

Involutions on generalized Weyl algebras preserving the principal grading

Volodymyr Mazorchuk and Lyudmyla Turowska

Abstract

We classify all $*$ -representations of generalized Weyl algebras by bounded operators with respect to $*$ preserving the principal grading.

Keywords: generalized Weyl algebra, involution, bounded operator, unitary equivalence, grading, tensor product.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Fix a positive integer, n , and set $N_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let R be a commutative unital complex algebra, σ_i , $i \in N_n$, a set of pairwise commuting automorphisms of R and $0 \neq t_i \in R$, $i \in N_n$, such that $\sigma_j(t_i) = t_i$, $i \neq j \in N_n$. The *generalized Weyl algebra* $A = A(R, \{\sigma_i\}, \{t_i\})$ is defined as an R -algebra, generated over R by elements X_i, Y_i , $i \in N_n$, subject to the following relations ([1]):

- $X_i r = \sigma_i(r) X_i$, $i \in N_n$, $r \in R$;
- $r Y_i = Y_i \sigma_i(r)$, $i \in N_n$, $r \in R$;
- $Y_i X_i = t_i$, $X_i Y_i = \sigma_i(t_i)$, $i \in N_n$;
- $X_i Y_j = Y_j X_i$, $X_i X_j = X_j X_i$, $Y_i Y_j = Y_j Y_i$, $i \neq j \in N_n$.

We will call these relations the *defining relations*.

Let \mathbb{Z}^n be a free abelian group of rank n with canonical generators e_i , $i \in N_n$. Then A has a natural \mathbb{Z}^n -grading, which we will call *principal*, by assigning $\deg(R) = 0$, $\deg(X_i) = e_i$, $\deg(Y_i) = -e_i$, $i \in N_n$. It is well known that many classical algebras can be realized as generalized Weyl algebras (e.g. see examples in [1]). Representations of generalized Weyl algebras have been studied intensively (see e.g. [1, 2] and references therein), in particular, it has been shown that the corresponding classification problem is usually wild.

A natural problem for generalized Weyl algebras is to study involutions over them and $*$ -representations of the corresponding $*$ -algebras. We remark that by an involution on A we mean a \mathbb{C} -skewlinear map, $*$, from A to A satisfying $(ab)^* = b^* a^*$, $a, b \in A$, and

$(a^*)^* = a$, $a \in A$. For the involutions satisfying $(X_i)^* = \pm Y_i$ the corresponding problem was solved in [3] for a much wider class of algebras. In the present paper we will be interested in involutions preserving the principal grading on A , i.e. $(A_g)^* = A_g$ for any $g \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We give a complete answer to the classification problem, listing all irreducible $*$ -representations up to unitary equivalence.

In Section 2 we introduce the involutions on A , which we will be interested in and show that these involutions exhaust all involutions preserving the principal grading provided R is a domain. In Section 3 we deal with the case $n = 1$ and in Section 4 we consider the general situation. The main result is a classification of all $*$ -representations of A up to unitary equivalence. Our answer for the general case uses the classification obtained for $n = 1$.

2 Involutions

If $*$ is an involution on A preserving the principal grading then $(A_0 = R)^* = A_0 = R$ and hence it induces an involution on the algebra R . It is well known ([1]) that the elements $Z_1^{k_1} Z_2^{k_2} \dots Z_n^{k_n}$, $Z_i = X_i$ or $Z_i = Y_i$, form a basis of A as a free left (or right) R -module. Clearly, this basis is graded, hence all graded components of A are free R -modules of rank 1. In particular, if $*$ preserves the principal grading, then $(X_i)^* = r_i X_i$ and $(Y_i)^* = s_i Y_i$, $i \in N_n$, for some $r_i, s_i \in R$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $*$ be an involution on R and $r_i, s_i \in R$, $i \in N_n$. If the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. $\sigma_i^{-1}(r^*) = \sigma_i(r)^*$ for all $i \in N_n$, $r \in R$;
2. $r_i \sigma_i(r_i^*) = 1$ and $s_i \sigma_i^{-1}(s_i^*) = 1$, $i \in N_n$.
3. $r_i \sigma_i(s_i) \sigma_i(t_i) = t_i^*$, $i \in N_n$;
4. $r_j \sigma_j(r_i) = r_i \sigma_i(r_j)$, $i, j \in N_n$;
5. $s_j \sigma_j^{-1}(s_i) = s_i \sigma_i^{-1}(s_j)$, $i, j \in N_n$;
6. $s_j \sigma_j^{-1}(r_i) = r_i \sigma_i^{-1}(s_j)$, $i \neq j \in N_n$.

