

#15. Sobolev spaces

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, set

$$\|f\|_{(k)} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^\alpha f|^2 dx} & \text{if } \partial^\alpha f \in L^2 \text{ for all } \alpha \text{ with } |\alpha| \leq k \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note $\|f\|_{(k)} < \infty \iff \widehat{\partial^\alpha f} \in L^2$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$

$\iff \widehat{f} \in L^2$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\zeta^\alpha|^2 |\widehat{f}(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta < \infty$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$

and then $\|f\|_{(k)} \asymp \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} |\zeta^\alpha|^2 |\widehat{f}|^2 d\zeta} \asymp \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|\zeta|^2)^k |\widehat{f}(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta}$

DEF: For $s \in \mathbb{R}$: $H_s = \{f \in \mathcal{S}' : (1+|\xi|^2)^{s/2} \hat{f} \in L^2\}$

DEF: For $s \in \mathbb{R}$: $\Lambda_s: \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$; $\Lambda_s f = ((1+|\xi|^2)^{s/2} \cdot \hat{f})^\vee$

(Then $H_s = \{f \in \mathcal{S}' : \Lambda_s f \in L^2\}$.)

We make H_s into a Hilbert space, with inner product:

$$\underline{\langle f, g \rangle_{(s)}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Lambda_s f) \overline{(\Lambda_s g)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} (1+|\xi|^2)^s d\xi;$$

thus norm; the Sobolev (L^2) norm: $\|f\|_{(s)}$:= $\|\Lambda_s f\|_2$ = $\sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1+|\xi|^2)^s d\xi}$

Facts

$$* \underline{\underline{F(H_s) = \left\{ f \in L^1_{loc} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(\frac{\cdot}{3})|^2 \cdot (1+|\cdot|^2)^s d\lambda \right\} < \infty}} = \underline{\underline{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1+|\cdot|^2)^s d\lambda)}}$$

$$* \underline{\underline{S}} \text{ is dense in } \underline{\underline{H_s}} \quad (\forall s \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$* \underline{\underline{\text{For } t < s: H_s \subset H_t; \quad \|\cdot\|_t \leq \|\cdot\|_s}}$$

$$* \underline{\underline{\Lambda_t: H_s \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{s-t}}}$$

$$* \underline{\underline{H_0 = L^2; \quad \|\cdot\|_{(0)} = \|\cdot\|_2}}$$

$$* \underline{\underline{\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n: \quad \mathcal{J}^\alpha \text{ is a bounded linear map } H_s \rightarrow H_{s-|\alpha|}}}$$

Prop. 9.16: $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$: $\underline{H_{-s} \cong H_s^*}$, a natural Hilbert space isomorphism; $H_{-s} \ni f \mapsto$ [the unique continuous linear extension of $\phi \mapsto \langle f, \phi \rangle$ from \mathcal{S} to H_s]

proof of surjectivity:

Take $G \in H_s^*$.

H_s Hilbert space $\Rightarrow \underline{\exists! v \in H_s: \forall \phi \in H_s: G(\phi) = \langle \phi, v \rangle_{(s)}}$.

Now for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{G(\phi)} = \langle \phi, v \rangle_{(s)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\xi}{3}\right) \overline{\hat{v}\left(\frac{\xi}{3}\right)} \cdot (1 + |\xi|^2)^s d\xi \\ &= \left\langle \overline{\hat{v}\left(\frac{\xi}{3}\right)} \cdot (1 + |\xi|^2)^s, \hat{\phi} \right\rangle = \left\langle \underbrace{\hat{v}\left(\frac{\xi}{3}\right) (1 + |\xi|^2)^s}_{\text{lies in } H_{-s}}, \phi \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

lies in H_{-s} , and maps to G !

The Sobolev Embedding Theorem (Thm 9.17):

$s > \frac{n}{2} \Rightarrow H_s \subset C_0$ and the inclusion map is continuous.

More generally:

$s > \frac{n}{2} + k \Rightarrow H_s \subset C_0^k$, and $\| \cdot \|$ $\| \cdot \|$

Here $C_0^k = \{f \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n) : \partial^\alpha f \in C_0 \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq k\}$,

with norm $f \mapsto \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_k$

proof: To show $H_s \subset C_0$, it suffices to prove $\forall f \in H_s : \hat{f} \in L^1$.

But $f \in H_s \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1+|\xi|^2)^s d\xi < \infty$

$\Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)| \cdot (1+|\xi|^2)^{s/2} (1+|\xi|^2)^{-s/2} d\xi$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1+|\xi|^2)^s d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|\xi|^2)^{-s} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$< \infty$ iff $2s > n$

Done.

