

SOLUTIONS TO HOME ASSIGNMENT 1

2.3. (a). The function $\lambda(n)$ is clearly multiplicative; hence also the function $f(n) = \lambda(n)n^{-s}$ is multiplicative for any fixed s . Furthermore, when $\sigma > 1$ we note that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$ is absolutely convergent, since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda(n)|n^{-\sigma} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\sigma} < \infty$. Hence by Proposition 2.7 we have, when $\sigma > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\lambda(p)}{p^s} + \frac{\lambda(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \frac{\lambda(p^3)}{p^{3s}} + \dots \right) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s} + p^{-2s} - p^{-3s} + \dots) \\ &= \prod_p \frac{1}{1 + p^{-s}} = \prod_p \frac{1 - p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-2s}} = \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

(b). The function $|\mu(n)|$ is clearly multiplicative; hence also the function $f(n) = |\mu(n)|n^{-s}$ is multiplicative for any fixed s . Furthermore, when $\sigma > 1$ we note that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$ is absolutely convergent, since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(n)|n^{-\sigma} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\sigma} < \infty$. Hence by Proposition 2.7 we have, when $\sigma > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu(n)|}{n^s} &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{|\mu(p)|}{p^s} + \frac{|\mu(p^2)|}{p^{2s}} + \frac{|\mu(p^3)|}{p^{3s}} + \dots \right) = \prod_p (1 + p^{-s}) \\ &= \prod_p \frac{1 - p^{-2s}}{1 - p^{-s}} = \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2s)}. \end{aligned}$$

2.5. It follows from the assumption in the lemma that $X = \prod_p (1 + |f(p)| + |f(p^2)| + \dots)$ is a finite real number ($X \geq 1$). We will prove the absolute convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)|$ by actually proving $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)| \leq X$. To prove this it suffices to prove that $\sum_{n=1}^N |f(n)| \leq X$ for each $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Let N be given, and let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_M be all prime numbers $\leq N$. Then

$$\prod_{k=1}^M (1 + |f(p_k)| + |f(p_k^2)| + \dots) \leq \prod_p (1 + |f(p)| + |f(p^2)| + \dots) \leq X.$$

On the other hand, by Cauchy's theorem, the finite product $\prod_{k=1}^M (1 + |f(p_k)| + |f(p_k^2)| + \dots)$ may be multiplied out as

$$\prod_{k=1}^M (1 + |f(p_k)| + |f(p_k^2)| + \dots) = \sum_{v_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v_2=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{v_M=0}^{\infty} |f(p_1^{v_1} p_2^{v_2} \dots p_M^{v_M})|.$$

In the last sum, $p_1^{v_1} p_2^{v_2} \dots p_M^{v_M}$ runs through exactly those positive integers which only have prime factors p_1, p_2, \dots, p_M (or a subset of these) in their prime factorization. In particular

$p_1^{v_1} p_2^{v_2} \cdots p_M^{v_M}$ visits all the numbers $1, 2, \dots, N$. Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |f(n)| \leq \prod_{k=1}^M (1 + |f(p_k)| + |f(p_k^2)| + \dots) \leq X.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

3.2. Write $A(x) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} a_n n^\beta$; then we know that $A(x) = x + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. (In detail: $A(x) = A(\lfloor x \rfloor) = \lfloor x \rfloor + O(\lfloor x \rfloor^{\frac{1}{2}}) = x + O(1) + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) = x + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.) Hence (by possibly taking a larger implied constant) we have

$$(584) \quad A(x) = x + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \forall x \geq 1.$$

Now for $N \geq 1$ we have

$$(585) \quad \sum_{n=1}^N a_n = \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-\beta} (a_n n^\beta) = \int_{1-}^N x^{-\beta} dA(x).$$

By Lemma 3.2 (together with a similar type of limit identity as in (85)) this is

$$(586) \quad = N^{-\beta} A(N) - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^N x^{-\beta} A(x) dx.$$

Using now (584) we get

$$(587) \quad \begin{aligned} &= N^{-\beta} (N + O(N^{\frac{1}{2}})) + \beta \int_1^N x^{-\beta-1} (x + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}})) dx \\ &= N^{1-\beta} + O(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta}) + \beta \int_1^N x^{-\beta} dx + O(1) \int_1^N x^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} dx \end{aligned}$$

