4. PROBLEM SESSION

Exercise 4.5.

b) Prove that the tangent bundle TM of M is defined as an n dimensional bundle over all of M (precisely the same definition as before - with our extended notion of what a smooth chart is) and that canonically $T_x \partial M$ is a sub-bundle of $TM_{|\partial M}$.

Proof. The following uses some of the conclusions from part a). Let

$$\psi \colon H^k \mathring{\supset} U \cong U' \mathring{\subset} M$$

be any smooth chart. This defines a local trivialization of TM by

$$\Psi\colon TM_{|U'} \to U' \times \mathbb{R}^k$$

by

$$\Psi(x,v) = (x, D_x \psi^{-1}(v)).$$

Indeed, the differentials $D_x\psi^{-1} = D_x(\psi^{-1})$ are invertible also at boundary points $x \in \partial M$. The transition maps between two such trivializations Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 given two charts $\psi_1 \colon U_1 \cong U'_1 \subset M$ and $\psi_2 \colon U_2 \cong U'_2 \subset M$ are

$$(\Psi_2 \circ \Psi_1^{-1})(x, v) = (x, (D_x \psi_2^{-1})(D_x \psi_1^{-1})^{-1}(v)), \qquad x \in U_1' \cap U_2', v \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

and satisfies the cocycle condition (by chain rule) - hence defines a vector bundle. Note that the equivalence relation given by these transition maps is fiber-wise the same as the one we originally used (for a manifold without boundary) to define the fiber-wise tangent spaces $T_x M$.

Going back to the one chart $\psi \colon H^k \stackrel{\circ}{\supset} U \cong U' \stackrel{\circ}{\subset} M$ we can also restrict to the boundary $V = U \cap \partial H^k$ and get a chart on ∂M . We denote $V' = U' \cap \partial M = \psi(V)$. Note that we may restrict TM to V' and thus we have a bundle $TM_{|V'}$ which is one dimensionen higher than the manifold it is defined on. As above we have a trivialization

$$\Phi\colon T(\partial M)_{|V'} \to V' \times \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$$

by

$$\Phi(x,v) = (x, D_x(\psi_{|V'}^{-1})(v))$$

Using the standard inclusion $\mathbb{R}^{k-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ (which is [the differential of] the inclusion of the boundary of H^k into \mathbb{R}^k) we locally define a sub bundle:

This does not depend on the choice of chart. Indeed, for two charts as above - also with notation $V'_i = \partial M \cap U'_i$ and Φ_i for the induced trivializations of $T\partial M$ - we see that

$$\begin{array}{c|c} V_1' \cap V_2' \times \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \xrightarrow{\subset} V_1' \cap V_2' \times \mathbb{R}^k \\ & & & & \\ \hline T(\partial M)_{|V_1' \cap V_2'} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\$$

commutes. Indeed, the middle square commutes because; for $(x,v)\in (V_1'\cap V_2')\times \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ we have

$$(\Psi_2 \circ \Psi_1^{-1})(x, v) = (x, (D_x \psi_2^{-1})(D_x \psi_1^{-1})^{-1}(v)) =$$

= $(x, (D_{\psi_1^{-1}(x)}(\psi_2^{-1} \circ \psi_1))(v)) =$
= $(x, (D_{\psi_{1|V_1}^{-1}(x)}(\psi_{2|V_2}^{-1} \circ \psi_{1|V_1}))(v)) =$
= $(x, (D_x \psi_{2|V_2}^{-1})(D_x \psi_{1|V_1}^{-1})^{-1}(v)) = (\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1^{-1})$

Here we are using that the restriction of the diffeomorphism

$$\psi_2^{-1} \circ \psi_1 \colon R^k \mathring{\supset} U_1 \to U_2 \mathring{\subset} \mathbb{R}^k$$

in both source and target to the diffeomorphism

$$\psi_{2|V_2}^{-1} \circ \psi_{1|V_1} \colon R^{k-1} \mathring{\supset} V_1 \to V_2 \mathring{\subset} \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$$

has differential equal to the similar restriction of the differential. This simply relies on the fact that the diffeomorphism takes \mathbb{R}^{k-1} to \mathbb{R}^{k-1} .