then setting $(X_i)^* = r_i X_i$, $(Y_i)^* = s_i Y_i$, $i \in N_n$, defines an involution on A . Moreover, if R is a domain and $*$ is an involution on A then the restriction of $*$ to R satisfies all conditions which are listed above.

Proof. First we note that $r_i \sigma_i(r_i^*) = 1$ and $s_i \sigma_i^{-1}(s_i^*) = 1$, $i \in N_n$, is equivalent to $(a^*)^* = a$ for all $a \in A$.

Assume that $*$ is an involution on R satisfying the conditions of the theorem. We have to show that the defining relations are stable under the $*$ defined by $(X_i)^* = r_i X_i$, $(Y_i)^* = s_i Y_i$, $i \in N_n$. We will check the relation $X_i r = \sigma_i(r) X_i$. Applying $*$ we get $r^* r_i X_i = r_i X_i \sigma_i(r)^*$

which can be rewritten as $r^*r_iX_i = r_i\sigma_i(\sigma_i(r)^*)X_i$. The last is true because of the first condition of the theorem. All other relations can be checked by analogous arguments.

Conversely, we assume that R is a domain. Then, if $*$ is an involution on A such that $(X_i)^* = r_iX_i$, $(Y_i)^* = s_iY_i$, $i \in N_n$, the arguments above imply $r^*r_iX_i = r_i\sigma_i(\sigma_i(r)^*)X_i$. As A is a free R module and R does not have zero divisors, this equality is equivalent to $r^* = \sigma_i(\sigma_i(r)^*)$, which is the first condition of the theorem. Analogous arguments applied to other relations will give us the rest. This completes the proof. \square

We have to remark that such involutions really exist. For example, taking $s_i = r_i = 1$, $i \in N_n$, we reduce the list of conditions of our theorem to the following: $\sigma_i^{-1}(r^*) = \sigma_i(r)^*$, $r \in R$, and $\sigma_i(t_i) = t_i^*$, $i \in N_n$. One can easily construct a plenty of examples of such involutions. Below we present some classical examples as well as some new ones.

Example 1. Let $n = 1$, $R = \mathbb{C}[H, T]$, $t_1 = T$, $\sigma_1(H) = H - 1$, $\sigma_1(T) = T + H$, $H^* = H$, $T^* = T + H$. Then all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and $X^* = X$, $Y^* = Y$ defines an involution on A . In this case $A \simeq U(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ and $(A, *)$ corresponds to the real form $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Example 2. Let $n = 1$, $q \in \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $q \in \mathbb{C}$, $|q| = 1$), $R = \mathbb{C}[T, k, k^{-1}]$, $t_1 = T$, $\sigma_1(k) = q^{-1}k$, $\sigma_1(T) = T + \frac{k^2 - k^{-2}}{q - q^{-1}}$, $k^* = k^{-1}$ (resp. $k^* = k$), $T^* = T + \frac{k^2 - k^{-2}}{q - q^{-1}}$. Then setting $X^* = X$, $Y^* = Y$ defines an involution on A . In this case $A \simeq U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ and $(A, *)$ corresponds to the real form $\mathfrak{sl}_q(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Example 3. Let $n = 1$, $q \in \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $q \in \mathbb{C}$, $|q| = 1$), $R = \mathbb{C}[T, k, k^{-1}]$, $t_1 = T$, $\sigma_1(k) = q^{-2}k$, $\sigma_1(T) = T + \frac{k - k^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}$, $k^* = k^{-1}$ (resp. $k^* = k$), $T^* = T + \frac{k - k^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}$. If we put $X^* = q^{-1}kX$, $Y^* = qYk^{-1}$, we get an involution on A . In this case $A \simeq U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ and $(A, *)$ corresponds to the real form $\mathfrak{sl}'_q(2, \mathbb{R})$. We remark that the corresponding quantum algebra is slightly different from the one, considered in the previous example.

