z}$$
 — the length function

 $C^{s_i}$  — the algebra of  $s_i$ -invariants in C

Definition. [Soergel] Define inductively C-C-bimodules  $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  as follows:

- $\triangleright$   $B_e := \mathbf{C}$
- ▶ For  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}$  reduced decomposition, the bimodule  $B_w$  is the unique indecomposable direct summand of

$$\mathsf{C} \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_1}}} \mathsf{C} \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_2}}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_k}}} \mathsf{C}$$



$$s_i = (i, i+1)$$
 — simple reflection in  $S_n$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n-1$ 

Fact.  $S_n$  is a Coxeter group with generators  $s_i$ 

$$\mathfrak{l}:S_n \to \mathbb{Z}$$
 — the length function

 $C^{s_i}$  — the algebra of  $s_i$ -invariants in C

Definition. [Soergel] Define inductively C-C-bimodules  $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  as follows:

- $\triangleright$   $B_e := \mathbf{C}$
- ▶ For  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}$  reduced decomposition, the bimodule  $B_w$  is the unique indecomposable direct summand of

$$C \otimes_{C^{\mathfrak{s}_{i_1}}} C \otimes_{C^{\mathfrak{s}_{i_2}}} \cdots \otimes_{C^{\mathfrak{s}_{i_k}}} C$$

$$s_i = (i, i+1)$$
 — simple reflection in  $S_n$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ 

Fact.  $S_n$  is a Coxeter group with generators  $s_i$ 

$$l: S_n \to \mathbb{Z}$$
 — the length function

 $C^{s_i}$  — the algebra of  $s_i$ -invariants in C

Definition. [Soergel] Define inductively C-C-bimodules  $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  as follows:

- $\triangleright$   $B_e := \mathbf{C}$
- ▶ For  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}$  reduced decomposition, the bimodule  $B_w$  is the unique indecomposable direct summand of

$$C \otimes_{C^{\mathfrak{s}_{i_1}}} C \otimes_{C^{\mathfrak{s}_{i_2}}} \cdots \otimes_{C^{\mathfrak{s}_{i_k}}} C$$

$$s_i = (i, i+1)$$
 — simple reflection in  $S_n$  for  $i=1,2,\ldots,n-1$ 

Fact.  $S_n$  is a Coxeter group with generators  $s_i$ 

$$\mathfrak{l}:S_n \to \mathbb{Z}$$
 — the length function

 $C^{s_i}$  — the algebra of  $s_i$ -invariants in C

Definition. [Soergel] Define inductively C-C-bimodules  $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  as follows:

- ▶ B<sub>e</sub> := **C**
- ▶ For  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}$  reduced decomposition, the bimodule  $B_w$  is the unique indecomposable direct summand of

$$\mathsf{C} \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_1}}} \mathsf{C} \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_2}}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_k}}} \mathsf{C}$$



$$s_i = (i, i+1)$$
 — simple reflection in  $S_n$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ 

Fact.  $S_n$  is a Coxeter group with generators  $s_i$ 

 $l: S_n \to \mathbb{Z}$  — the length function

 $C^{s_i}$  — the algebra of  $s_i$ -invariants in C

Definition. [Soergel] Define inductively C-C-bimodules  $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  as follows:

- $\triangleright$   $B_e := \mathbf{C}$
- ▶ For  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}$  reduced decomposition, the bimodule  $B_w$  is the unique indecomposable direct summand of

$$\mathsf{C} \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_1}}} \mathsf{C} \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_2}}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_k}}} \mathsf{C}$$



$$s_i = (i, i+1)$$
 — simple reflection in  $S_n$  for  $i=1,2,\ldots,n-1$ 

Fact.  $S_n$  is a Coxeter group with generators  $s_i$ 

 $\mathfrak{l}:S_n \to \mathbb{Z}$  — the length function

 $C^{s_i}$  — the algebra of  $s_i$ -invariants in C

Definition. [Soergel] Define inductively **C-C**-bimodules  $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  as follows:

