

ANOTHER PROOF OF MOON'S THEOREM ON GENERALISED TOURNAMENT SCORE SEQUENCES

ERIK THÖRNBLAD

ABSTRACT. Landau [4] showed that a sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ of integers is the score sequence of some tournament if and only if $\sum_{i \in J} d_i \geq \binom{|J|}{2}$ for all $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with equality if $|J| = n$. Moon [5] extended this result to generalised tournaments. We show how Moon's result can be derived from Landau's result.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *tournament* is a directed complete graph. Formally, it is a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ such that $(i, j) \in E(G)$ if and only if $(j, i) \notin E(G)$ for all distinct $i, j \in V(G)$, and moreover $(i, i) \notin E(G)$ for all $i \in V(G)$. Given a vertex $u \in V(G)$, its outdegree is defined as the sum $\sum_{v \in V(G)} \mathbb{1}((u, v) \in E(G))$, i.e. the number of outgoing edges from u . These numbers can be computed for all vertices of G , resulting in the sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ of outdegrees of G , known as the *score sequence* of G . A classical result is the following characterisation of permissible score sequences, originally due to Landau [4].

Theorem 1 ([4]). *A sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ of non-negative integers is the score sequence of some tournament on n vertices if and only if $\sum_{i \in J} d_i \geq \binom{|J|}{2}$ for all subsets $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with equality for $J = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.*

It is easy to see necessity in the above theorem. Namely, given any subset of size k of the vertices, look at the induced subtournament. This must have $\binom{k}{2}$ internal edges, contributing this much to the sum of the scores of the vertices in this subtournament, and possibly some edges leaving from this subtournament to the rest of the tournament. For sufficiency there are numerous proofs, see e.g. [3, 4, 6].

A *generalised tournament* $G = (V(G), \alpha)$ is a set $V(G) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ of vertices along with a function $\alpha : V(G) \times V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, such that $\alpha(i, j) + \alpha(j, i) = 1$ for all $(i, j) \in V(G) \times V(G)$, $i \neq j$, and $\alpha(i, i) = 0$ for all $i \in V(G)$. We will sometimes call the function α the edge-weight function, etc. Note that a tournament is a generalised tournament for which α only takes values in $\{0, 1\}$. Given a vertex $u \in V$, the *outdegree* of u is defined as the sum $\sum_{v \in V(G)} \alpha(u, v)$, extending the definition for tournaments to generalised tournaments. Score sequences are defined analogously. A natural question is whether Theorem 1 extends to generalised tournaments. This was answered in the affirmative by Moon [5].

Theorem 2 ([5]). *A sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ of non-negative real numbers is the score sequence of some generalised tournament if and only if $\sum_{i \in J} d_i \geq \binom{|J|}{2}$ for all subsets $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with equality for $J = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.*

The proof given by Moon uses a network flow approach by showing that the existence of a generalised tournament with the prescribed score sequence follows from the existence of a flow satisfying certain conditions. In this note, we present a new proof of Theorem 2, assuming only the validity of Theorem 1.

Date: May 23, 2016.

1.1. Outline. In this section we outline how Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1. The proofs appear in Section 2.

Our approach will be to argue via rational approximations. To this end, we begin by showing that Theorem 1 extends to generalised tournaments with rational score sequences.

Lemma 3. *A sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ of non-negative rationals is the score sequence of some generalised tournament on n vertices if and only if $\sum_{i \in J} d_i \geq \binom{|J|}{2}$ for all subsets $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with equality for $J = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.*

The forward direction is straightforward, so we prove only the backward direction. The idea of the proof is the following. Start with a rational sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ as above. We want to prove that there is a generalised tournament having this sequence as its score sequence. Instead we will consider a related sequence on mn elements, all integers, where m is chosen large enough that all md_i are integers. We will show that this sequence satisfies the condition in Theorem 1, so that it is the score sequence of some non-generalised tournament. Having this tournament, we will group its mn vertices into n clusters. Then we define a generalised tournament on n vertices. Each vertex will correspond to one of the clusters, and the edge weights between vertices will be the average edge weight between the corresponding clusters. It suffices to show that the object defined in this manner is a well-defined generalised tournament with score sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$.