Example 4. Let $n = 1$, $R = \mathbb{C}[H]$, $\sigma_1(H) = H + 1$, $H^* = -H$, $t_1 = f(H^2 - H)$, where f is a fixed polynomial with real coefficients. Then it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $X^* = X$, $Y^* = Y$ defines an involution on A .

As an example of higher rank one can take, say, a tensor product of some rank one examples. In the rest of the paper we will assume that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied.

3 Rank one case

In this section we will deal with the case $n = 1$ and set $\sigma = \sigma_1$, $t = t_1$, $X = X_1$ and $Y = Y_1$, $r = r_1$, $s = s_1$. Let $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a $*$ -representation of A by bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space, H . To simplify the notation we will denote the operators $\pi(a)$, $a \in A$, simply by a and hence all equalities below will be operator equalities in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

Lemma 3.1. *The elements X^2 and Y^2 commute with all $a \in A$.*

Proof. We will prove the statement for X^2 , the case of Y^2 is analogous. We start with elements from R . As R is commutative any $h \in R$ is normal. Write $h = h_1 + ih_2$, where $h_1 = (h + h^*)/2$, $h_2 = (h - h^*)/(2i)$. Then the operators h_1, h_2 are self-adjoint. For $i = 1, 2$ we have $Xh_i = \sigma(h_i)X$. Applying the Fuglede-Putnam-Rosenblum Theorem ([4, Theorem 12.16]) we get $Xh_i^* = Xh_i = \sigma(h_i)^*X$. Hence $\sigma(h_i)X = \sigma(h_i)^*X$. Using $\sigma(h_i)^* = \sigma^{-1}(h_i^*) = \sigma^{-1}(h_i)$ we get $(\sigma(h_i) - \sigma^{-1}(h_i))X = 0$, which implies $(\sigma(h) - \sigma^{-1}(h))X = 0$ for any $h \in R$. Substituting h with $\sigma(h)$ we get $(\sigma^2(h) - h)X = 0$ and $(\sigma^2(h) - h)X^2 = 0$. The last is equivalent to $hX^2 = X^2h$.

For $a = X$ the statement is clear. The only case left is $a = Y$. Using the equality $(\sigma^2(h) - h)X = 0$ obtained above, we have $X^2Y = X\sigma(t) = \sigma^2(t)X = tX = YX^2$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *For any $h \in R$ the elements $h\sigma(h)$ and $h + \sigma(h)$ commute with all $a \in A$.*

Proof. Using $(\sigma^2(h) - h)X = 0$ we have that $X\sigma(h)h = \sigma^2(h)\sigma(h)X = h\sigma(h)X$ and analogously $h\sigma(h)Y = Yh\sigma(h)$, $(h + \sigma(h))X = X(h + \sigma(h))$ and $(h + \sigma(h))Y = Y(h + \sigma(h))$, completing the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that π is irreducible. Then the spectrum of all elements $h \in R$ is discrete, in particular, there exists a non-zero common (for R) eigenvector $v \in H$.*

Proof. Assume that π is irreducible. Fix $h \in R$. As $h\sigma(h)$ and $h + \sigma(h)$ commute with the action of A and π is irreducible these operators act as scalars, c_1 and c_2 correspondingly, on H . Hence $h^2 - c_2h + c_1 = 0$, which implies our statement. \square

Lemma 3.4. *If $X^2 \neq 0$ then H coincides with the linear span of v and Xv . Otherwise, H coincides with the linear span of v and Yv . In particular, H is at most two-dimensional.*

Proof. As X^2 is central, $X^2 = cI$, where I is the identity operator. First assume $c \neq 0$. Then the linear span L of v and Xv is invariant with respect to R and X . We have $Y(av + bXv) = c^{-1}YX^2(av + bXv) = c^{-1}tX(av + bXv) \in L$. This proves the first statement.

