

Predicate logic, its semantics and decidability problems

1. Some review exercises in predicate logic to do (if necessary):

2.1: 1,3

2.2: 3,4

2.3: 2,3,4,7,13

2.4: 2,6,11,12

of the textbook (Huth and Ryan 2004).

2. * Do some of the prepared exercises in the ProofWeb system. (Follow the link on the course web page for instructions.)

3. Decide whether the following *instances* of Post's correspondence problem (PCP) are solvable. Provide a solution, or give a proof that no solution is possible!

(a) (11, 0), (10, 1)

(b) (000, 0), (0, 0000)

(c) (00, 10), (01, 0), (0, 110000)

4. Solve PCP for the sequence of pairs

(001, 0), (01, 011), (01, 101), (10, 001).

(Hint (?): It is not so easy if one gets started in the wrong way. One solution gives a binary string of total length 154. Use a computer program if it gets too tedious ...)

5. (Definability) Let \mathcal{M} be a model for the language L and let $A = |\mathcal{M}|$ be its universe. A subset $S \subseteq A^n$ is (*first-order*) *definable* in \mathcal{M} if there is an L -formula φ with free variables among x_1, \dots, x_n such that

$$S = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n : \mathcal{M} \models_{\ell} \varphi \text{ and } \ell(x_1) = a_1, \dots, \ell(x_n) = a_n\}$$

A relation $R \subseteq A^n$ is *definable in \mathcal{M}* if the corresponding subset R is definable. A function $f : A^n \rightarrow A$ is *definable in \mathcal{M}* if its graph

$$\text{graph } f = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n, b) \in A^{n+1} : f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = b\}$$

is a definable subset in \mathcal{M} .

Show that the subsets, relations or functions in (a) – (h) below are definable in $\mathcal{N} = \langle \mathbb{N}; +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$ using as simple formulas as seems possible.

For instance the set of even numbers is defined by

$$\{m \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{N} \models_{\ell} (\exists x) x + x = y \text{ and } \ell(y) = m\}$$

This also shows that the predicate *x is even* is definable. The function $f(x) = x^2$ is defined by

$$\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \mathcal{N} \models_{\ell} x \cdot x = y \text{ and } \ell(x) = m, \ell(y) = n\}.$$

- (a) x is odd
- (b) $y = x(x + 1)/2$
- (c) $x \leq y$
- (d) x divides y
- (e) x is the sum of two prime numbers
- (f) $z = \max(x, y)$
- (g) ** $y = x!$. [Look up and use Gödel's technique of the β -function and the Chinese remainder theorem.]
- (h) $y = 2^{2^{2^2}}$

▷ Let L be a first-order language with finitely many symbols. A structure \mathcal{M} for L is called *decidable*, if there is an algorithm which for every closed first order formula φ in the language L decides whether $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi$ holds or not. A well-known example of an *undecidable* structure is the structure of natural numbers $\mathcal{N} = \langle \mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$.

6. (Definability and decidability) Recall that in automata theory one studies languages as subsets of strings over a fixed alphabet. Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ be an alphabet, and let Σ^* be the set of finite strings. Thus

$$\Sigma^* = \{\epsilon, a, b, aa, ab, ba, bb, aaa, aab, \dots\}$$

Here ϵ is the empty string. Let $\&$ denote concatenation of strings, so $baba\&bba = bababba$. We may now regard $\langle \Sigma^*; \& \rangle$ as a first-order structure with concatenation as the only operation. Find elementary propositions (formulas) over $\langle \Sigma^*; \& \rangle$ that defines the following properties (note that $=$ may be used)

- (a) x is a substring of y
- (b) x is an empty string (you may not mention ϵ)
- (c) x is a string of length 1 (you may not mention 0 or 1) (Hint: use (a) and (b). How many substrings can such a string have?)
- (d) x is a string of length 4.

Consider now an extended structure $\langle \Sigma^*; \&, *, a, b, \epsilon \rangle$ where a, b, ϵ are constants (so they may be mentioned in elementary propositions) and moreover there is a “string duplicator” $*$ that satisfies the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 u * \epsilon &= \epsilon && \text{(erase)} \\
 u * (a\&v) &= u * v && \text{(take a pause)} \\
 u * (b\&v) &= (u * v)\&u && \text{(make a copy).}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $ab * bab = abab$ and $ab * aa = \epsilon$.

- (e) Prove that the structure $\langle \Sigma; \&, *, a, b, \epsilon \rangle$ is undecidable, by showing that *if* it was decidable, then we could decide $\langle \mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$ as well, contradicting a well-known theorem.
- (f)** If we remove the duplication operation from the structure in (e), does it become decidable? I.e. is the structure $\langle \Sigma; \&, a, b, \epsilon \rangle$ decidable?