

Probability: A Graduate Course, 2nd edition

Misprints and Corrections

21 oktober 2020

1. Misprints

Page	Line/Problem	Should be
26	L10 ₋	$P(R) = \dots$ (not $P(\Omega)$)
33	L5 ₋	$\int_a^p g(x) d\gamma(x)$. (γ is missing)
57	L12 ₋	$ \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k \leq X$ for all n
75	L10	$r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \dots \leq E X^r \leq 1 + r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \dots$
76	L5 ₋	function, and that g' is monotone. Then
321	L9	[239] (not [238])
338	L1	However, the absolute value of the real part of the ...
341	L2 ₋	$L_1(n) = \dots = \frac{1/2}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \neq 0$
342		The example on the lower piece has to be fixed
343	L15	$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(Z_n > 0) = \dots$
353	L8 ₋	\xrightarrow{d} (not \xrightarrow{r})
357	L10 ₋	$\sup_{x>0} (E X_k^3 I\{ X_k \leq x\} + x E X_k^2 I\{ X_k \leq x\})$
361	L5, 11	Theorem 4.4.1 (not (4.4.1))
363	L4	$e^{1/18}$ (not $e^{5/144}$)
392	L9	$\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} P\left(\left \sum_{j=1}^k X_j I\{ X_j > \frac{1}{2}b_{n_k}\}\right > \eta\sqrt{n_k \log \log n_k}\right) \leq \dots$
418	L4, 5	Williams' (not William's)
527	L4 ₋	$\alpha = P(\text{Player } B \text{ is the winner} \dots)$ (not A)
527	L3 ₋	player A is the winner (not B)
528	L8	$\alpha = P(\text{Player } B \text{ is the winner} \dots)$ (not A)

2. Corrections

Letter from J. Prochno, hereby acknowledged:

Lemma 3.1, Page 14 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a finite measure space and \mathcal{F} be an algebra on Ω with $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{A}$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists $B \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\mu(A \Delta B) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. We define

$$\mathcal{D} := \{A \in \mathcal{A} : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists A_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F} : \mu(A \Delta A_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, because for if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then we can just choose $A_\varepsilon := A \in \mathcal{F}$, which gives $\mu(A \Delta A_\varepsilon) = 0 < \varepsilon$. We now show that \mathcal{D} is a Dynkin system. In view of the definition of a Dynkin system, we do this in three steps.

Step 1. $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}$, because \mathcal{F} contains Ω , as it is an algebra and, as we saw before, $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$.

Step 2. Let $A \in \mathcal{D}$. We need to show that $A^c \in \mathcal{D}$. So let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $A \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists some $A_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $\mu(A \Delta A_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$. Because $C \Delta D = C^c \Delta D^c$, we may choose $B_\varepsilon := A_\varepsilon^c \in \mathcal{F}$ (because \mathcal{F} is an algebra) and obtain

$$\mu(A^c \Delta B_\varepsilon) = \mu(A^c \Delta A_\varepsilon^c) = \mu(A \Delta A_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon.$$

This means $A^c \in \mathcal{D}$.

Step 3. Let $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of (pairwise disjoint) sets. We need to show that $A := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{D}$, that is, if $\varepsilon > 0$, then we need to find a set $B_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $\mu(A \Delta B_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$. So let $\varepsilon > 0$. First of all, by the continuity from below, there exists an $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\mu\left(A \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} A_i\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (\text{S.0.1})$$

In fact, the sequence $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is increasing and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \uparrow A$, that is, $\lim_n \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = A$, which means that by the continuity from below, there exists $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\mu(A) - \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} A_i\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore, from the basic properties of a measure, it follows that

$$\mu\left(A \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} A_i\right) = \mu(A) - \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} A_i\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Now, since $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{N}}$, for $\delta := \frac{\varepsilon}{2n_\varepsilon}$ there exists a sequence $(A_{i,\delta})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{N}}$ so that, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mu(A_i \Delta A_{i,\delta}) < \delta. \quad (\text{S.0.2})$$

Because

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} A_i\right) \Delta \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} A_{i,\delta}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} (A_i \Delta A_{i,\delta}),$$

we obtain from Inequality S.0.2,

$$\mu\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} A_i\right) \Delta \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} A_{i,\delta}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} \mu(A_i \Delta A_{i,\delta}) < n_\varepsilon \delta. \quad (\text{S.0.3})$$

It now follows from Inequalities (S.0.1) and (S.0.3) that, choosing $B_\varepsilon := \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} A_{i,\delta}$,

$$\mu\left(A \Delta B_\varepsilon\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + n_\varepsilon \delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + n_\varepsilon \frac{\varepsilon}{2n_\varepsilon} = \varepsilon.$$

This shows that $A \in \mathcal{D}$ and completes the proof of the theorem. \square