If $c = 0$ we have $X^2 = 0$, in particular, $X^*X = rX^2 = 0$ which implies $X = 0$. Now if $Y^2 = 0$ then $Y = 0$ by the same arguments and the statement is clear. Otherwise, the second statement follows by the similar arguments as the first one. \square

To state our main classification result in the rank one case we introduce two sets of parameters, Γ_1 and Γ_2 . Let \hat{R} denote the set of all characters of R . Put

$$\Gamma_1 = \{\chi \in \hat{R} \mid \chi(h) = \chi(\sigma^2(h)) \text{ for all } h \in R, \chi(h) \neq \chi(\sigma(h)) \text{ for some } h\};$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \{\chi \in \hat{R} \mid \chi(h) = \chi(\sigma(h)) \text{ for all } h \in R\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Any irreducible representation of A is one or two-dimensional and unitarily equivalent to one of the following representations:*

1. $\pi_0(\chi)$: $X = Y = 0$, $h = \chi(h)$, where $\chi \in \hat{R}$ such that $\chi(t) = \chi(\sigma(t)) = 0$;
2. $\pi_1(\chi, c, d)$: $X = c$, $Y = d$, $h = \chi(h)$, where $\chi \in \Gamma_2$, $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $cd = \chi(t)$, $|c| + |d| \neq 0$, $\chi(r)c = \bar{c}$, $\chi(s)d = \bar{d}$;
3. $\pi_2(\chi, d)$:

$$X = 0; \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{d/\chi(s)} \\ \sqrt{d\chi(s)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} \chi(h) & 0 \\ 0 & \chi(\sigma(h)) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\chi \in \Gamma_1$, $d \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\chi(t) = \chi(\sigma(t)) = 0$, $\chi(s)d > 0$.

4. $\pi_3(\chi, c)$:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{c/\chi(r)} \\ \sqrt{c\chi(r)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad Y = 0; \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} \chi(h) & 0 \\ 0 & \chi(\sigma(h)) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\chi \in \Gamma_1$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\chi(t) = \chi(\sigma(t)) = 0$, $\chi(r)c > 0$.

5. $\pi_4(\chi, c, d)$:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{c/\chi(r)} \\ \sqrt{c\chi(r)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \chi(t)/\sqrt{c/\chi(r)} \\ \chi(\sigma(t))\sqrt{\chi(r)/c} & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} \chi(h) & 0 \\ 0 & \chi(\sigma(h)) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\chi \in \Gamma_1$, $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $\chi(r)c > 0$, $\chi(s)d > 0$, $\chi(t\sigma(t)) = cd$.

The representations $\pi_i(\chi_1, c_1, d_1)$ and $\pi_j(\chi_2, c_2, d_2)$ (the presence of c_i and d_i depends on i, j) are unitarily equivalent if and only one of the following holds:

1. $i = j = 1$ and $\chi_1 = \chi_2$;
2. $i = j = 2$, $c_1 = c_2$, $d_1 = d_2$ and $\chi_1 = \chi_2$;
3. $i = j = 3$, $c_1 = c_2$ and $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ or $\chi_1 = \chi_2 \circ \sigma$;
4. $i = j = 4$, $d_1 = d_2$ and $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ or $\chi_1 = \chi_2 \circ \sigma$;
5. $i = j = 5$, $c_1 = c_2$, $d_1 = d_2$ and $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ or $\chi_1 = \chi_2 \circ \sigma$.

Proof. Let π be an irreducible $*$ -representation of A . From Lemmas 3.1-3.4 we know that π is at most two-dimensional and X^2, Y^2 act as scalars, say c and d respectively, on H .

First we assume $c = d = 0$. From the proof of Lemma 3.4 we have $X = Y = 0$ and hence $\pi(A)$ is commutative. Therefore π is one-dimensional and is completely determined by $\chi \in \hat{R}$. From $X = Y = 0$ we also have $t = \sigma(t) = 0$ and π is equivalent to π_0 .

