

## 6. The Cartan one-form

The de Rham complex of "lie-algebra valued forms"

$$C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{d} \Gamma(\text{Hom}(TM, \mathfrak{g})) \xrightarrow{d} \Gamma(\text{Hom}(TM^{\wedge 2}, \mathfrak{g}))$$

The Cartan one-form  $\vartheta: TG \rightarrow T_e G \cong \mathfrak{g} \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(TG, \mathfrak{g}))$   
 $X_g \mapsto Tl_{g^{-1}}(X_g)$

$\vartheta$  is left  $G$ -invariant.

Pl.  $\vartheta(Tl_h(X_g)) = Tl_{(hg)^{-1}}(Tl_h(X_g))$   
[chain rule]  $= Tl_{g^{-1}} \circ \underbrace{Tl_{h^{-1}} \circ Tl_h}_{\text{Id by chain rule}}(X_g) = \vartheta(X_g)$

$f: M \rightarrow N$  smooth,  $\eta \in \Gamma(T^*N)$  one-form

$\Rightarrow f^* \eta \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \eta \circ Tf \in \Gamma(T^*M)$  again a one-form (the pullback)

the above thus says:

$$\boxed{l_g^* \vartheta = \vartheta \quad (LI)}$$

For multiplication from the right:

$$\vartheta \circ Tr_g(X_e) \stackrel{(\text{def.})}{=} Tl_{g^{-1}} \circ Tr_g(X_e) \stackrel{(\text{ch. rule})}{=} Tr_{x_{g^{-1}}}(X_e) \stackrel{(\text{def.})}{=} Ad_{g^{-1}}(X_e)$$

$$\boxed{[r_g \circ l_h = l_h \circ r_g] \Rightarrow r_g^* \vartheta = Ad_{g^{-1}} \circ \vartheta \quad (RI)}$$

The Maurer - Cartan equation for  $\vartheta \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(TG, \mathfrak{g}))$

$$\boxed{d\vartheta(v_p, w_p) + [\vartheta(v_p), \vartheta(w_p)]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0 \quad (M-C)}$$

Proof. for left  $G$ -equiv. extensions  $V$  &  $W$  of  $v_p$  &  $w_p$  :

$$\begin{aligned} d\vartheta(v_p, w_p) &= d(\underbrace{\vartheta(w)}_{\cong W})v_p - d(\underbrace{\vartheta(v)}_{\cong V})w_p - \vartheta[v_p, w_p]_p \leftarrow \text{in } \Gamma(TG) \\ &= 0 - 0 - [\vartheta(v), \vartheta(w)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

(1.)  $\vartheta$  provides a trivialisation  $TG \cong G \times \mathfrak{g}$   
 $X_g \mapsto (g, \vartheta(X_g))$

Warning unless  $[\_, \_]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$ , (M-C) implies that the trivialisation is not given by coordinate vector fields.  
 (Compare  $(S^1)^n$  abelian &  $SU(2) \cong S^3$  nonabelian)

(2.) The only compact conn. surface which admits the structure of a Lie group is  $\mathbb{T}^2$   by (1.) . This will follow from Gauß-Bonnet (to come later).

(3.)  $\mathbb{R}^2 / \underbrace{2\text{-dim lattice}}_{\mathbb{Z}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2} = \text{parallelogram} \cong \mathbb{T}^2$ .  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  global coord. v.f.  
 invariant under  $\mathbb{T}^2$ -action  
 $\Rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, [\_, \_]) \cong (\mathbb{R}^2, 0)$  (abelian group!)

(4.) For any Lie group structure on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ :

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} d\theta \stackrel{(*)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} d\theta(x, y) \cdot \overbrace{\det \Phi}^{>0} dx dy \stackrel{(M-C)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} -[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot \overbrace{\det \Phi}^{>0} dx dy$$

$\uparrow \uparrow$   
 left inv. oriented basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$       suitable basis change matrix

$$\Rightarrow [\cdot, \cdot] = 0 \Rightarrow \text{abelian} \stackrel{(\dots)}{\Rightarrow} \cong \mathbb{R}^2 / \text{lattice}$$

(5.)  $H \hookrightarrow G$  closed Lie subgroup  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_H = \mathfrak{g}_G \circ T_{\iota}$   $\Rightarrow$  not solvable!

(6.) If  $H^1(G) = 0$  and  $G$  compact, then  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$ .  
 $\xrightarrow{\text{dR}}$   $\Pi_1(G)/\text{comm.}$   $\xrightarrow{\text{Hom}} \text{Hom}(H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R})$   
 e.g.  $G = \text{SU}(2)$

Proof. Assume by contradiction that  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}$ .

Take a projection  $\pi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  with  $\ker \pi \subseteq [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$

$\eta := \pi \circ \theta \in \Gamma(T^*G)$  is a one-form which is:

- closed by (M-C), i.e.  $d\eta = d\pi \circ \theta = \pi \circ d\theta = 0$
- not exact, i.e.  $d\mathcal{f} \neq \eta$  for any  $\mathcal{f} \in C^\infty(G, \mathbb{R})$ ,

since  $\pi(\theta(T\ell_g(v))) = \pi(v) = v$  this is a nowhere vanishing form ( $d\mathcal{f}$  vanishes at critical points)

# 7. Connections on principal bundles

Principal bundle:  $G \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} E/G = B$   
 $G \curvearrowright E$

Now we consider smooth principal bundles, i.e.  $G$  Lie group,  $E \ni G$  smooth manifold,  $B = E/G$  smooth.