Remark 4. *Given a score sequence, it is natural to ask for an algorithm that outputs a tournament with the given score sequence, see e.g. [2]. Any such algorithm, coupled with the procedure in our proof, can also be used to construct generalised tournament with given rational score sequence. Moreover, the edge weights in any such construction will be rational.*

To prove Theorem 2, we need to approximate the real score sequence by rational score sequences. The following lemma states that this can be done in the desired way.

Lemma 5. *Let $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a sequence of non-negative reals such that*

$$\sum_{i \in J} d_i \geq \binom{|J|}{2}$$

for any $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with equality for $J = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then there exist sequences $(d_i^{(m)})_{i=1}^n$ of non-negative rationals such that $d_i^{(m)} \rightarrow d_i$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and moreover, for all $m \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i \in J} d_i^{(m)} \geq \binom{|J|}{2}$$

for any $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with equality for $J = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

The idea behind the proof is to perturb the sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ to a rational sequence without disturbing the validity of the above condition.

Given Lemma 3 and Lemma 5, we then show that Theorem 2 holds. The proof of this is not difficult, and we only prove the more difficult direction. Given a real sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ satisfying the condition in Theorem 2, we will approximate it by rational sequences $(d_i^{(m)})_{i=1}^n$ as in Lemma 5. By Lemma 3 there exists some generalised tournament G_m with score sequence $(d_i^{(m)})_{i=1}^n$; we may furthermore assume that these are defined on the same vertex set. Finally we note that the edge weights of the G_m form a sequence in the compact set $[0, 1]^{\binom{n}{2}}$, so we may select a subsequence of $(G_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ such

that all edge weights converge. The limiting object will turn out to be a generalised tournament with score sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$.

The proof method outlined above should allow for similar extensions from (some) “non-generalised” results to their “generalised” counterparts. Such an example is provided in [7], which uses the same proof method to show that Eplett’s characterisation of possible score sequences of self-converse tournaments also is valid for generalised self-converse tournaments.

Remark 6. *After the completion of this note, the author was made aware of [1], in which Theorem 2 is also proved. The idea is rather similar; the authors use a rational approximation argument, but instead of relying on Theorem 1, they use a version of Hall’s theorem.*

2. PROOFS

Proof of Lemma 3. Let $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a sequence of non-negative rational numbers as in the statement of the lemma. Since the d_i are rational, there exist $k_i, m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ (with no common factors) such that $d_i = k_i/m_i$. Denote by m the lowest common multiple of m_i . (If some $k_i = 0$, we may take $m_i = 1$; this may happen for at most one i .)

We first construct an $n \times m$ -array which will contain the outdegrees of a (non-generalised) tournament. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, let

$$c_{i,\ell} = md_i + b_\ell$$

where $(b_\ell)_{\ell=1}^m$ is some arbitrary score sequence of a tournament on m vertices. Note that $c_{i,\ell}$ is an integer for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\ell = 1, \dots, m$. We claim that the $c_{i,\ell}$ constitutes a valid tournament score sequence, i.e. that the condition in Theorem 1 holds. To see this, take some $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$ and consider the partition $J = J_1 \cup J_2 \cup \dots \cup J_n$, where $J_i = \{(i, k) \in J : k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}\}$. Let $j_i = |J_i|$ and assume that the J_i have been ordered so that $0 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_n \leq m$. It suffices to check the three inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n j_i d_i &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n j_i (n - i), \\ \sum_{(i,\ell) \in J} b_{i,\ell} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n j_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n j_i \right), \\ m \sum_{i=1}^n j_i (n - i) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n j_i \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n j_i^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

To see that this is enough, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(i,\ell) \in J} c_{i,\ell} &= m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{(i,\ell) \in J_i} d_i + \sum_{(i,\ell) \in J} b_{i,\ell} = m \sum_{i=1}^n j_i d_i + \sum_{(i,\ell) \in J} b_{i,\ell} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n j_i \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n j_i^2 \right) \\ &= \binom{|J|}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows by the three inequalities above, and the final equality follows by $|J| = \sum_{i=1}^n j_i$.