- $\triangleright$   $B_e := \mathbf{C}$
- ▶ For  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}$  reduced decomposition, the bimodule  $B_w$  is the unique indecomposable direct summand of

$$\mathsf{C} \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_1}}} \mathsf{C} \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_2}}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_k}}} \mathsf{C}$$

which is not isomorphic to  $B_x$ , where l(x) < l(w).

$$s_i = (i, i+1)$$
 — simple reflection in  $S_n$  for  $i=1,2,\ldots,n-1$ 

Fact.  $S_n$  is a Coxeter group with generators  $s_i$ 

 $\mathfrak{l}:S_n \to \mathbb{Z}$  — the length function

 $C^{s_i}$  — the algebra of  $s_i$ -invariants in C

Definition. [Soergel] Define inductively **C-C**-bimodules  $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  as follows:

- $\triangleright$   $B_e := \mathbf{C}$
- ▶ For  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}$  reduced decomposition, the bimodule  $B_w$  is the unique indecomposable direct summand of

$$C\otimes_{C^{\mathfrak{s}_{i_1}}}C\otimes_{C^{\mathfrak{s}_{i_2}}}\cdots\otimes_{C^{\mathfrak{s}_{i_k}}}C$$

which is not isomorphic to  $B_x$ , where l(x) < l(w).

$$s_i = (i, i+1)$$
 — simple reflection in  $S_n$  for  $i=1,2,\ldots,n-1$ 

Fact.  $S_n$  is a Coxeter group with generators  $s_i$ 

 $\mathfrak{l}:S_n \to \mathbb{Z}$  — the length function

 $C^{s_i}$  — the algebra of  $s_i$ -invariants in C

Definition. [Soergel] Define inductively **C-C**-bimodules  $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  as follows:

- $\triangleright$   $B_e := \mathbf{C}$
- ▶ For  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}$  reduced decomposition, the bimodule  $B_w$  is the unique indecomposable direct summand of

$$\mathsf{C} \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_1}}} \mathsf{C} \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_2}}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_k}}} \mathsf{C}$$

$$s_i = (i, i+1)$$
 — simple reflection in  $S_n$  for  $i=1,2,\ldots,n-1$ 

Fact.  $S_n$  is a Coxeter group with generators  $s_i$ 

 $\mathfrak{l}:S_n \to \mathbb{Z}$  — the length function

 $C^{s_i}$  — the algebra of  $s_i$ -invariants in C

Definition. [Soergel] Define inductively **C-C**-bimodules  $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  as follows:

- $\triangleright$   $B_e := \mathbf{C}$
- ▶ For  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}$  reduced decomposition, the bimodule  $B_w$  is the unique indecomposable direct summand of

$$\mathsf{C} \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_1}}} \mathsf{C} \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_2}}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^{s_{i_k}}} \mathsf{C}$$

 $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  — Soergel bimodules

Note: For s simple reflection,  $B_s \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_s \cong B_s \oplus B_s$ 

Fact. For  $x, y \in S_n$ , each direct summand of the **C-C**-bimodule  $B_x \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_y$  is isomorphic to  $B_z$  for some  $z \in S_n$ .

Definition. S is the (additive) tensor category of Soergel bimodules.

Theorem. [Soergel's combinatorial description]

The categories  ${\mathcal P}$  and  ${\mathcal S}$  are equivalent as tensor categories

Corollary.  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\oplus}[\mathcal{S}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

 $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  — Soergel bimodules

Note: For s simple reflection,  $B_s \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_s \cong B_s \oplus B_s$ 

Fact. For  $x, y \in S_n$ , each direct summand of the **C-C**-bimodule  $B_x \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_y$  is isomorphic to  $B_z$  for some  $z \in S_n$ .

Definition.  ${\cal S}$  is the (additive) tensor category of Soergel bimodules.