$E$  can be seen as a family of groups  $G$ , we want a "family of Cartan forms." The correct notion is the following, often called an Ehresmann-connection:

Def. A connection on  $E$  is  $\omega \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(TE, \mathfrak{g}))$  s.t. ← Lie alg. of  $G$

(A1)  $\omega \circ T_{\nu_{pt}} : TG \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is the Cartan form  $\vartheta$  on  $G$ ,

i.e.  $\nu_{pt}^* \omega = \vartheta$ , along any  $\nu_{pt} : G \hookrightarrow E$  (coincides w.  $\vartheta_G$  along the fibres)  
 $g \mapsto pt \cdot g$

(A2)  $r_g^* \omega (= \omega \circ Tr_g) = Ad_{g^{-1}} \circ \omega$  (invariant when  $G$  is abelian!)  
 $r_g : E \rightarrow E$   
 $pt \mapsto pt \cdot g$

Exercise 39.) Show that there is a bij. corr. between

connections as above and decompositions into horizontal &

vertical tangent spaces  $T_{pt}E = H_{pt} \oplus \text{im } T_e \nu_{pt}$  s.t.  $H_{pt \cdot h} = Tr_h(H_{pt})$   
 $\uparrow$   $pt$   $\uparrow$   $e$   $\uparrow$   $pt$   
horiz. vert.  
 via the assignment  $H = \ker \omega$ .

Ex. The trivial connection  $\omega_{\text{triv}}$  on the trivial principal bundle  $E = G \times B$  is given by  $\omega_{\text{triv}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \vartheta_G \circ T \text{pr}_G$  for the canonical projection  $\text{pr}_G : G \times B \rightarrow G$ .  
 (∃ only for trivial bundles!)

$$(A1) \quad \omega_{\text{triv}} \circ T_{(g,p)} \stackrel{\text{(def.)}}{=} \vartheta \circ T \text{pr}_G \circ T_{(g,p)} \stackrel{\text{(ch. rule)}}{=} \vartheta \circ T l_g \stackrel{\text{(LI)}}{=} \vartheta$$

$$(A2) \quad \omega_{\text{triv}} \circ T r_g \stackrel{\text{(def.)}}{=} \vartheta \circ T \text{pr}_G \circ T r_g \stackrel{\text{(ch. rule)}}{=} \vartheta \circ T r_g \circ T \text{pr}_G$$

$\uparrow$  on  $E$                        $\uparrow$  on  $G$

$$\stackrel{\text{(RI)}}{=} \text{Ad}_g \circ \vartheta \circ T \text{pr}_g \stackrel{\text{(def.)}}{=} \text{Ad}_g \circ \omega_{\text{triv}}$$

Often one is interested in the space of connections up to Gauge transformation, i.e.

$$\omega \sim \Psi^* \omega \quad \text{for any } \Psi \in \mathcal{G}(E) \quad (\text{c.f. Lecture 7})$$

Rmk In physics  $E$  describes the choice of phase/gauge for certain waves (e.g. Electro-Magnetism: electric waves,  $G = S^1$ ). Connections are potentials of other fields that couple to the waves (e.g. magnetic potentials). Physical significant only mod.  $\mathcal{G}(E)$

## A computation in a trivial bundle ( $E$ is locally trivial!)

$\omega$  connection on  $G \times B$ . Obs:  $T(G \times B) = TG \times TB$

We conclude from (A1) & (A2) that

$$\omega = \omega_{\text{triv}} + A, \quad A \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(TE, \mathfrak{g}))$$

  $A$  depends on  $\omega$  & trivialisation!

where  $A|_{TG} \stackrel{(A1)}{=} 0$  &  $A(o_{g_1}, \gamma_p) \stackrel{(A2)}{=} \text{Ad}_{g_1^{-1}} A(o_{e_1}, \gamma_p)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow A(o_{hg_1}, \gamma_p) &= \text{Ad}_{(hg_1)^{-1}} A(o_{e_1}, \gamma_p) = \text{Ad}_{(hg_1)^{-1}} \circ \text{Ad}_g A(o_g, \gamma_p) \\ &= \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}h^{-1}} A(o_g, \gamma_p) \end{aligned}$$

Any  $\Psi \in \mathcal{G}(G \times B)$  is of the form

$$(g, p) \xrightarrow{\Psi} (\psi(p) \cdot g, p), \quad \psi: B \rightarrow G.$$

$$\Psi^*(r_g^* \theta) = \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\Psi^* \theta) \quad \text{for } \Psi^* \theta \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(TB, \mathfrak{g}))$$

By the above we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^* \omega &= \omega_{\text{triv}} + A_\Psi && (\mathcal{G}T) \\ A_\Psi(X_g, \gamma_p) &= \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\Psi^* \theta)(\gamma_p) + \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}\psi(p)^{-1}} \circ A(o_g, \gamma_p) \end{aligned}$$

This also explains the dependence on the local triv.