Now let $|c| + |d| \neq 0$ and v be a normed common eigenvector for R , given by Lemma 3.3. This means $hv = \chi(h)v$, $h \in R$, for some $\chi \in \hat{R}$. As $|c| + |d| \neq 0$ either X^2 or Y^2 is non-zero. Assume $X^2 \neq 0$. Then from $X^2h = \sigma^2(h)X^2$ we get $h = \sigma^2(h)$ for any $h \in R$ (for Y^2 the arguments are similar). Now we consider two cases: $\chi \in \Gamma_1$ or $\chi \in \Gamma_2$. If $\chi \in \Gamma_2$ then $\pi(A)$ is commutative and therefore π is one-dimensional. We have $X = c'$, $Y = d'$, $XY = t$ implies $c'd' = \chi(t)$, $X^* = rX$ (resp. $Y^* = sY$) implies $\bar{c}' = \chi(r)c'$ (resp. $\bar{d}' = \chi(s)d'$) and π is equivalent to π_1 .

If $\chi \in \Gamma_1$ then we consider three cases: $c = 0$, $d = 0$, $c \neq 0$ and $d \neq 0$. If $c = 0$ then v and Yv generate H and they are orthogonal as eigenvectors of a normal operator ($h \in R$ such that $\chi(h) \neq \chi(\sigma(h))$) with different eigenvalues. Writing our representation in the orthonormal basis $v, Yv/||Yv||$ ($||Yv|| = \sqrt{d\chi(s)}$) we get the representation π_2 . Since $X^*X = rX^2$ and $Y^*Y = sY^2$ we have $\chi(r)c \geq 0$ and $\chi(s)d \geq 0$. Analogously, if $d = 0$ one gets that π is equivalent to π_3 by the similar arguments (for the basis v, Xv). If $c \neq 0$ and $d \neq 0$ then we get $\pi \simeq \pi_4$, using the basis v, Xv .

The statement about unitary equivalence is obvious. \square

4 Case of arbitrary rank

We fix an irreducible representation, π , of A by bounded operators on a separable Hilbert space, H , and denote by a the image of a under π for all $a \in A$.

Lemma 4.1. *The elements X_i^2 and Y_i^2 , $i \in N_n$, commute with all $a \in A$.*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3.1 and the defining relations. \square

Set $G = \prod_{i \in N_n} \mathbb{Z}_2^{(i)}$ (n copies of \mathbb{Z}_2). For $h \in R$ and $g = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in G$ set $g(h) = \sigma_1^{\varepsilon_1} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_n^{\varepsilon_n}(h)$. Write $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{2^n}\}$. For $h \in R$ and a symmetric polynomial, f , in 2^n variables put $u(f, h) = f(g_1(h), g_2(h), \dots, g_{2^n}(h))$.

Lemma 4.2. *The elements $u(f, h)$ commute with all $a \in A$. In particular, all $h \in R$ have a discrete spectrum and there exists a non-zero common (for R) eigenvector, $v \in H$.*

Proof. Clearly, $u(f, h)$ commute with R . From the proof of Lemma 3.1 it follows that $(\sigma_i^2(h) - h)X_i = 0$. This implies $X_i u(f, h) = u(f, \sigma_i(h))X_i = u(f, h)X_i$. The arguments for Y_i are similar completing the proof of the first statement.

From the first statement it follows that all $u(f, h)$ are scalar operators on H . Let f_1, \dots, f_{2^n} be elementary symmetric polynomials. Then each $u(f_i, h)$ is a scalar, say $\gamma_i = \gamma_i(h)$, and we have $h^{2^n} - \gamma_1 h^{2^n-1} + \dots + \gamma_{2^n} = 0$. This implies the second statement. \square

Let X_i^2 (resp. Y_i^2) act with the scalar c_i (resp. d_i) on H . Set $N(\pi) = \{i : |c_i| + |d_i| \neq 0\}$ and for each $i \in N(\pi)$ fix $Z_i = X_i$ if $c_i \neq 0$ and $Z_i = Y_i$ otherwise.

