Correction to "Convergence rates in precise asymptotics"

Allan Gut Josef Steinebach Uppsala University University of Cologne

Abstract

We provide a correction note to our paper with the above title.

According to a careful scrutiny of our manuscript [3], which helped us to correct an earlier mistake, we were also led to reconsider—and correct our paper [2]. In particular, Lemma 2.3 there has to be modified as follows:

Lemma 2.3 We have, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} j^{\gamma} = \begin{cases} \frac{n^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma+1} + \frac{n^{\gamma}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{\gamma-1}), & \text{for } \gamma > 1, \\ \frac{n^{2}}{2} + \frac{n}{2}, & \text{for } \gamma = 1, \\ \frac{n^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma+1} + \frac{n^{\gamma}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(1), & \text{for } 0 < \gamma < 1, \\ n, & \text{for } \gamma = 0, \\ \frac{n^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma+1} - \kappa_{\gamma} + \mathcal{O}(n^{\gamma}), & \text{for } -1 < \gamma < 0, \end{cases}$$

where, in the last case, $0 < -\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} < \kappa_{\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma+1}$. The flaw in [2] occurs for the case $-1 < \gamma < 1$, where a more careful application of the Euler-MacLaurin summation formula provides the above approximations (cf., e.g., [1], p. 124).

A direct consequence of this is that Lemma 3.1 in [2] must be modified into

 $\langle a \rangle$

Lemma 3.1 In the notation of Subsection 2.1 we have, as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$,

$$\lambda_{r,p}(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{r-p} \cdot A_{r,p}(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot A_{r,p}^{(1)}(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}\left(A_{r,p}^{(2)}(\varepsilon)\right), & \text{for } r > 3p, \\ \frac{1}{2} \cdot A_{r,p}(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot A_{r,p}^{(1)}(\varepsilon), & \text{for } r = 3p, \\ \frac{p}{r-p} \cdot A_{r,p}(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot A_{r,p}^{(1)}(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(1), & \text{for } 2p < r < 3p, \\ A_{r,p}(\varepsilon), & \text{for } r = 2p, \\ \frac{p}{r-p} \cdot A_{r,p}(\varepsilon) - \kappa_{(r/p)-2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}\left(A_{r,p}^{(1)}(\varepsilon)\right), & \text{for } 2 \le r < 2p, \end{cases}$$

 $\langle \alpha \rangle$

where, in the last case, $0 < -\frac{r-2p}{r-p} < \kappa_{(r/p)-2} \leq \frac{p}{r-p}$.

This, finally leads to the following modification of Proposition 1.1 of [2]:

Proposition 1.1 Let $0 and <math>r \ge 2$, and suppose that Y, X_1, X_2, \ldots are *i.i.d.* normal random variables with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2 > 0$, and set $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$, $n \ge 1$. (i) If r < 2p, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(r/p)-2} P(|S_n| \ge \varepsilon n^{1/p}) - \frac{p}{r-p} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} E|Y|^{\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} \right) = -\kappa_{(r/p)-2} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} E|Y|^{\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} = -\kappa_{(r/p)-2} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} E|$$

AMS 2000 subject classifications. Primary 60F15, 60G50; Secondary 60F05.

Keywords and phrases. Law of large numbers, Baum-Katz, precice asymptotics, convergence rates.

Abbreviated title. Precise asymptotics; convergence rates.

Date. September 12, 2014

More precisely, if 2r - 5p + 2 < 0, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(r/p)-2} P(|S_n| \ge \varepsilon n^{1/p}) - \frac{p}{r-p} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} E|Y|^{\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} = -\kappa_{(r/p)-2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \quad as \quad \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

if 2r - 5p + 2 = 0, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(r/p)-2} P(|S_n| \ge \varepsilon n^{1/p}) - \frac{p}{r-p} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} E|Y|^{\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} = -\kappa_{(r/p)-2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon)) \quad as \quad \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

and, if 2r - 5p + 2 > 0, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(r/p)-2} P(|S_n| \ge \varepsilon n^{1/p}) - \frac{p}{r-p} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} E|Y|^{\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} = -\kappa_{(r/p)-2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(2p-r)}{2-p}}\right) \quad as \quad \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$
(ii) If $r = 2p$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|S_n| \ge \varepsilon n^{1/p}) - \varepsilon^{-\frac{2p}{2-p}} E|Y|^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \right) = -\frac{1}{2};$$

More precisely, if $p \geq 2/3$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|S_n| \ge \varepsilon n^{1/p}) - \varepsilon^{-\frac{2p}{2-p}} E|Y|^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} = -\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \quad as \quad \varepsilon \searrow 0$$

and, if p < 2/3, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|S_n| \ge \varepsilon n^{1/p}) - \varepsilon^{-\frac{2p}{2-p}} E|Y|^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} = -\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}\right) \quad as \quad \varepsilon \searrow 0$$

(iii) If 2p < r < 3p, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(r/p)-2} P(|S_n| \ge \varepsilon n^{1/p}) - \frac{p}{r-p} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} E|Y|^{\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} = \mathcal{O}(1) \quad as \quad \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

(iv) If r = 3p, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nP(|S_n| \ge \varepsilon n^{1/p}) - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{-\frac{4p}{2-p}} E|Y|^{\frac{4p}{2-p}} = \mathcal{O}(1) \quad as \quad \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

(v) If r > 3p, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(r/p)-2} P(|S_n| \ge \varepsilon n^{1/p}) - \frac{p}{r-p} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} E|Y|^{\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{2(r-3p)}{2-p}}\right) \quad as \quad \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

The revised proof of the proposition amounts to replacing the old Lemma 3.1 with the above one whenever there is a discrepancy between the two versions.

Parts of Corollary 1.1 must consequently be modified accordingly.

References

- CRAMÉR, H. (1946). Mathematical Methods of Statistics. Princeton University Press. Princeton, N.J.
- [2] GUT, A., AND STEINEBACH, J. (2012). Convergence rates in precise asymptotics. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 390, 1-14.
- [3] GUT, A. AND STEINEBACH, J. (2013). Convergence rates in precise asymptotics II. Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp. 39, 95-110.

Allan Gut, Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, Box 480, SE-75106 Uppsala, Sweden; allan.gut@math.uu.se (corresponding author)

Josef Steinebach, Universität zu Köln, Mathematisches Institut, Weyertal 86-90, D-50931 Köln, Germany; jost@math.uni-koeln.de