# R. KOZHAN
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
12S: MATH 132 LEC 1: CMPLX ANLY-APLICTNS
No. of responses = 12
Enrollment = 38
Response Rate = 31.58%


Survey Results

UCLA Department of Mathematics:

How would you rate your instructor as an effective teacher?

Failing — 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 6 3 — Excellent
(1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9)

n=12
av.=8
md=8
dev.=0.74

How would you rate the availability and helpfulness of your instructor outside of the classroom?

Failing — 0 0 0 0 1 0 4 3 4 — Excellent
(1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9)

n=12
av.=7.75
md=8
dev.=1.22

What is your rating of this course independent of the effectiveness of the instructor?

Failing — 0 0 0 1 1 1 5 2 2 — Excellent
(1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9)

n=12
av.=7
md=7
dev.=1.48

# Profile

Subunit: MATH
Name of the instructor: R. KOZHAN
Name of the course: 12S: MATH 132 LEC 1: CMPLX ANLY-APLICTNS
(Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

UCLA Department of Mathematics:

How would you rate your instructor as an effective teacher?

Failing — Excellent   n=12   av.=8.00   md=8.00   dev.=0.74

How would you rate the availability and helpfulness of your instructor outside of the classroom?

Failing — Excellent   n=12   av.=7.75   md=8.00   dev.=1.22

What is your rating of this course independent of the effectiveness of the instructor?

Failing — Excellent   n=12   av.=7.00   md=7.00   dev.=1.48

Comments Report

Comments:

Please use the space provided for any comments you wish to make which are pertinent to the educational process. These may include all aspects of the course: teaching, examinations, grading, textbook, etc.

- Examinations were fair but not enough time in 50 minutes to really show what I know. Course covered a wide range of topics. The book by Gamelin was maybe not the best choice because it covered a lot of theory outside of course requirements. But it will be good to have in the future perhaps. The teacher has better ways to solve problems. Teacher was encouraging of questions and had a great demeanor when students were confused in that he always helped.

- Good

- Good professor, organized, good lecturer, fair exams.

  Unrelated to the Professor, I don't understand why this class is required for Engineers at all. It seems completely useless to anything I have and will do.

- Professor Kozhan is a very consistent and responsible teacher. His midterm summaries are amazing! However, it's not necessary to have exactly 10 homeworks due for the quarter, because I think that to have two homeworks due per week is a little too much.

- Professor Kozhan is excellent! He made complex analysis interesting and did a good job balancing computation and theory. I think the book was clear and helpful (and the lectures were relevant to the book!). I think the examinations were on the too-long/too-difficult side, but he did warn us on the first day of class that they tended to be difficult. He was very helpful, not condescending, patient, and available for office hours often.
  Overall, he's probably the best math instructor I've had so far.

- This teacher was really awesome. I liked his way of explaining things and he always stopped to ask if we had questions (just to say we always have questions but the problem is trying to ask one without looking stupid). His homeworks we really hard and his exams we REALLLLLLY HARD!! If there is anything he could fix...it would be to make the exams more reasonable.

- the instructor can give us more examples about the materials. Sometimes it is too difficult to understand the material by
  reading the definitions or the theories.

- useful lecture notes! but very hard exam!