To treat the last integral (the second error term) we use the following fact, which follows directly from the explicit formula for $\int_1^N x^\alpha dx$:

$$(588) \quad \int_1^N x^\alpha dx \ll \begin{cases} N^{\alpha+1} & \text{if } \alpha > -1 \\ \log N & \text{if } \alpha = -1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha < -1 \end{cases}$$

(the implied constant depends on α). Hence we get:

$$(589) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N a_n &= N^{1-\beta} + O(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta}) + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} (N^{1-\beta} - 1) + O(1) \begin{cases} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} & \text{if } \beta < \frac{1}{2} \\ \log N & \text{if } \beta = \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \text{if } \beta > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\beta} N^{1-\beta} + O(1) \begin{cases} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} & \text{if } \beta < \frac{1}{2} \\ \log N & \text{if } \beta = \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \text{if } \beta > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.5. Set $A(x) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} a_n$; then the assumption says that $A(x) \sim x^2$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and hence for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is some $X > 1$ such that

$$(590) \quad |A(x) - x^2| < \varepsilon x^2, \quad \forall x \geq X.$$

Now for each $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^N a_n(N-n)^2 = \int_{1-}^N (N-x)^2 dA(x) = 0 + 2 \int_1^N (N-x)A(x) dx$$

If $A(x) \equiv x^2$ then the last expression equals

$$2 \int_1^N (N-x)x^2 dx = 2 \left[\frac{N}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^4 \right]_{x=1}^{x=N} = \frac{1}{6}N^4 - \frac{2}{3}N + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence for our general $A(x) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} a_n$ we have, for each integer $N > X$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n(N-n)^2 - \frac{1}{6}N^4 \right| &= \left| 2 \int_1^N (N-x)A(x) dx - 2 \int_1^N (N-x)x^2 dx - \frac{2}{3}N + \frac{1}{2} \right| \\ &\leq 2 \int_1^N (N-x)|A(x) - x^2| dx + \frac{2}{3}N + \frac{1}{2} \\ &\leq 2 \int_1^X N|A(x) - x^2| dx + 2 \int_X^N (N-x)\varepsilon x^2 dx + \frac{2}{3}N + \frac{1}{2} \\ &\leq 2N \int_1^X |A(x) - x^2| dx + 2\varepsilon \int_X^N N^3 dx + \frac{2}{3}N + \frac{1}{2} \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon N^4 + \left(2 \int_1^X |A(x) - x^2| dx + \frac{2}{3} \right) N + \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

The expression inside the parenthesis does not depend on N , and hence for all sufficiently large N the above is $< 3\varepsilon N^4$, i.e. we have proved that for all sufficiently large N we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n(N-n)^2 - \frac{1}{6}N^4 \right| < 3\varepsilon N^4.$$

Since ε was arbitrarily small this implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^N a_n(N-n)^2 \sim \frac{1}{6}N^4 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

4.6. The first line in the table on p. 70 is clear from Proposition 4.27. Regarding the three remaining lines, note that for any even positive integer n we have $\binom{-4}{n} = \binom{8}{n} = \binom{-8}{n} = 0$ by Proposition 4.26. Hence from now on we may assume that n is an odd positive integer.

Now $\left(\frac{-4}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ by (164) and Theorem 4.25, and this shows that the second line in the table is correct.

Also $\left(\frac{8}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^3 = \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{n^2-1}{8}}$ by (164) and Theorem 4.25, and thus the third line in the table is correct.

Finally $\left(\frac{-8}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^3 = \left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{n^2-1}{8}}$ by (164) and Theorem 4.25, and hence the fourth line in the table is correct. \square

4.8. Let q have the prime factorization $q = 2^\alpha \prod_{j=1}^r p_j^{\alpha_j}$. From the proof of Theorem 4.34 we see that all characters modulo q are real if and only if $\alpha \leq 3$ and for each $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ all the $\phi(p_j^{\alpha_j})$ th roots of unity are real, i.e. $\phi(p_j^{\alpha_j}) \in \{1, 2\}$. Clearly $\phi(p^\alpha) = p^{\alpha-1}(p-1) > 2$ for each prime $p \geq 5$ and any $\alpha \geq 1$, and also $\phi(3^\alpha) > 2$ for all $\alpha \geq 2$. Hence in the prime factorization of q we must have $r = 0$ or $r = 1$ and $p_1 = 3$, $\alpha_1 = 1$.

