Lecture 6: Hamiltonian graphs

Anders Johansson

2011-10-22 lör

Contents

1 Dual graphs

2 Algebraic duality

3 Hamilton cycles

Definition of a Hamilton cycle

Let G = (V, E), where |V| = n. A cyclic subgraph $C \subset G$ such that $C \cong C_n$ is called a *Hamilton cycle* in G. It is thus a cycle passing through every vertex. If G has a Hamilton cycle it is said to be Hamiltonian.

- 1. How many Hamilton cycles does K_4 have?
- 2. Show that the dodecahedron is Hamiltonian.
- 3. Show that the following graph is non-Hamiltonian.
- 4. What about the Petersen graph?
- 5. Show that a bipartite graph is Hamiltonian only if it is balanced.
- 6. Show that Q_n has a Hamilton cycle. Use induction on n.

Independent sets of Hamiltonian graphs

Let G be a graph with independent set $S \subset V$. An independent set must not take up to many edges for the graph to be Hamiltonian.

- 1. Show that
- 2. Show that the following graph is non-hamiltonian

Tournaments and ranking path

After an all-meets-all table-tennis tournament, show that we can rank the players so that every player (except the last one) beat the following player in the ranking.

A tournament on n vertices is an orientation T of the complete graph K_n .

1. Show that there is a *directed* Hamilton path in T. (Redei's theorem)

Diracs theorem

Theorem Given G = (V, E), |V| = n, if the minimum degree $\delta(G) \ge n/2$ then G is Hamiltonian.

Proof sketch: Take a edge-maximal counterexample G. Then G + uv, $uv \in E$, has a H-path $P : u = x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_n = v$.

We aim to get the following construction.

Let \vec{P} be the orientation of P from u to v. Then

 $|N_{+}(N(v,G),\vec{P}) \cap N(v)| > 0.$

Here $N_+(S, \vec{P})$ means the set S shifted one step along the path \vec{P} .

4 Graph colourings

Definition of (proper) colourings and k-colourability.

A proper colouring of a (simple) graph is a labeling of vertices where adjacent vertices never share a label. The labels are then often called *colours*. We say that a graph is k-colourable if it can be coloured using (at most) k colours.

The complete K_4 is an example of a planar simple graph which is not 3-colourable.

1. Determine $\chi(G)$ for $G = K_n, \overline{K_n}, C_n$?.

Let $[k] := \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ (or any set with k elements). An (improper) k-/colouring/ is an element of $[k]^V := \{f : V \to [k]\}$. It is thus a proper k-colouring if u adjacent to v implies that $f(u) \neq f(v)$. Equivalently, the inverse image $f^{-1}(\alpha)$ of each colour $\alpha \in [k]$ is an independent set.

The smallest number k for which the graph G is k-colourable, is called the *chromatic number* of G, denoted by $\chi(G)$.

The chromatic number

The chromatic number, $\chi(G)$, of G is sthe smallest k such that G has a proper [k]-colouring.

Thus $\chi(G) \leq k$ if and only if there exists a proper k-colouring. We say that G is k-colourable or k-partite; it means that we can partition the vertex set into k independent sets. A graph is bipartite is the same stating that $\chi(G) \leq 2$.

1. Give example of a K_3 -free graph such that $\chi(G) \geq 3$.

The Four-Colour theorem and Heawoods Five-Colour theorem

There is the celebrated **Theorem (The Four-Color Theorem)** Every simple planar graph is 4-colourable.

The only known proofs require extensive computer runs. The first such proof was obtained by Kenneth Appel and Wolfgang Haken in 1976.

If we require only 5-colourability, then there is **Theorem 6.6.** (Heawood's **Theorem or The Five-Color Theorem**) Every simple planar graph is 5-colourable.

• **Proof** We may think of G = (V, E) as a plane graph. Use induction on the number n = |V|. (Induction Basis: n = 1 is 1-colourable since there are no edges.) We assum the theorem is true for $1 \le |V| \le n$ and aim to show theorem is true for |V| = n + 1.

Recall the Minimum Degree Bound for Planar Graph (Derived from Euler's theorem), i.e. there is $v \in V(G)$ of degree at most 5. On the other hand, according to the Induction Hypothesis the graph G - v is 5-colourable. If, in this colouring, the set of vertices adjacent to v, N(v), are coloured using at most four colours, then clearly we can 5-colour G.

So we are left with the case where the neighbours $N(v) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ are coloured using different colours. We may assume that the indexing of the vertices proceeds clockwise, and we label the colours with the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 (in this order). We show that the colouring of G - v can be changed so that (at most) four colours suffice for colouring N(v). We denote by

$$H_{i,j} := (G - v)[f^{-1}(\{i, j\})]$$

the bichromatic subgraph of G - v induced by the vertices coloured with i and j. We have two cases:

- 1. v_1 and v_3 are in different components H_1 and H_3 of $H_{1,3}$. We then *interchange* the colours 1 and 3 in the vertices of, say, H_3 leaving the other colours untouched. In the resulting 5-colouring of G v the vertices v_1 and v_3 both have the colour 1. We can then give the colour 3 to v.
- 2. v_1 and v_3 are connected in $H_{1,3}$. Then there is a $v_1 v_3$ path in $H_{1,3}$. Including the vertex v we get from this path a circuit C. Now, since we indexed the vertices $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ clockwise, exactly one of the vertices v_2 and v_4 is inside C. We deduce that v_2 and v_4 are in different components of $H_{2,4}$, and we have a case similar to the previous one.

Note that he proof gives a simple algorithm for 5-colouring a planar graph.

Brook's theorem

Another theorem we will talk about is Brook's theorem.

• (Brook's theorem) A connected graph G has chromatic number bounded by the *maximum degree*, i.e.

$$\chi(G) \le \Delta(G) = \max \deg(v, G),$$

unless it is a *complete graph* or an odd cycle.

• The proof is quite similar in many ways to the 5-color theorem: One works with bichromatic components, etc.