Cor 9.18: If $f \in H_s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ then $f \in C^\infty$ (and $2^k f \in C_0, \forall k$)

Localized Sobolev space

DEF: For U open $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\underline{H_s^{loc}(U) = \{f \in \mathcal{D}'(U) : \text{For every open set } V \text{ with } \bar{V} \text{ compact} \subset U: \\ \exists g \in H_s : f|_V = g|_V\}}$$

Prop. 9.23: $f \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ is in $H_s^{loc}(U)$ iff $\forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(U) : \phi f \in H_s$

proof, outline:

\Leftarrow "easy"

\Rightarrow Use the fact that multiplication by any C_c^∞ -function preserves H_s . (Thm 9.20, Cor. 9.21)

Elliptic regularity

Let $P(D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} c_\alpha D^\alpha$ ($c_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $D^\alpha = (2\pi i)^{-|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha$)

Assume that $P(D)$ has order m , i.e. $c_\alpha \neq 0$ for some α with $|\alpha| = m$.

DEF: The principal symbol of $P(D)$ is $P_m(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha \xi^\alpha$.

$P(D)$ is called elliptic if $P_m(\xi) \neq 0$, $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

(Ex: Δ is elliptic. But $\partial_t - \Delta$ and $\partial_t^2 - \Delta$ (on \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) are not.)

Elliptic Regularity Theorem (Thm 9.26): Assume that $L = P(D)$ is elliptic, of order m . Let Ω open $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$.

If $Lu \in H_s^{loc}(\Omega)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then $u \in H_{s+m}^{loc}(\Omega)$.

Ex: If $f \in D'(\Omega)$ and $(A - \lambda)f = 0$ in Ω , then $f \in C^\infty(U)$!

Central mechanism:

Lemma 9.25: If $u \in H_s$ and $Lu \in H_s$ then $u \in H_{s+m}$.

proof (outline): On the "Fourier side", the task is to prove:

$$\text{If } \hat{u}(\xi) \cdot (1 + |\xi|^2)^s \in L^2 \text{ and } \hat{u}(\xi) \cdot P(\xi) \cdot (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \in L^2,$$
$$\text{then } \hat{u} \cdot (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{s+m}{2}} \in L^2$$

This follows from $\exists C > 0: \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n: (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} \leq C (1 + |P(\xi)|)$

⊛ Holds since $|P(\xi)| \gg |\xi|^m$ for $|\xi|$ large. To see this, use

$$\underline{|P_m(\xi)| = |\xi|^m \cdot |P_m(|\xi|^{-1}\xi)| \geq \left(\inf_{|\eta|=1} |P_m(\eta)| \right) \cdot |\xi|^m,}$$

which overwhelms $\frac{P - P_m}{|\xi|}$ for $|\xi|$ large.

Outline of proof of Thm 9.26

Assume $Lu \in H_s^{loc}(\Omega)$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Want to prove $\phi u \in H_{s+m}$.

Note $\phi u \in \mathcal{E}' \Rightarrow \widehat{\phi u}$ is slowly increasing

$\Rightarrow \exists \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi u \in H_\sigma$

"Dream": $L(\phi u) \in H_s$ (since $L(\phi u) \approx \phi \cdot Lu$????)

Then Lemma 9.25 \Rightarrow $\phi u \in H_{\min(s, \sigma) + m}$ repeat! \rightsquigarrow $\phi u \in H_{s+m}$

Of course $L(\phi u) \neq \phi \cdot Lu$ in general. But the difference

$$\underline{\underline{L(\phi u) - \phi Lu = [L, \phi]u}}$$

differential operator of order $m-1$ (variable coefficients)

Take $\Psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\Psi = 1$ on a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(\phi)$

As before: $\exists \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Psi u \in H_\sigma$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Now } \underline{L(\phi u)} &= \phi \cdot Lu + [L, \phi]u \\ &= \phi Lu + [L, \phi](\Psi u) \\ &\in H_s + H_{\sigma - (m-1)} = \underline{H_{\min(s, \sigma - (m-1))}}\end{aligned}$$

Also $\phi u = \phi \Psi u \in H_\sigma$ (using Cor. 9.21)

Hence by Lemma 9.25, $\phi u \in H_{\min(s+m, \sigma+1)}$.

Idea: Repeat the above many times before reaching ϕu !

Lower $\sigma \leadsto$ may assume $\sigma + k = s + m$, some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Pick $\Psi_0 = \Psi, \Psi_1, \Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_k \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ s.t. $\Psi_k = \phi$

and $\Psi_j = 1$ on neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(\Psi_{j+1})$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$.

We had $\Psi_0 u \in H_\sigma$

This gives $\Psi_1 u \in H_{\sigma+1} \Rightarrow \Psi_2 u \in H_{\sigma+2} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \Psi_k u \in H_{\sigma+k}$,

that is, $\phi u \in H_{s+m}$.

□