Lemma 4.3. *The set $B = \{\prod_{i \in N(\pi)} Z_i^{\varepsilon_i} v\}$, $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$, generates H . In particular, we have $\dim(H) \leq 2^{|N(\pi)|} \leq 2^n$.*

Proof. The invariance of the linear span of B under A follows from Lemma 3.4 and the relations $X_i X_j = X_j X_i$, $Y_i Y_j = Y_j Y_i$ and $X_i Y_j = Y_j X_i$, $i \neq j$, in A . \square

Now we can start the classification. Our representations will be indexed by vectors $\mathbf{v} = (\tau_i)_{i \in N_n}$, where each τ_i is an irreducible representation of the generalized Weyl algebra $A_i = A_i(R, \sigma_i, t_i)$, $i \in N_n$, associated with a common character, $\chi \in \hat{R}$. Let H_i denote the Hilbert space of the representation τ_i . Let N be the set of all i such that $\dim(H_i) = 2$. For $i \notin N$ fix a normed element, $v_i^0 \in H_i$. For $i \in N$ fix an orthonormal basis v_i^0, v_i^1 in H_i provided by Theorem 3.1. Set $H(\mathbf{v}) = H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes H_n$. Then $\dim(H(\mathbf{v})) = 2^{|N|}$ and $\{v_{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)} = v_1^{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n^{\varepsilon_n} \mid \varepsilon_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin N \text{ and } \varepsilon_i = 0, 1 \text{ for } i \in N\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H(\mathbf{v})$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} hv_{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)} &= \chi(\sigma_1^{\varepsilon_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_n^{\varepsilon_n}(h))v_{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)}, \quad h \in H(\mathbf{v}); \\ X_i v_{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)} &= v_1^{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i-1}^{\varepsilon_{i-1}} \otimes \tau_i(X_i)v_i^{\varepsilon_i} \otimes v_{i+1}^{\varepsilon_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n^{\varepsilon_n}; \quad i \in N_n; \\ Y_i v_{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)} &= v_1^{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i-1}^{\varepsilon_{i-1}} \otimes \tau_i(Y_i)v_i^{\varepsilon_i} \otimes v_{i+1}^{\varepsilon_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n^{\varepsilon_n}; \quad i \in N_n. \end{aligned}$$

We will denote this representation by $\pi(\mathbf{v})$.

Lemma 4.4. $\pi(\mathbf{v})$ is a $*$ -representation of A .

Proof. Recall that τ_i are representations of A_i which correspond to the same $\chi \in \hat{R}$. The relations $X_i Y_j = Y_j X_i$, $X_i X_j = X_j X_i$ and $Y_i Y_j = Y_j Y_i$ are obvious. Now consider $X_i h = \sigma_i(h)X_i$ and apply this equality to $v = v_{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)}$. If $X_i = Y_i = 0$, the relation is obvious. If $\varepsilon_i = 1$ the relation follows directly from the definition of the action of R . Otherwise we have $\chi(\sigma_i^2(h)) = \chi(h)$ by Theorem 3.1 and also $X_i h v = \chi(\sigma_1^{\varepsilon_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_n^{\varepsilon_n}(h))X_i v$ and $\sigma_i(h)X_i v = \chi(\sigma_1^{\varepsilon_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_n^{\varepsilon_n}(\sigma_i^2(h)))X_i v$ which is the same. One can use similar arguments to get $h Y_i = Y_i \sigma_i(h)$. Using $\sigma_j(t_i) = t_i$, $i \neq j$, the relations $Y_i X_i = t_i$ and $X_i Y_i = \sigma_i(t_i)$ can be easily reduced to the same relations between $\tau_i(X_i)$ and $\tau_i(Y_i)$. This completes the proof. \square

Assume that $\pi(\mathbf{v})$ does exist and χ is the corresponding character of R . Set $N = \{i \in N_n \mid \chi(h) \neq \chi(\sigma_i(h)) \text{ for some } h \in R\} \cap \{i \in N_n \mid X_i \neq 0 \text{ or } Y_i \neq 0\} \subset N_n$. Denote by $\tilde{G} = \prod_{i \in N} \mathbb{Z}_2^{(i)}$ the corresponding subgroup of G and by $W(\mathbf{v})$ the subgroup of \tilde{G} consisting of all $g \in \tilde{G}$ such that $\chi(g(h)) = \chi(h)$ for all $h \in R$. Clearly, $|W(\mathbf{v})| = 2^k$ for some k .

