

Explanatory Notes 2 for Bayesian Inference  
**Rejection sampling**  
Takis Konstantopoulos, Spring 2009

The proof of correctness of the rejection sampling algorithm (SZ notes, page 19) requires further elaboration.

Let us recall the algorithm. We wish to simulate a random variable with given density  $f$ . We assume that we know how to simulate a random variable from another density  $g$  which has the property that there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$f(x) \leq Mg(x), \quad \text{for all } x \text{ (in the support of } f\text{)}. \quad (1)$$

Define

$$p(x) = \frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}.$$

Let  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. random variables with common density  $g$ . Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. coin tosses, conditionally on the  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$ . In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\xi_n = 1 | Z_1, Z_2, \dots) &= P(\xi_1 = 1 | Z_n) = p(Z_n) \\ P(\xi_n = 0 | Z_1, Z_2, \dots) &= P(\xi_0 = 1 | Z_n) = 1 - p(Z_n). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$N = \min\{n \geq 1 : \xi_n = 1\}.$$

The claim is:

**Claim 1.**  $Z_N$  has density  $f$ .

*Proof.* First observe that

$$\begin{aligned} P(\xi_n = 1) &= E[P(\xi_n = 1 | Z_n)] = E[p(Z_n)] \\ &= \int p(z)g(z)dz = \int \frac{1}{M}f(z)dz = \frac{1}{M}, \end{aligned}$$

where the integral is taken over the support of  $g$  which, by assumption (1),

includes the support of  $f$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
P(Z_N \in dy) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(Z_N \in dy, N = n) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(Z_n \in dy, N = n) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(Z_n \in dy, \xi_1 = \dots = \xi_{n-1} = 0, \xi_n = 1) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(Z_n \in dy) P(\xi_1 = \dots = \xi_{n-1} = 0, \xi_n = 1 | Z_n = y) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(y) dy P(\xi_1 = 0) \dots P(\xi_{n-1} = 0) P(\xi_n = 1 | Z_n = y) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(y) dy (1 - M^{-1})^{n-1} p(y) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(y) dy \frac{1}{M} (1 - M^{-1})^{n-1} \\
&= f(y) dy \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - M^{-1})^{n-1} = f(y) dy \frac{1}{M} M = f(y) dy,
\end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

**Apology.** If you don't like the notation  $P(\dots \in dy)$  then replace it by  $P(\dots \in A)$  for some set  $A$  and rewrite the calculation.