

Exercises and Hand-in Problems 3, Tillämpad Logik DV1

Hand-in problems are

1(a), 1(d), 2, 3(c), 5(c), 5(d), 6(c), 7(a).

of the exercises below. Solutions should be handed in by Thursday, May 13, 2004, clearly written and well explained¹ in Swedish or English. (You may work in groups of three.)

1. Show that the following formulas are unprovable in IPC. This may be done by finding a suitable Heyting algebra and a valuation which give a value $\neq \top$ to the formula. Another strategy is to try to show that the formula implies (in IPC) a formula which is already known to be unprovable.
 - (a) $\neg(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow P \wedge \neg Q$,
 - (b) $(\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow Q)$,
 - (c) $(\neg\neg P \rightarrow \neg\neg Q) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow Q)$,
 - (d) $(P \rightarrow Q \vee R) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow Q) \vee (P \rightarrow R)$.
2. Prove that in a Heyting algebra: if $a \wedge b = \perp$, $a \vee b = \top$, then $b = \neg a$. Thus every true complement is a pseudo-complement.
3. Prove that the following implications are valid in any Heyting algebra. (These are useful lemmas for the soundness theorem.)
 - (a) $h \leq a \wedge b$ implies $h \leq a$ and $h \leq b$,
 - (b) $h \leq a$ or $h \leq b$ implies $h \leq a \vee b$,
 - (c) $h \leq (a \rightarrow b)$, $h \leq a$ implies $h \leq b$.
4. * Consider the set of open sets of the real line defined Section 10 of *Constructive Logic and Type Theory*. Compute the open sets (in terms of intervals):
 - (a) $(2, 3) \rightarrow (1, 4)$
 - (b) $(1, 4) \rightarrow (2, 3)$

¹Unsatisfactorily presented solutions may be returned ungraded.

(c) $(1,3) \rightarrow (2,4)$

5. Use the tableau method to obtain either a proof or a counterexample to each of the following propositional formulas

(a) $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow P)$

(b) $(\neg P \vee \neg Q) \rightarrow (Q \wedge \neg R)$

(c) $((P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow R)) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)$

(d) $((P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow R)) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)$

For further exercises, see Smullyan, p. 24.

6. Prove by the tableau method each of the following formulas in predicate logic

(a) $(\forall y)[(\forall x)P(x) \rightarrow P(y)]$

(b) $(\forall x)P(x) \rightarrow (\exists x)P(x)$

(c) $(\exists x)(P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \rightarrow (\exists x)P(x) \wedge (\exists x)Q(x)$

7. Determine the Skolem conjunctive normal form for each of the following formulas, and thereby its equivalent set of clauses. P and Q are predicate symbols.

(a) $\forall u [\forall x \neg \exists y P(x, y) \rightarrow \neg \forall x (\exists z P(x, y) \rightarrow \forall y P(u, y))].$

(b) $\forall x (\exists y P(x, y) \leftrightarrow \exists z Q(z, x)).$

—————