Conversely, if $\alpha \leq 3$ and $[r = 0$ or $r = 1$ and $p_1 = 3$, $\alpha_1 = 1]$ then indeed all the characters modulo q are real. There are 4 choices of $\alpha \leq 3$; hence if $r = 0$ then we get the following possible q 's: 1, 2, 4, 8, and if $[r = 1, p_1 = 3, \alpha_1 = 1]$ then we get those same numbers multiplied with 3, viz.: 3, 6, 12, 24. \square

6.5. We fix q and assume that for each a with $(a, q) = 1$ we have $\pi(x; q, a) = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \text{Li } x + O(xe^{-c\sqrt{\log x}})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (this is in fact a theorem which will be seen later in the course). Then by possibly increasing the implied constant we actually have $\pi(x; q, a) = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \text{Li } x + O(xe^{-c\sqrt{\log x}})$ for all $x \geq 2$ (and all a with $(a, q) = 1$). Now for any $2 \leq M < N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{M < p \leq N} \frac{\chi(p)}{p} &= \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{M < p \leq N \\ p \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \frac{\chi(a)}{p} = \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \chi(a) \int_M^N \frac{1}{x} d\pi(x; q, a) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \chi(a) \left(\frac{\pi(N; q, a)}{N} - \frac{\pi(M; q, a)}{M} + \int_M^N \frac{1}{x^2} \pi(x; q, a) dx \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \chi(a) \left(\frac{\text{Li } N}{N\phi(q)} - \frac{\text{Li } M}{M\phi(q)} + \int_M^N \frac{\text{Li } x}{\phi(q)x^2} dx \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a, q) = 1}} O\left(e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}} + e^{-c\sqrt{\log M}} + \int_M^N \frac{e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}}{x} dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

Here the first sum vanishes, since $\sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \chi(a) = 0$, cf. Lemma 3.13. Hence we get (the implied constant may depend on q):

$$= O\left(e^{-c\sqrt{\log M}} \right) + O\left(\int_M^\infty \frac{e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}}{x} dx \right)$$

Substituting $x = e^{y^2/c^2}$ (thus $y = c\sqrt{\log x}$) we find that

$$\int_M^\infty \frac{e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}}{x} dx = \frac{2}{c^2} \int_{c\sqrt{\log M}}^\infty e^{-y} y dy = \frac{2}{c^2} \left[-(1+y)e^{-y} \right]_{y=c\sqrt{\log M}}^{y=\infty} = O\left(\sqrt{\log M} e^{-c\sqrt{\log M}}\right)$$

Hence we conclude

$$\sum_{M < p \leq N} \frac{\chi(p)}{p} = O\left(\sqrt{\log M} e^{-c\sqrt{\log M}}\right),$$

for all $2 \leq M < N$. Since the bound in the right hand side is independent of N it follows that the same bound holds for the infinite sum:

$$\sum_{p > M} \frac{\chi(p)}{p} = O\left(\sqrt{\log M} e^{-c\sqrt{\log M}}\right).$$

This proves the first assertion.

Next let us assume the much stronger asymptotic relation $\pi(x; q, a) = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \text{Li } x + O(\sqrt{x} \log x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, for all a with $(a, q) = 1$. By possibly increasing the implied constant it follows that this relation holds for all $x \geq 2$. Now by a very similar computation as above it follows that for any $2 \leq M < N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{M < p \leq N} \frac{\chi(p)}{p} &= O(M^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log M) + O\left(\int_M^\infty x^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\log x) dx\right) \\ &= O(M^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log M) + O\left(\int_{\log M}^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}y} y dy\right) = O(M^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log M). \end{aligned}$$

As before we can here take “ $N \rightarrow \infty$ ” and hence we obtain the second assertion. \square