Lemma 4.5. $\pi(\mathbf{v})$ decomposes into $|W(\mathbf{v})|$ pairwise unitarily non-equivalent irreducible representations. In particular, $\pi(\mathbf{v})$ is irreducible if and only if $W(\mathbf{v})$ is trivial.

Proof. For each $w \in W(\mathbf{v})$ we define a monomial, $X(w) \in A$, as follows: let $w = (\varepsilon_i)_{i \in N}$; for each $i \in N$, $\varepsilon_i = 1$, we set $Z_i = X_i$ if X_i is not zero on $H(\mathbf{v})$ otherwise $Z_i = Y_i$; set $X(w) = \prod_{i \in N} Z_i$. We claim that the elements $X(w)$, $w \in W(\mathbf{v})$, commute with the action of A on $H(\mathbf{v})$. Indeed, fix $X(w)$, $w = (\varepsilon_i)_{i \in N} \in W(\mathbf{v})$. That $X(w)$ commutes with R follows from $\chi(w(h)) = \chi(h)$, $w \in W(\mathbf{v})$. Fix $i \in N_n$. If $X(w)$ contains X_i (resp. Y_i) then $X(w)X_i = X_i X(w)$ (resp. $X(w)Y_i = Y_i X(w)$). If $X(w)$ contains neither X_i nor Y_i then clearly $X(w)X_i = X_i X(w)$ and $X(w)Y_i = Y_i X(w)$. Now assume that $X(w)$ contains

X_i (for Y_i the arguments are similar). Decompose $w = \sigma_i \circ w'$, where w' does not contain σ_i . Then $\chi(\sigma_i \circ w'(t_i)) = \chi(t_i)$ and hence $\chi(\sigma_i(t_i)) = \chi(t_i)$. This implies $X_i Y_i = Y_i X_i$ and therefore $X(w) Y_i = Y_i X(w)$, proving our claim. In particular, all $X(w)$ are normal operators.

By the definition of $\pi(\mathfrak{v})$, each $(X(w))^2$ is a scalar operator with some scalar $\lambda(w) \neq 0$. Hence the spectrum of $X(w)$ is a subset of $\{\pm\sqrt{\lambda(w)}\}$. As $v_{(0,\dots,0)}$ is orthogonal to $X(w)v_{(0,\dots,0)}$ we get that spectrum of $X(w)$ coincides with $\{\pm\sqrt{\lambda(w)}\}$. Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ be a minimal set of generators of $W(\mathfrak{v})$. Decomposing $\pi(\mathfrak{v})$ with respect to the common spectrum of $X(w_1), \dots, X(w_k)$ we get $|W(\mathfrak{v})|$ non-zero subrepresentations of $\pi(\mathfrak{v})$. From the definition of $W(\mathfrak{v})$ it follows that each of these subrepresentations has a basis, whose elements are separated by the action of R (i.e. correspond to pairwise distinct characters of R). Moreover, as $\pi(\mathfrak{v})$ is cyclic, each subrepresentation of it is also cyclic. Altogether this implies that all our subrepresentations are irreducible. The statement that they are pairwise unitarily non-equivalent is obvious. \square

Denote by W the group, generated by all σ_j , $j \in N_n$. W acts on the set of representations of A_i in a natural way.

Lemma 4.6. *1. $\pi((\tau(1)_i))$ and $\pi((\tau(2)_i))$ are unitarily equivalent if and only if $\tau(1)_i$ and $\tau(2)_i$ belong to the same orbit of the action of W for all $i \in N_n$.*

2. If representations $\pi((\tau(1)_i))$ and $\pi((\tau(2)_i))$ are unitarily non-equivalent then any irreducible component of $\pi((\tau(1)_i))$ is unitarily non-equivalent to any irreducible component of $\pi((\tau(2)_i))$.