Theorem. [Soergel's combinatorial description]

The categories  $\mathcal P$  and  $\mathcal S$  are equivalent as tensor categories.

Corollary.  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\oplus}[\mathcal{S}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{S}_n]$ 

 $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  — Soergel bimodules

Note: For s simple reflection,  $B_s \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_s \cong B_s \oplus B_s$ .

Fact. For  $x, y \in S_n$ , each direct summand of the **C-C**-bimodule  $B_x \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_y$  is isomorphic to  $B_z$  for some  $z \in S_n$ .

Definition. S is the (additive) tensor category of Soergel bimodules.

Theorem. [Soergel's combinatorial description]

The categories  ${\mathcal P}$  and  ${\mathcal S}$  are equivalent as tensor categories

Corollary.  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\oplus}[\mathcal{S}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{S}_n]$ 

 $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  — Soergel bimodules

Note: For s simple reflection,  $B_s \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_s \cong B_s \oplus B_s$ .

Fact. For  $x, y \in S_n$ , each direct summand of the **C-C**-bimodule  $B_x \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_y$  is isomorphic to  $B_z$  for some  $z \in S_n$ .

Definition.  ${\cal S}$  is the (additive) tensor category of Soergel bimodules.

Theorem. [Soergel's combinatorial description]

The categories  ${\mathcal P}$  and  ${\mathcal S}$  are equivalent as tensor categories

Corollary.  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\oplus}[S] \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

 $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  — Soergel bimodules

Note: For s simple reflection,  $B_s \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_s \cong B_s \oplus B_s$ .

Fact. For  $x, y \in S_n$ , each direct summand of the **C-C**-bimodule  $B_x \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_y$  is isomorphic to  $B_z$  for some  $z \in S_n$ .

Definition. S is the (additive) tensor category of Soergel bimodules.

Theorem. [Soergel's combinatorial description]

The categories  ${\mathcal P}$  and  ${\mathcal S}$  are equivalent as tensor categories.

Corollary.  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\oplus}[S] \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ .

 $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  — Soergel bimodules

Note: For s simple reflection,  $B_s \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_s \cong B_s \oplus B_s$ .

Fact. For  $x, y \in S_n$ , each direct summand of the **C-C**-bimodule  $B_x \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_y$  is isomorphic to  $B_z$  for some  $z \in S_n$ .

Definition. S is the (additive) tensor category of Soergel bimodules.

Theorem. [Soergel's combinatorial description]

The categories  ${\cal P}$  and  ${\cal S}$  are equivalent as tensor categories.

Corollary.  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\oplus}[\mathcal{S}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 



 $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  — Soergel bimodules

Note: For s simple reflection,  $B_s \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_s \cong B_s \oplus B_s$ .

Fact. For  $x, y \in S_n$ , each direct summand of the **C-C**-bimodule  $B_x \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_y$  is isomorphic to  $B_z$  for some  $z \in S_n$ .

Definition. S is the (additive) tensor category of Soergel bimodules.

Theorem. [Soergel's combinatorial description]

The categories  ${\cal P}$  and  ${\cal S}$  are equivalent as tensor categories.

Corollary.  $Gr_{\oplus}[S] \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ .

 $B_w$ ,  $w \in S_n$  — Soergel bimodules

Note: For s simple reflection,  $B_s \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_s \cong B_s \oplus B_s$ .

Fact. For  $x, y \in S_n$ , each direct summand of the **C-C**-bimodule  $B_x \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B_y$  is isomorphic to  $B_z$  for some  $z \in S_n$ .

Definition. S is the (additive) tensor category of Soergel bimodules.

Theorem. [Soergel's combinatorial description]

The categories  ${\cal P}$  and  ${\cal S}$  are equivalent as tensor categories.

Corollary.  $Gr_{\oplus}[S] \cong \mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ .

# Categorification of permutation modules

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda\subset\mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $_{\lambda} \mathrm{Long}$  — longest representatives in  $W_{\lambda} \backslash W$ 

 $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by  $\mathit{L}(w)$ ,  $w 
ot \in {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_\lambda$  categorifies the permutation module  $\mathrm{Ind}_{W_\lambda}^W$  triv.

# Categorification of permutation modules

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}\subset\mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $_{\lambda} \mathrm{Long}$  — longest representatives in  $W_{\lambda} \backslash W$ 

 $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by  $\mathit{L}(w)$ ,  $w 
ot\in {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_\lambda$  categorifies the permutation module  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_\lambda}^W$  triv.

# Categorification of permutation modules

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}\subset\mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_r$ 

 $_{\lambda} \mathrm{Long}$  — longest representatives in  $W_{\lambda} \backslash W$ 

 $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \notin {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_\lambda$  categorifies the permutation module  $\mathrm{Ind}_{W_\lambda}^W$  triv.

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}\subset\mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $_{\lambda} \mathrm{Long}$  — longest representatives in  $W_{\lambda} \backslash W$ 

 $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \notin {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_\lambda$  categorifies the permutation module  $\mathrm{Ind}_{W_\lambda}^W$  triv.

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $_{\lambda} \mathrm{Long}$  — longest representatives in  $W_{\lambda} \backslash W$ 

 $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \notin {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_\lambda$  categorifies the permutation module  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_\lambda}^W\operatorname{triv}$ .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $_{\lambda} \mathrm{Long}$  — longest representatives in  $W_{\lambda} \backslash W$ 

 $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \notin {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_\lambda$  categorifies the permutation module  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_\lambda}^W$  triv.

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $_{\lambda} \mathrm{Long}$  — longest representatives in  $W_{\lambda} \backslash W$ 

 $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \notin {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_\lambda$  categorifies the permutation module  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_\lambda}^W$  triv.

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $_{\lambda} \mathrm{Long}$  — longest representatives in  $W_{\lambda} \backslash W$ 

 $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \notin {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_\lambda$  categorifies the permutation module  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_\lambda}^W\operatorname{triv}$ .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $_{\lambda} \mathrm{Long}$  — longest representatives in  $W_{\lambda} \backslash W$ 

 $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \notin {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_\lambda$  categorifies the permutation module  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_\lambda}^W\operatorname{triv}$ .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda\subset\mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $\operatorname{Short}_{\lambda}$  — shortest representatives in  $W/W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \operatorname{Short}_{\lambda}$  (Rocha-Caridi's parabolic category  $\mathcal{O}$ )

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Soergel]

The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  categorifies the induced sign module  $\mathrm{Ind}_{W_{\lambda}}^{W}$  sign



$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda\subset\mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_r$ 

Short $_{\lambda}$  — shortest representatives in  $W/W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \operatorname{Short}_{\lambda}$ , (Rocha-Caridi's parabolic category  $\mathcal{O}$ )

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Soergel]

The action of  $\mathcal P$  on  $\mathcal Y_\lambda$  categorifies the induced sign module  $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal W_\lambda}^W \mathrm{sign}$ 

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}\subset\mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_r$ 

Short $_{\lambda}$  — shortest representatives in  $W/W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \operatorname{Short}_{\lambda}$ , (Rocha-Caridi's parabolic category  $\mathcal{O}$ )

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Soergel]

The action of  $\mathcal P$  on  $\mathcal Y_\lambda$  categorifies the induced sign module  $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal W_\lambda}^W \mathrm{sign}$ 

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}\subset\mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

Short $_{\lambda}$  — shortest representatives in  $W/W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \operatorname{Short}_{\lambda}$  (Rocha-Caridi's parabolic category  $\mathcal{O}$ )

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Soergel]

The action of  $\mathcal P$  on  $\mathcal Y_\lambda$  categorifies the induced sign module  $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal W_\lambda}^W \mathrm{sign}$ 