Let us verify that the three inequalities hold. Define for notational convention $j_0 = 0$. The first inequality follows by noting that we can rewrite the sum (twice) as a telescoping sum and using the fact that the sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ satisfies our condition, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n j_i d_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n (j_i - j_{i-1}) \sum_{k=i}^n d_k \geq \sum_{i=1}^n (j_i - j_{i-1}) \binom{n-i+1}{2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n j_i \left(\binom{n-i+1}{2} - \binom{n-i}{2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n j_i (n-i) \end{aligned}$$

The second inequality follows since $(b_\ell)_{\ell=1}^m$ forms a valid score sequence, so

$$\sum_{(i,\ell) \in J} b_{i,\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{(i,\ell) \in J_i} b_{i,\ell} \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{j_i}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n j_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n j_i \right)$$

For the third inequality,

$$m \sum_{i=1}^n j_i (n-i) = m \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^n j_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^n j_i j_r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n j_i \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n j_i^2 \right).$$

This shows that the $c_{i,\ell}$ form a valid score sequence. By Theorem 1, there exists a (non-generalised) tournament H on mn vertices with outdegrees $c_{i,\ell}$. Denote by $v_{i,\ell}$ the vertex of H with outdegree $c_{i,\ell}$, so that

$$V(H) = \{v_{i,\ell} : i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } \ell = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Define the function $\alpha : \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\alpha(i, j) = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{1}((v_{i,\ell}, v_{j,k}) \in E(H))$$

for any $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $i \neq j$, and $\alpha(i, i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We claim that $G = (V(G), \alpha)$, where $V(G) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, is a well-defined generalised tournament with score sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$. It is well-defined since

$$\alpha(i, j) + \alpha(j, i) = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m (\mathbb{1}((v_{i,\ell}, v_{j,k}) \in E(H)) + \mathbb{1}((v_{j,k}, v_{i,\ell}) \in E(H))) = 1,$$

for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $i \neq j$. Trivially also $\alpha(i, j) \in [0, 1]$. To see that G has score sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \alpha(w_i, w_j) &= \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{1}((v_{i,\ell}, v_{j,k}) \in E(H)) \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^m (m d_i + b_\ell) - \frac{1}{m^2} \binom{m}{2} \\ &= d_i, \end{aligned}$$

where we have cancellation because b_ℓ was chosen to be a valid score sequence, implying that $\sum_{\ell=1}^m b_\ell = \binom{m}{2}$. This proves that G is a generalised tournament (with rational edge weights) with score sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$, completing the proof. \square

Proof of Lemma 5. Fix $m \geq 1$. Suppose the numbers $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ have been ordered so that $d_1 \geq \max\{d_2, \dots, d_n\}$. We claim first that this implies

$$d_1 + \sum_{i \in J} d_i > \binom{|J|+1}{2}$$

for all $|J| \subsetneq \{2, \dots, n\}$. Suppose not and let the set $J \subsetneq \{2, \dots, n\}$ be a counterexample. Take any $1 \neq k \notin J$. Then

$$\binom{|J|+1}{2} = d_1 + \sum_{i \in J} d_i \geq d_k + \sum_{i \in J} d_i \geq \binom{|J|+1}{2}$$

which implies that $d_k = d_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{|J|+2}{2} &\leq d_1 + d_k + \sum_{i \in J} d_i = \left(d_1 + \sum_{i \in J} d_i \right) + \left(d_k + \sum_{i \in J} d_i \right) - \sum_{i \in J} d_i \\ &\leq 2 \binom{|J|+1}{2} - \binom{|J|}{2} \\ &= \binom{|J|+2}{2} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