Proof. Obvious. \square

Theorem 4.1. *Any irreducible $*$ -representation of A is unitarily equivalent to an irreducible subrepresentation of some $\pi(\mathfrak{v})$.*

Proof. Let π be an irreducible representation of A on a Hilbert space H . We claim that it is equivalent to an irreducible subrepresentation of $\pi(\mathfrak{v})$ for a suitable \mathfrak{v} . From $X_j X_i = X_i X_j$, $X_j Y_i = Y_i X_j$, $Y_j X_i = Y_i X_j$, $Y_j Y_i = Y_i Y_j$ it follows that $\pi|_{A_i}$ decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible representations of A_i which belong to the same orbit of W -action. For each $i \in N_n$ fix one representation from the corresponding orbit, say τ_i . As above, set $N = \{i \in N_n \mid \chi(h) \neq \chi(\sigma_i(h)) \text{ for some } h \in R\} \cap \{i \in N_n \mid X_i \neq 0 \text{ or } Y_i \neq 0\}$. Consider $W(\pi)$ and $X(w)$ associated with π and $w \in W(\pi)$, as defined in the proof of Lemma 4.5. By the same arguments as there we get that $X(w)$ commute with all $a \in A$ and hence are scalar operators on H with scalars $\pm\sqrt{\lambda(w)}$ (the choice of signs is coordinated by the group structure on $W(\pi)$). Consider the quotient group $G(\pi) = (\prod_{i \in N} \mathbb{Z}_2^{(i)})/W(\pi)$ and fix some representatives $\Lambda = \{w_1, \dots, w_{|G(\pi)|}\}$ in the corresponding cosets. For $w \in \Lambda$ define $X(w)$ as above. Let v be a common eigenvector for R in H . Then the linear span of $X(w)v$, $w \in \Lambda$, is an orthogonal basis of H . Indeed, first we show that this linear span is invariant under A . This is clear for R . If $\pi(X_i) = 0$, the statement is obvious for such X_i . Otherwise, using the fact that $X_i^2, X(w), w \in W(\pi)$, are scalars on H , we have $X_i X(w) = cX(w')$

for some uniquely defined $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $w' \in \Lambda$. The same argument works for Y_i and we get the necessary invariance. By the construction, all $X(w)v$, $w \in \Lambda$, are common eigenvectors for R . Now the orthogonality follows from the fact, that the corresponding common eigenvalues are distinct (definition of $W(\pi)$) and all operators $h \in R$ are normal.

In particular, we get that the irreducible representation with parameters τ_i , $i \in N_n$, and $\pm\sqrt{\lambda(w)}$, $w \in W(\pi)$, is unique up to a unitary equivalence. Therefore it is unitarily equivalent to an irreducible subrepresentation of $\pi((\tau_i)_{i \in N_n})$. \square

Corollary 4.1. *The dimension of any irreducible $*$ -representation of A equals 2^k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Acknowledgments. The paper was written during the visit of the authors to SFB-343, Bielefeld University in July-August 2000. The financial support, hospitality and accommodation of SFB-343 are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] *V.Bavula*, Generalized Weyl algebras and their representations. (Russian) Algebra i Analiz 4 (1992), no. 1, 75–97.
- [2] *V.Bavula and V.Bekkert*, Indecomposable Representations of Generalized Weyl Algebras, Comm. Alg. 28 (2000), 5067-5100.
- [3] *V.Mazorchuk and L.Turowska*, $*$ -Representations of twisted generalized Weyl constructions, Preprint TRITA-MAT-1998-MA-04 (1998), Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Mathematics, Stockholm, to appear in Algebr. Represent. Theory.
- [4] *W.Rudin*, Functional analysis. Second edition. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991.

Volodymyr Mazorchuk, Algebra, Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Kyiv Taras Shevchenko University, 64, Volodymyrska st., 01033, Kyiv, Ukraine,
e-mail: mazor@mail.univ.kiev.ua, mazor@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de

Present Address: Chalmers Tekniska Högskola, Matematik, SE 412 96, Göteborg, Sweden, e-mail: volody@math.chalmers.se

Lyudmyla Turowska, Chalmers Tekniska Högskola, Matematik, SE 412 96, Göteborg, Sweden, e-mail: turowska@math.chalmers.se