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}\subset\mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $\operatorname{Short}_{\lambda}$  — shortest representatives in  $W/W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \mathrm{Short}_{\lambda}$ , (Rocha-Caridi's parabolic category  $\mathcal{O}$ )

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Soergel]

The action of  $\mathcal P$  on  $\mathcal Y_\lambda$  categorifies the induced sign module  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_\lambda}^W\operatorname{sign}$ .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}\subset\mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $\operatorname{Short}_{\lambda}$  — shortest representatives in  $W/W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \mathrm{Short}_{\lambda}$ , (Rocha-Caridi's parabolic category  $\mathcal{O}$ )

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Soergel]

The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  categorifies the induced sign module  $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}_{\lambda}}^{\mathcal{W}}$  sign

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}\subset\mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $\operatorname{Short}_{\lambda}$  — shortest representatives in  $W/W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \mathrm{Short}_{\lambda}$ , (Rocha-Caridi's parabolic category  $\mathcal{O}$ )

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Soergel

The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  categorifies the induced sign module  $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}_{\lambda}}^{\mathcal{W}}$  sign



$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $\operatorname{Short}_{\lambda}$  — shortest representatives in  $W/W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \mathrm{Short}_{\lambda}$ , (Rocha-Caridi's parabolic category  $\mathcal{O}$ )

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Soergel]

The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  categorifies the induced sign module  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\lambda}}^{W}\operatorname{sign}$ .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — composition of  $n$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  — corresponding parabolic subalgebra.

 $W_{\lambda}$  — corresponding Young subgroup of  $S_n$ 

 $\operatorname{Short}_{\lambda}$  — shortest representatives in  $W/W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \mathrm{Short}_{\lambda}$ , (Rocha-Caridi's parabolic category  $\mathcal{O}$ )

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Soergel]

The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  categorifies the induced sign module  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\lambda}}^{W}\operatorname{sign}$ .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

 $w_0$  — longest element in W

 $w_0^{\lambda}$  — longest element in  $W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \mathrm{Short}_{\lambda}$ , such that  $\mathrm{GKdim}(L(w)) < \mathrm{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}w_0))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Khovanov-M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$  categorifies  $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda^{t}}$ 

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

 $w_0$  — longest element in W

 $w_0^{\lambda}$  — longest element in  $W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \operatorname{Short}_{\lambda}$ , such that  $\operatorname{GKdim}(L(w)) < \operatorname{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}w_0))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Khovanov-M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$  categorifies  $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda^t}$ .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

 $w_0$  — longest element in W

 $w_0^{\lambda}$  — longest element in  $W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \operatorname{Short}_{\lambda}$ , such that  $\operatorname{GKdim}(L(w)) < \operatorname{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}w_0))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Khovanov-M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal P$  on  $\mathcal Y_\lambda/\mathcal Y_\lambda'$  categorifies  $\mathcal S^{\lambda^t}$ 

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

 $w_0$  — longest element in W

 $w_0^\lambda$  — longest element in  $W_\lambda$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \operatorname{Short}_{\lambda}$ , such that  $\operatorname{GKdim}(L(w)) < \operatorname{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}w_0))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}_{2}^{\prime}$ 

Theorem. [Khovanov-M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal P$  on  $\mathcal Y_\lambda/\mathcal Y_\lambda'$  categorifies  $\mathcal S^{\lambda^t}$ 

$$\lambda=(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$   $w_0$  — longest element in  $W$   $w_0^\lambda$  — longest element in  $W_\lambda$   $\mathcal{Y}_\lambda'$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{Y}_\lambda$  generated by  $L(w),\ w\in\operatorname{Short}_\lambda$ , such

that  $GKdim(L(w)) < GKdim(L(w_0^{\lambda}w_0))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}_{2}^{\prime}$ 