The above implies that we can choose $d_1^{(m)}$ to be some non-negative rational such that $d_1 - 1/m < d_1^{(m)} < d_1$ and

$$d_1^{(m)} + \sum_{i \in J} d_i > \binom{|J|}{2}$$

for all $J \subsetneq \{2, \dots, n\}$. This gives us enough room to define $d_i^{(m)}$ for all other $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$. We choose these as follows. For $i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$, let $d_i^{(m)}$ be some rational satisfying

$$d_i < d_i^{(m)} < d_i + \frac{d_1 - d_1^{(m)}}{n-1}$$

Finally let

$$d_n^{(m)} = \binom{n}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i^{(m)}.$$

Note that

$$d_n^{(m)} = \binom{n}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i^{(m)} > \binom{n}{2} - d_1^{(m)} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} d_i - (d_1 - d_1^{(m)}) = \binom{n}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i = d_n.$$

With this choice of $(d_i^{(m)})_{i=1}^n$, we have

$$\sum_{i \in J} d_i^{(m)} \geq \binom{|J|}{2}$$

for all $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with equality for $J = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. To see this, note that $d_i^{(m)} > d_i$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$, and since the corresponding inequalities hold for $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$, there can only be a problem if $1 \in J$. But this was taken care of by our choice of $d_1^{(m)}$. By construction we also have $d_i^{(m)} \rightarrow d_i$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a sequence of non-negative reals satisfying

$$\sum_{i \in J} d_i^{(m)} \geq \binom{|J|}{2}$$

for all $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with equality for $J = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. By Lemma 5, we can approximate $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ by rational sequences $(d_i^{(m)})_{i=1}^n$ such that $d_i^{(m)} \rightarrow d_i$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and such that $(d_i^{(m)})_{i=1}^m$ is the score sequence of some generalised tournament (applying Lemma 3). Denote by $G_m = (V(G_m), \alpha_m)$ any generalised tournament with score sequence $(d_i^{(m)})_{i=1}^m$. Assume that $V(G_m) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for each $m \geq 1$, so that we may identify the edge sets.

By compactness of $[0, 1]^{\binom{n}{2}}$, we may after passing to a subsequence assume that the tuple $(\alpha_m(i, j))_{i, j \in V(G)}$ converges coordinatwise. Let $\alpha : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ the function defined by

$$\alpha(i, j) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_m(i, j)$$

for any $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Note that $\alpha(i, j) + \alpha(j, i) = 1$ for $i \neq j$ and $\alpha(i, j) \in [0, 1]$, so α defines a weight function. Let $G = (V(G), \alpha)$, where $V(G) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be the generalised tournament with weight function α . Since

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \alpha(i, j) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \alpha_m(i, j) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d_i^{(m)} = d_i,$$

the generalised tournament G has score sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$. This proves Theorem 2. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Katja Gabrysch for figuring out how to verify that the numbers $c_{i,\ell}$ form a valid score sequence.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. M. Bang and H. Sharp, Jr. An elementary proof of Moon's theorem on generalized tournaments. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory (B)*, 22:209–301, 1977.
- [2] S. Gervacio. Score sequences: lexicographic enumeration and tournament construction. *Discrete Mathematics*, 72:151–155, 1988.
- [3] J. R. Griggs and K. Reid. Landau's Theorem revisited. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 20:19–24, 1999.
- [4] H. G. Landau. On dominance relations and the structure of animal societies: III The condition for a score structure. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics*, 15(2):143–148, 1953.
- [5] J. W. Moon. An extension of Landau's theorem on tournaments. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 13(4):1343–1345, 1963.
- [6] C. Thomassen. Landau's characterization of tournament score sequences. In *The Theory of Applications of Graphs (Kalamazoo, Michigan 1980)*, pages 589–591. Wiley, New York, 1981.
- [7] E. Thörnblad. Eplett's theorem for generalised self-converse tournaments. In preparation.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, BOX 480, S-75106 UPPSALA, SWEDEN.
E-mail address: erik.thornblad@math.uu.se