

Our TM - model

①

An ordinary TM is a TM with one tape, or string as we will say.

- the left end of the string always contains the symbol ' $\triangleright$ ' which is never overwritten and the cursor never moves to the left of $\triangleright$.
- input = finite string beginning with $\triangleright$.
- the cursor may move to the right of the string and in this case we see it as appending a blank symbol ' $\sqcup$ ' to the end of the string. Thus the string never gets shorter.
- There are three halting states: "yes", "no" and h.

(2)

A k-string TM ($k \geq 1$) is one with k strings; on each the TM works as described above.

- input is always placed on string 1.
- output, if any, always appears on string k .

If x is input then eventual output is denoted by $M(x)$.

We say that a TM M decides the language L if

$$x \in L \Rightarrow M(x) = \text{"yes"},$$

$$x \notin L \Rightarrow M(x) = \text{"no"}.$$

(and M accepts L if

$$x \in L \Rightarrow M(x) = \text{"yes"},$$

$$x \notin L \Rightarrow M(x) \text{ does not halt,} \\ \text{denoted } M(x) = \uparrow.)$$

Time bounds

3

Def. $L \in \text{TIME}(f(n)) \iff$
 L is decided by a k -string
TM ($k \geq 1$) within time $f(n)$, i.e.
for input x the TM needs at
most $f(|x|)$ steps.

Thm 2.1 For every k and k -string
TM M operating within time $f(n)$, there
is a 1-string TM (an "ordinary TM") M'
operating within time $O(f(n)^2)$
such that $M(x) = M'(x)$ for every
input x .

Proof idea: Split the string/tape of M'
the 1-string TM into k parts,
corresponding to the k strings of M .

(4)

Constants don't matter for time complexity classes:

Thm 2.2 Let $L \in \text{TIME}(f(n))$.

Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$L \in \text{TIME}(\epsilon f(n) + n + 2)$.

Proof idea Let M decide $L \in \text{TIME}(f(n))$.

Let $m = \lceil \frac{6}{\epsilon} \rceil$. Construct M' simulating

M but with an extended alphabet containing ^(in addition) a symbol encoding every string of length m from M 's alphabet.

Space bounds

(5)

Def. For a k -string TM M
($k \geq 1$), M operates within space

$f(n)$ if for every input x ,
the sum of the lengths of the k
strings during the computation never
exceeds $f(|x|)$.

(If M halts, we only need to
consider the strings after halting,
due to our conventions.)

- Note that f as above must
satisfy $f(n) \geq n$ for all n .
- But we would also like to study
how much extra space is needed
besides input, and possibly output.
(The extra space may be less than linear.)

(6)

Def. Let $k \geq 2$. A k -string TM M is called a k -string TM with input and output if:

- string 1 is a "read only" input string.
- string k is a "write only" output string.
- the cursor never goes further to the right after reading the input string, or after writing the output string. (on the respective strings).

Def. For a k -string TM M with input and output, M operates within space $f(n)$ if for every input x , the sum of the lengths of the strings 2 to $k-1$ (the "workspace") never exceeds $f(|x|)$.

Def. $L \in \text{SPACE}(f(n)) \iff$

there is k -string TM M ($k \geq 2$)
with input and output which
decides L and operates within
space $f(n)$.

Example $L = \{\text{palindromes}\}$ belongs
to $\text{SPACE}(\log n)$.

Constants don't matter for space
complexity classes:

Thm 2.3 Let $L \in \text{SPACE}(f(n))$.

Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$L \in \text{SPACE}(\epsilon f(n) + 2)$.

Non deterministic TMs (NTMs) ^⑧

Def. An NTM N decides L if for every x :

$x \in L \Leftrightarrow$ some computation with input x ends with "yes".

If in addition, for every x , N halts within $f(|x|)$ steps then N decides L within time $f(n)$.

Def. $L \in \text{NTIME}(f(n)) \Leftrightarrow$

some NTM N decides L within time $f(n)$.

P and NP

⑨

Def. $P = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{TIME}(n^k)$

$$NP = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{NTIME}(n^k).$$

Every TM is an NTM so $P \subseteq NP$.

Thm 2.6

$$\text{NTIME}(f(n)) \subseteq \bigcup_{c > 1} \text{TIME}(c^{f(n)}).$$

Proof idea: "Dovetailing". Let $L \in \text{NTIME}(f(n))$ be decided by the NTM N in time $f(n)$. Construct a TM M with 3 strings. On one of them we simulate every possible computation of N on the given input. Another string keeps track of which computations, coded as finite seq. of numbers $\leq d$ (d depends on M), have already been tried.

The hierarchy theorem

(10)

Without restrictions on f strange things can happen:

Thm 7.3 (The gap thm)

There is a recursive function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{TIME}(f(n)) = \text{TIME}(2^{f(n)})$.

Proof idea: f is defined so that for every TM M and every input x , either M halts before $f(|x|)$ steps or after $2^{f(|x|)}$ steps.

Thus, we would like to restrict the functions under consideration, still retaining the interesting ones, such as n^k , $\sqrt{n}$, $\log n$, 2^n , $n!$.

Def. f is a proper (complexity)

function if there is a k -string TM M_f ($k \geq 2$) with input and output such that for every input x :

- (1) $M_f(x) = \Pi^{f(|x|)}$ where ' Π ' is a "quasi-blank" symbol.
- (2) M_f halts after $O(|x| + f(|x|))$ steps.
- (3) M_f operates within space $O(f(n))$.
- (4) $f(n+1) \geq f(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The above mentioned functions are proper (complexity) functions and if f and g are proper, then so are $f+g$, $f \cdot g$ and 2^f .

Def. For any func. f define

$$H_f = \text{"the halting language of } f\text{"} = \\ = \{ (M, x) : M \text{ accepts } x \text{ within } f(|x|) \text{ steps} \}$$

Lemma 7.1 If f is a proper complexity function, then

$$H_f \in \text{TIME}(f(n)^3).$$

Proof. Somewhat involved, using techniques from the proofs of previous results and Prop 7.1.

Lemma 7.2 If f is a proper complexity function, then

$$H_f \notin \text{TIME}(f(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)).$$

Proof idea "Diagonalization"

works here. If M_{H_f} decides H_f in time $f(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$ then define

$$D_f(M) = \begin{cases} \text{no} & \text{if } M_{H_f}((M, M)) = \text{yes} \\ \text{yes} & \text{if } M_{H_f}((M, M)) = \text{no} \end{cases}$$

So on input M , D_f needs the same time as M_{H_f} on input (M, M) , that is, time $f(\lfloor \frac{2n+1}{2} \rfloor)$ if M has length n .

By considering input D_f for D_f we get a contradiction:

$$D_f(D_f) = \text{yes} \implies$$

$$M_{H_f}((D_f, D_f)) = \text{no} \implies$$

D_f does not accept D_f within $f(|D_f|)$ steps

$\implies D_f(D_f) = \text{no}$ because D_f always stops and says "yes" or "no" within $f(n)$ steps. (Other direction is analogous.)

By combining the previous two lemmas we get:

Thm 7.1 (Time hierarchy thm)

If f is a proper complexity function such that $f(n) \geq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$TIME(f(n)) \subset \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{proper}}}{TIME(f(2n+1)^3)}.$$

Corollary $P \subset EXP$, where

$$EXP = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} TIME(2^{n^k}).$$

Thm 7.2 (Space hierarchy thm)

If f is a proper complexity function, then $SPACE(f(n)) \subset SPACE(f(n) \log f(n))$.