Theorem. [Khovanov-M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$  categorifies  $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda^t}$ .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$   $w_0$  — longest element in  $W$   $w_0^{\lambda}$  — longest element in  $W_{\lambda}$   $\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  generated by  $L(w)$ ,  $w \in \mathrm{Short}_{\lambda}$ , such that  $\mathrm{GKdim}(L(w)) < \mathrm{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}w_0))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Khovanov-M.-Stroppel] The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$  categorifies  $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda^{\mathfrak{t}}}$ .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

 $w_0$  — longest element in W

 $w_0^\lambda$  — longest element in  $W_\lambda$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \mathrm{Short}_{\lambda}$ , such that  $\mathrm{GKdim}(L(w)) < \mathrm{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}w_0))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Khovanov-M.-Stroppel]

The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$  categorifies  $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda^t}$ .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

 $w_0$  — longest element in W

 $w_0^\lambda$  — longest element in  $W_\lambda$ 

 $\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}$  generated by L(w),  $w \in \mathrm{Short}_{\lambda}$ , such that  $\mathrm{GKdim}(L(w)) < \mathrm{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}w_0))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [Khovanov-M.-Stroppel]

The induced action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda}$  categorifies  $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda^t}$ .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

 $w_0^{\lambda}$  — longest element in  $W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  generated by L(w),  $w \in {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ , such that  $\mathrm{GKdim}(L(w)) = \mathrm{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel] The action of  ${\mathcal P}$  on  ${\mathcal X}'_\lambda$  categorifies  ${\mathcal S}$ 

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

 $w_0^{\lambda}$  — longest element in  $W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  generated by L(w),  $w \in {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ , such that  $\mathrm{GKdim}(L(w)) = \mathrm{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel]

The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  categorifies  $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$ 



$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

 $w_0^{\lambda}$  — longest element in  $W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  generated by L(w),  $w \in {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ , such that  $\mathrm{GKdim}(L(w)) = \mathrm{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel]

The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  categorifies  $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$ 

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

 $w_0^{\lambda}$  — longest element in  $W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  generated by L(w),  $w \in {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ , such that  $\mathrm{GKdim}(L(w)) = \mathrm{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$ 

The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{X}'$  categorif

The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  categorifies  $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$ 

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

 $w_0^{\lambda}$  — longest element in  $W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  generated by L(w),  $w \in {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ , such that  $\mathrm{GKdim}(L(w)) = \mathrm{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$ 

The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{X}'$  categorifies  $\mathcal{S}$ 



$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

 $w_0^{\lambda}$  — longest element in  $W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  generated by L(w),  $w \in {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ , such that  $\mathrm{GKdim}(L(w)) = \mathrm{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel]

The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  categorifies  $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$ .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

 $w_0^{\lambda}$  — longest element in  $W_{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  — Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_0/\mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$  generated by L(w),  $w \in {}_{\lambda}\mathrm{Long}$ , such that  $\mathrm{GKdim}(L(w)) = \mathrm{GKdim}(L(w_0^{\lambda}))$ 

Fact:  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$ 

Theorem. [M.-Stroppel]

The action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  categorifies  $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}$ .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

Question. Are categorifications of  $S^{\lambda}$  via  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda^{t}}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda^{t}}$  equivalent?

Need: An equivalence between these two categories which naturally commutes with the action of  ${\cal P}$ 

### Theorem. [M.-Stroppel]

These two categorifications are indeed equivalent (using derived completion functors).

#### ${\sf Theorem.}\,\,[{\sf M.-Miemietz}]$

Simple transitive categorification of a Specht module (using  $\mathcal{P}$ ) is unique up to equivalence.



$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

Question. Are categorifications of  $S^{\lambda}$  via  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda^{t}}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda^{t}}$  equivalent?

Need: An equivalence between these two categories which naturally commutes with the action of  ${\cal P}$ 

#### Theorem. [M.-Stroppel]

These two categorifications are indeed equivalent (using derived completion functors).

