UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

Matematiska institutionen Erik Palmgren LABORATORY EXERCISE, part 1 Tillämpad logik, ht-09 2009-09-18

Laboratory exercises, part 1

The first part of the laboratory exercises aims to get you acquainted with the proof assistant Coq and to make some simple proofs with its help. You may work in groups of up to three persons. Hand in finished and annotated proofs at the latest October 16, 2009.

Coq is freely available for different computer platforms. See the links on the course web page which points to the download pages for Coq and tutorials on Coq. The tutorial *The Coq Proof Assistant - a Tutorial* (October 2008) by Gérard Huet, Gilles Kahn and Christine Paulin-Mohring is recommended reading. Consult also the online reference manual.

The Coq system is started under Linux or Mac OS X by typing coqtop in a terminal window. Below is an example where we prove $\neg(\exists x \in D)P(x) \rightarrow (\forall x \in D)\neg P(x)$ in intuitionistic predicate calculus. First P is declared to be a predicate over D, or a propositional function on D. Then the formula we want to prove is entered, the goal formula.

One of the general tactics is intros which can apply several logical introduction rules in succession. Below we do \rightarrow -introduction, \forall -introduction and \neg -introduction in one sweep. The variables H d H2 are requested names for the resulting assumptions.

Unnamed_thm < intros H d H2.</pre>

1 subgoal

The goal is now to prove a contradiction, which can be done by applying the hypothesis H. This in turn gives a goal which is an existential statement. The element of D which we are looking for is probably d so we make an existential introduction: exists d. The new goal can then be proved by referring to the assumption.

```
Unnamed_thm < apply H.</pre>
1 subgoal
 D : Set
 P : D -> Prop
 H : \ \tilde{} \ (exists x : D, P x)
 H2 : P d
 exists x : D, P x
Unnamed_thm < exists d.</pre>
1 subgoal
 D : Set
 P : D -> Prop
 H : ^{\sim} (exists x : D, P x)
 d: D
 H2 : P d
 _____
  P d
```

Unnamed_thm < assumption.
Proof completed.</pre>

The finished proof object can now be inspected:

The commands Abort, Restart, Undo etc. are useful when your proof goes on the wrong track. It is very useful to have a text editor running on the side to record your commands. There is also a special Emacs module dedicated to this, with lots of sophisticated support and tools, called Proof General.

Problems 1

Prove the following propositions in predicate calculus.

(i) $(A \wedge B \to C) \to (A \to (B \to C))$. Here A, B, C are propositions. The goal formula is written

```
(A / B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C))
```

(ii) $(\exists x \in D)P(x) \lor (\exists x \in D)Q(x) \to (\exists x \in D)(P(x) \lor Q(x))$. Here P and Q are propositional functions on D. The goal formula is written

```
(exists x:D, P(x)) \/ (exists x:D, Q(x)) -> (exists x:D, P(x) \/ Q(x))
```

- (iii) $((\exists x \in D)P(x) \to C) \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in D)(P(x) \to C)$. Here C is a proposition. Note that $A \leftrightarrow B$ is defined as $(A \to B) \land (B \to A)$ so using split at some point is a good tactic. Equivalence \leftrightarrow is rendered <->.
- (iv) A predicate R of two variables on D that is a binary relation on D may conveniently be regarded as a propositional function with the declaraion Variable R: D -> D -> Prop. We may also consider relations between different sets. To prepare for a proof of the theorem

$$(\exists y \in E)(\forall x \in D)R(x,y) \to (\forall x \in D)(\exists y \in E)R(x,y).$$

we execute the following commands

The command Theorem EAAE: works the same as Goal but gives the proposition to be proved the name EAAE.

Complete the above proof! You need to use the tactics elim at some point.

(v) Enter the following commands into Coq (the computer savvy cuts and paste from the (electronic) text document).

With hypotheses as above prove in Coq the following

$$(\forall n, m \in N)(n = m \vee \neg n = m).$$

That is, enter

and start the deduction.

In the proof of this there is a decision procedure for equality on natural numbers hidden. If the theorem is proved using Coq's own version of numbers, one can directly extract Haskell or ML program that makes such decisions.

(vi) The following exercise is to make a formal proof of so-called *well-founded induction* from ordinary complete induction on natural numbers (cf. Exercise sheet 3 problem 12).

Enter the following commands into Coq.

```
Section Predicate_calculus.
Variable N:Set.
Variable zero:N.
Variable S:N -> N.
Hypothesis Peano3: (forall x y:N, S x = S y -> x=y).
Hypothesis Peano4: (forall x:N, ~ (S x = zero)).
Variable Lt:N -> N -> Prop.
Hypothesis LtAx1a: (forall x y: N, (Lt x (S y)) -> x=y \/ (Lt x y)).
Hypothesis LtAx1b: (forall x y: N, x=y \/ (Lt x y) -> (Lt x (S y))).
Hypothesis LtAx1c: (forall x y: N, (Lt (S x) (S y)) -> (Lt x y)).
Hypothesis LtAx1d: (forall x y: N, (Lt x y) -> (Lt (S x) (S y))).
Hypothesis LtAx2a: (forall x:N, ~ (Lt x zero)).
Hypothesis LtAx2b: (forall x:N, (Lt zero (S x))).
Hypothesis LtAx3: (forall x:N, (Lt x (S x)).
```

```
Hypothesis IND: (forall P:N ->Prop,  (P\ zero)\ ->\ (forall\ n:N,\ (P\ n)\ ->\ (P\ (S\ n)))\ ->\ (forall\ n:N,\ P\ n)).  Definition UpTo (Q:N\ ->\ Prop)\ (n:N): Prop := (forall m: N, Lt m n -> Q m). 
 Theorem WFIND: (forall Q:N -> Prop,  (forall\ n:N,\ (forall\ m:N,\ Lt\ m\ n\ ->\ Q\ m)\ ->\ (forall\ n:N,\ Q\ n)).
```

For reasoning about equality (=) there are several built-in tactics. A simple but useful one is replace.

The definition UpTo may be handy in the proof or as a hint. Given a predicate Q on N it (UpTo Q) is the predicate P given by

$$P(n) = (\forall m : N)(m < n \to Q(m))$$

Complete the proof! (Are all axioms (hypotheses) necessary for the theorem?)