#### ${\sf Theorem.}\,\,[{\sf M.-Miemietz}]$

Simple transitive categorification of a Specht module (using  $\mathcal{P}$ ) is unique up to equivalence.



$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

Question. Are categorifications of  $S^{\lambda}$  via  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda^{t}}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda^{t}}$  equivalent?

Need: An equivalence between these two categories which naturally commutes with the action of  ${\cal P}$ 

### Theorem. [M.-Stroppel]

These two categorifications are indeed equivalent (using derived completion functors).

#### Theorem. [M.-Miemietz]

Simple transitive categorification of a Specht module (using  $\mathcal{P}$ ) is unique up to equivalence.



$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

Question. Are categorifications of  $S^{\lambda}$  via  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda^{t}}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda^{t}}$  equivalent?

Need: An equivalence between these two categories which naturally commutes with the action of  ${\cal P}$ 

### Theorem. [M.-Stroppel]

These two categorifications are indeed equivalent (using derived completion functors).

#### Theorem. [M.-Miemietz]

Simple transitive categorification of a Specht module (using  $\mathcal{P}$ ) is unique up to equivalence.



$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

Question. Are categorifications of  $S^{\lambda}$  via  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda^{t}}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda^{t}}$  equivalent?

Need: An equivalence between these two categories which naturally commutes with the action of  ${\cal P}$ 

### Theorem. [M.-Stroppel]

These two categorifications are indeed equivalent (using derived completion functors).

#### Theorem. [M.-Miemietz]

Simple transitive categorification of a Specht module (using  $\mathcal{P}$ ) is unique up to equivalence.



$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

Question. Are categorifications of  $S^{\lambda}$  via  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda^{t}}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda^{t}}$  equivalent?

Need: An equivalence between these two categories which naturally commutes with the action of  ${\cal P}$ 

### Theorem. [M.-Stroppel]

These two categorifications are indeed equivalent (using derived completion functors).

### Theorem. [M.-Miemietz]

Simple transitive categorification of a Specht module (using  $\mathcal{P}$ ) is unique up to equivalence.



#### Comparison

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

Question. Are categorifications of  $S^{\lambda}$  via  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda^{t}}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda^{t}}$  equivalent?

Need: An equivalence between these two categories which naturally commutes with the action of  ${\cal P}$ 

#### Theorem. [M.-Stroppel]

These two categorifications are indeed equivalent (using derived completion functors).

#### Theorem. [M.-Miemietz]

Simple transitive categorification of a Specht module (using  $\mathcal{P}$ ) is unique up to equivalence.

Note. The latter works only in type A.



#### Comparison

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$
 — partition of  $n$ 

Question. Are categorifications of  $S^{\lambda}$  via  $\mathcal{X}'_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda^{t}}/\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda^{t}}$  equivalent?

Need: An equivalence between these two categories which naturally commutes with the action of  ${\cal P}$ 

#### Theorem. [M.-Stroppel]

These two categorifications are indeed equivalent (using derived completion functors).

#### Theorem. [M.-Miemietz]

Simple transitive categorification of a Specht module (using  $\mathcal{P}$ ) is unique up to equivalence.

Note. The latter works only in type A.



Kazhdan-Lusztig's cell modules for  $S_n$ 

Note. This requires a generalization of parabolic category  ${\mathcal O}$ 

Induced cell modules  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\lambda}}^{W}$  cell

Note. Uses combinatorially defined subquotients of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ 

Note. Permutation and induced sign modules are special cases

Wedderburn's basis for  $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ 

#### Kazhdan-Lusztig's cell modules for $S_n$

Note. This requires a generalization of parabolic category  ${\cal O}$ 

Induced cell modules  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\lambda}}^{W}$  cell

Note. Uses combinatorially defined subquotients of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ 

Note. Permutation and induced sign modules are special cases

Wedderburn's basis for  $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ 

Kazhdan-Lusztig's cell modules for  $S_n$ 

Note. This requires a generalization of parabolic category  ${\mathcal O}$ 

Induced cell modules  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\lambda}}^{W}$  cell

Note. Uses combinatorially defined subquotients of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ 

Note. Permutation and induced sign modules are special cases

Wedderburn's basis for  $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ 

Kazhdan-Lusztig's cell modules for  $S_n$ 

Note. This requires a generalization of parabolic category  ${\mathcal O}$ 

Induced cell modules  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\lambda}}^{W}$  cell

Note. Uses combinatorially defined subquotients of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ 

Note. Permutation and induced sign modules are special cases

Wedderburn's basis for  $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ 

Kazhdan-Lusztig's cell modules for  $S_n$ 

Note. This requires a generalization of parabolic category  ${\mathcal O}$ 

Induced cell modules  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\lambda}}^{W}$  cell

Note. Uses combinatorially defined subquotients of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ 

Note. Permutation and induced sign modules are special cases

Wedderburn's basis for  $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ 

Kazhdan-Lusztig's cell modules for  $S_n$ 

Note. This requires a generalization of parabolic category  ${\mathcal O}$ 

Induced cell modules  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\lambda}}^{W} \operatorname{cell}$ 

Note. Uses combinatorially defined subquotients of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ 

Note. Permutation and induced sign modules are special cases

Wedderburn's basis for  $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ 

Kazhdan-Lusztig's cell modules for  $S_n$ 

Note. This requires a generalization of parabolic category  ${\mathcal O}$ 

Induced cell modules  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\lambda}}^{W}$  cell

Note. Uses combinatorially defined subquotients of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ 

Note. Permutation and induced sign modules are special cases

Wedderburn's basis for  $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ 

Kazhdan-Lusztig's cell modules for  $S_n$ 

Note. This requires a generalization of parabolic category  ${\cal O}$ 

Induced cell modules  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\lambda}}^{W}$  cell

Note. Uses combinatorially defined subquotients of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ 

Note. Permutation and induced sign modules are special cases

Wedderburn's basis for  $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ 

Kazhdan-Lusztig's cell modules for  $S_n$ 

Note. This requires a generalization of parabolic category  ${\cal O}$ 

Induced cell modules  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\lambda}}^{W}$  cell

Note. Uses combinatorially defined subquotients of  $\mathcal{O}_0$ 

Note. Permutation and induced sign modules are special cases

Wedderburn's basis for  $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ 

Various bases in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and in other categorified modules

Different submodules in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Filtration of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  using Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of simples in  $\mathcal{O}$ 

Uniqueness of categorification allows to compare different categories of g-modules

Various bases in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and in other categorified modules

Different submodules in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Filtration of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  using Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of simples in  $\mathcal{O}$ 

Uniqueness of categorification allows to compare different categories of g-modules

Various bases in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and in other categorified modules

Different submodules in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Filtration of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  using Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of simples in  $\mathcal{O}$ 

Uniqueness of categorification allows to compare different categories of g-modules

Various bases in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and in other categorified modules

Different submodules in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Filtration of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  using Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of simples in  $\mathcal{O}$ 

Uniqueness of categorification allows to compare different categories of g-modules

Various bases in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and in other categorified modules

Different submodules in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Filtration of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  using Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of simples in  $\mathcal{O}$ 

Uniqueness of categorification allows to compare different categories of  $\mathfrak{g}\text{-modules}$ 

Various bases in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and in other categorified modules

Different submodules in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Filtration of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  using Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of simples in  $\mathcal{O}$ 

Uniqueness of categorification allows to compare different categories of  $\mathfrak{g}\text{-modules}$ 

Various bases in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  and in other categorified modules

Different submodules in  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ 

Filtration of  $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$  using Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of simples in  $\mathcal{O}$ 

Uniqueness of categorification allows to compare different categories of  $\mathfrak{g}\text{-modules}$ 

# THANK YOU!!!